



City of Pensacola

Eastside Redevelopment Board

Agenda

City of Pensacola
222 W. Main Street
Pensacola, FL 32502

May 20, 2025, 3:30 PM

Whibbs Conference Room, 1st Floor

The meeting can be watched via live stream at cityofpensacola.com/video.

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL/DETERMINATION OF QUORUM

ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. 25-645 ERB SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES-03/11/2025

Attachments: ERB Special Meeting Minutes- 3/11/2025

PRESENTATIONS

2. 25-565 BOARD TRAINING ON USE OF CIVIC CLERK WITH IPADS

Attachments: None

ACTION ITEMS

DISCUSSION ITEMS

3. 25-566 PROJECT LIST AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN STATUS REPORT

*Attachments: Eastside Project List and Implementation Plan 05-20-2025
Eastside CRA Program Manager Report-May
Equity Development Framework Plan 2025
Residential Property Improvement Guidelines
Residential Resiliency Program Guidelines - Rev.Approved
9.9.2024
2025-combined-income-and-rent-limits-(eff-4-1-2025)*

4. 25-567 2025 PROPOSED EASTSIDE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN

Attachments: PensacolaCRA_Eastside Plan-FINALDraft5.5.2025 (1)

OPEN FORUM

ADJOURNMENT

PLEASE NOTE: One or more members of the City Council/Community Redevelopment Agency Board may be in attendance. The City of Pensacola adheres to the Americans with Disabilities Act and will make reasonable accommodations for access to city services, programs, and activities. Please call 850-436-5640 for further information. Requests must be made at least 48 hours in advance of the event in order to allow the city time to provide the requested services.



City of Pensacola

222 West Main Street
Pensacola, FL 32502

Memorandum

File #: 25-645

Eastside Redevelopment Board

5/20/2025

ACTION ITEM

SUBJECT:

ERB SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES-03/11/2025

ATTACHMENTS:

1. ERB Special Meeting Minutes- 3/11/2025



City of Pensacola

Eastside Redevelopment Board

SPECIAL MEETING

City of Pensacola
222 W. Main Street
Pensacola, FL 32502

Minutes

March 11, 2025, 3:30 PM

Whibbs Room, 1st floor

The meeting can be watched via live stream at cityofpensacola.com/video.

CALL TO ORDER

The Eastside Redevelopment Board (ERB) meeting was called to order by Chairperson Lane at 3:41 P.M.

Members Present: Jennifer Brahier, Zachary Lane, Aretta Anderson

Members Absent: Tommy White

DETERMINATION OF QUORUM

A quorum was present

ACTION ITEMS

1. 25-233 EASTSIDE 2025 REDEVELOPMENT PLAN UPDATE RECOMMENDATION

A motion to approve was made by Board Member Brahier and seconded by Board Member Anderson.

CRA Division Manager, Victoria D'Angelo, provided an introduction of the item. Megan O'Hara, of Urban Design Associates (UDA), provided an in-depth overview of the item. Discussion ensued by the board and the public. Staff responded accordingly to questions.

Public Speakers:

Tony McCray

James Gulley

Jeannie Rhoden

Public speakers expressed concern about the low-barrier homeless shelter listed in the plan, the potential for gentrification and time to review the plans.

Board Member Brahier made a friendly amendment to recommend approval of the updated Eastside Redevelopment Plan with the removal of the low-barrier homeless shelter.

The motion passed by the following vote:

Yes: 3 Jennifer Brahier, Zachary Lane, Aretta Anderson

No: 0 None

2. 25-207 FY2026 EASTSIDE PROJECT PRIORITIES

A motion to approve was made by Board Member Brahier and seconded by Board Member Anderson.

CRA Division Manager, Victoria D'Angelo, provided an overview of the item. Discussion ensued by the board. Staff answered questions accordingly.

Board Member Brahier made a friendly amendment to:

- 1. Remove the General Daniel “Chappie” James, Jr. Museum and Flight Academy – Phase II and the Skate Park within the Hollice T. Williams Urban Greenway from the recommended project list and 5-year implementation plan due to completion.**
- 2. Add the following new projects to the recommended project and 5-year implementation plan:**
 - a. Crystal Ice House Market Strategy**
 - b. Gonzalez Street Shareway**

3. Identify the following project priorities in order of importance:

- 1. Crystal Ice House Market Strategy**
- 2. Hollice T. Williams Park (Urban Greenway)**
- 3. Residential Property Improvement Program**
- 4. Gonzalez Street Shareway**

The motion passed by the following vote:

Yes: 3 Jennifer Brahier, Zachary Lane, Aretta Anderson
No: 0 None

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

Due to the lack of an Open Forum order of business item on the special meeting agenda, Chairperson Lane authorized members of the public to speak on topics not on the agenda at this time.

Public Speakers:

Jeannie Rhoden
James Gulley

Public speakers expressed interest in the use of the Chappie James Museum and Flight Academy site to hold neighborhood association meetings and discussed details surrounding the City's loan to the Eastside district.

ADJOURNMENT

5:01 p.m.

PLEASE NOTE: One or more members of the City Council/Community Redevelopment Agency Board may be in attendance. The City of Pensacola adheres to the Americans with Disabilities Act and will make reasonable accommodations for access to city services, programs, and activities. Please call 850-436-5640 for further information. Requests must be made at least 48 hours in advance of the event in order to allow the city time to provide the requested services.



City of Pensacola

222 West Main Street
Pensacola, FL 32502

Memorandum

File #: 25-565

Eastside Redevelopment Board

5/20/2025

PRESENTATION

SPONSOR:

SUBJECT:

BOARD TRAINING ON USE OF CIVIC CLERK WITH IPADS

REQUEST:

SUMMARY:

Training for the Eastside Redevelopment Board on the proper method to utilize the iPads during board meetings.

PRIOR ACTION:

None

FUNDING:

N/A

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

N/A

STAFF CONTACT:

Victoria D'Angelo, CRA Division Manager
Hilary Halford, CRA Division Assistant Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

None

PRESENTATION: No



City of Pensacola

222 West Main Street
Pensacola, FL 32502

Memorandum

File #: 25-566

Eastside Redevelopment Board

5/20/2025

DISCUSSION

SPONSOR:

SUBJECT:

PROJECT LIST AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN STATUS REPORT

SUMMARY:

The Board will receive updates on projects identified under its recommended project list and implementation plan.

PRIOR ACTION:

None

FUNDING:

N/A

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

N/A

STAFF CONTACT:

Victoria D'Angelo, CRA Division Manager
Hilary Halford, CRA Division Assistant Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Eastside Project List and Implementation Plan 05-20-2025
2. Eastside CRA Program Manager Report-May
3. Equity Development Framework Plan 2025
4. Residential Property Improvement Guidelines
5. Residential Resiliency Program Guidelines - Rev.Approved 9.9.2024
6. 2025-combined-income-and-rent-limits-(eff-4-1-2025)

PRESENTATION: No

EASTSIDE REDEVELOPMENT BOARD PROJECT LIST AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (FY2025-30)

5/20/2025

PROJECT NAME	CRA DISTRICT	DESCRIPTION	EST. IMPLEMENTATION PERIOD	PROJECT STATUS	PROJECT UPDATES	MANAGER	PRIOR REDEVELOPMENT BOARD ACTIONS
Crystal Ice House Market Strategy - Identified as Priority #1 on 3/11/2025	Eastside	Transform the Crystal Ice House and adjacent parking lot into an outdoor market to catalyze economic development in a neighborhood. Please see the attached Eastside Plan for more details.	Thru FY2030	Planning	New project. Plan adoption scheduled for June 2025.	Victoria D'Angelo, CRA Division Manager	ERB recommened inclusion in project list 3/11/2025.
Hollice T. Williams Urban Greenway - Identified as priority #2 on 3/11/2025	Urban Core / Eastside	Stormwater and greenway improvements beneath the I- 110 interstate overpass along Hayne Street from Wright Street to Jordan Street. Please see the attached Eastside Plan for more details.	Thru FY2030	EDFP, Phase I - Complete; Greenway - In Design	The City has selected Geosyntec and Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates for redesign of Hollice T. Williams Park. We have had three public engagement events at Cobb Center that have drawn at least 100 participants each. There will be two more public engagement events - one in June and one in August, but the dates are TBD. The design team will also distribute a second community survey. Currently, the design team have submitted the 30% Vision Plan for the Park Design and the 30% construction documents. These construction documents are under internal staff review. The design team will also be conducting a traffic study with Kimley- Horn to analyze the proposed road changes included in the vision plan the following months. The following grants have been secured to date: \$25 million CDBG-DR and \$5 million NRDA grant (first phase of park improvements, including stormwater upgrades). \$1.2 million TA grant (multi-use trail), \$5 million Reconnecting Communities Grant (pedestrian paths, cross walk imrovements, multi modal trails between E. Blount and E. Avery St). Rachel Bennett will provide an update.	Brad Hinote, City Engineer; Rachel Bennett, Urban Design Planner	ERB (Added 7/8/2020) & UCRB recommended inclusion in project list.
Residential Property Improvement Program - Identified as priority #3 on 3/11/2025	Eastside / Urban Core / Westside	Improvements for residential properties under CRA Residential Property Improvement Program within the City's adopted CRA districts. Program is available for owner occupied, long term rental and vacant homes. More information available on the City website: www.cityofpensacola.com/CRAPrograms . Please see the attached Eastside Plan for more details.	Thru FY2030	On-going	The Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) has paused the acceptance of new Residential Property Improvement Program(RPIP) applications, in the Eastside District, to address excessive wait time. See attached report. Shirley Baylis, Program Manager will provide an update.	Shirley Baylis, CRA Program Manager	ERB (Added 7/8/2020) UCRB & WRB recommended inclusion in project list.

EASTSIDE REDEVELOPMENT BOARD PROJECT LIST AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (FY2025-30)

5/20/2025

PROJECT NAME	CRA DISTRICT	DESCRIPTION	EST. IMPLEMENTATION PERIOD	PROJECT STATUS	PROJECT UPDATES	MANAGER	PRIOR REDEVELOPMENT BOARD ACTIONS
Gonzalez Street Shareway - Identified as priority #4 3/11/2025	Eastside	Identified as a special demonstration project in the Eastside Redevelopment Plan, Gonzalez Street has been identified by the community as one of the main pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicle connections in the Eastside CRA. Gonzalez Street is a wide, unmarked two-way street with inconsistent sidewalks and minimal landscape or shade. Intersections along the street are wide, unclear, and inconsistent with signage and direction.	Thru FY2030	Planning	New project. Plan adoption scheduled for June 2025.	Victoria D'Angelo, CRA Division Manager	ERB recommended inclusion in project list 3/11/2025.
Residential Resiliency Program	Eastside / Urban Core / Westside	Improvements to residential property under CRA Residential Resiliency Program within the City's designated Urban Core, Westside and Eastside community redevelopment areas to repair storm-damaged structures and secure at-risk property against future hazards caused by natural disasters. Typical improvements include emergency roof repair and replacement, hazardous tree removal and trimming, emergency electrical, plumbing or structural repairs, and mechanical equipment (i.e.) HVAC repairs and, in high flood hazard zones, elevation. Forgivable loan program. More information available on the City website: www.cityofpensacola.com/CRAPrograms	Thru FY2030	On-going	See attached report. Shirley Baylis, Program Manager will provide an update.	Shirley Baylis, CRA Program Manager	ERB (2/23/2021), UCRB & WRB recommended inclusion in project list.
Affordable Housing Initiatives	Eastside / Urban Core / Westside	Affordable housing initiatives within the Urban Core, Westside and Eastside areas as identified in the redevelopment plans and in support of the City's overarching 500 homes in 5 Years Initiative. Projects may include rehabilitation programs and affordable, workforce, mixed use, and mixed income projects and strategies.	Thru FY2030	On-going	The CRA is actively working towards the development of an incentive program for small scale affordable rental housing which will first be piloted in the Westside and Urban Core districts. The program may be expanded to Eastside dependent upon funding availability. The redevelopment sites located at 1700 Dr. MLK Jr. Drive and 2700 Dr. MLK Jr. Drive are on hold.	Victoria D'Angelo, CRA Division Manager	ERB (Added 2/23/2021), UCRB & WRB recommended inclusion in project list.

EASTSIDE REDEVELOPMENT BOARD PROJECT LIST AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (FY2025-30)

5/20/2025

PROJECT NAME	CRA DISTRICT	DESCRIPTION	EST. IMPLEMENTATION PERIOD	PROJECT STATUS	PROJECT UPDATES	MANAGER	PRIOR REDEVELOPMENT BOARD ACTIONS
1700 MLK Jr. Drive-Affordable Housing & Redevelopment	Eastside	Redevelopment of former Walker Gas Station property at 1700 MLK Jr. Drive (corner of MLK & Mallory) for affordable/workforce/mixed income housing.	Thru FY2030	Planning	See above under "Affordable Housing Initiatives".	Victoria D'Angelo, CRA Division Manager	ERB (Added 7/8/2020) recommended inclusion in project list.
Sidewalk Improvements	Eastside	Sidewalk improvements including repairs and connectivity guided by the redevelopment plans and the City's recent sidewalk ADA accessibility study.	Thru FY2030	On-going	-	Brad Hinote, City Engineer; Daniel Moak, Engineering Design Manager	ERB recommended inclusion in project list. (Added 7/8/2020)

**City Of Pensacola Community Redevelopment Agency
Eastside
Programs Status Report
May 2025**

RESIDENTIAL PRE-ELIGIBILITY APPS IN QUEUE:

In Review: 5
On hold:1

TOTAL IN QUEUE: 6

RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (RPIP)

The Residential Property Improvement Program (RPIP) is designed to support the preservation and creation of affordable housing, preservation and enhancement of traditional neighborhood character, blight elimination and prevention, and preservation and enhancement of the tax base in accordance with the public purposes authorized under Chapter 163, Part III of the Florida Statutes.

Projects invited for full application:.....1
Projects pending inspections:.....0
Projects pending bidding:0
Projects under contract:2

TOTAL PROJECTS IN PROGRESS: 3

TOTAL PROJECTS COMPLETED SINCE 2019: 6

RESILIENCY PROGRAM

The Residential Resiliency Program is designed to support blight removal and prevention by repairing storm-damaged structures or securing at-risk property against future damage caused by natural hazards such as hurricanes and flooding.

Projects invited for full application: 0
Projects pending bidding: 1
Projects under contract: 0

TOTAL PROJECTS IN PROGRESS: 1

TOTAL PROJECTS COMPLETED SINCE 2019: 5



EQUITABLE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

HOLLICE T. WILLIAMS STORMWATER PARK

PENSACOLA, FLORIDA | OCT. 25, 2024





Equitable Development Plan

PREPARED FOR
Mayor Reeves
City of Pensacola

FUNDED BY
City of Pensacola

CONSULTANT TEAM
Urban Design Associates | Team Lead
James Lima Planning + Development | Economic Advisers
MonWin Consulting | Engagement and Planning
HDR Architecture | Transportation

FRIENDS OF HOLLICE T. WILLIAMS PARK

Anthony Williams	Tommy Lyter
David Williams	Michelle Macneil
DJ Williams	Joseph Marshall
Gerald Williams	David Mayo
Larry Williams	Melanie Nichols
Sabrina Williams	Allison Patton
Rusty Branch	Jon Shell
Teniade Broughton	Eddie Todd
Carlton Charles	Christian Wagley
Mark Gottschalk	Tia Wallis
George Grace	Tommy White
Kevin Hagen	Tracy White
An Hayward	Jewel Cannada-Wynn
Bart Hudson	Doug Young
Zac Lane	

ACKNOWLEDGMENT



Table of Contents

Overview	2
Previous Planning Efforts	4
Neighborhood History	6
Defining Equitable Development	8
Defining Gentrification	10
Process	12
Outcomes of the Community Survey	14
Summary of the Values & Principles	16
Detailed Principles	17
Inclusive, Accessible, & Responsive	17
History & Culture	20
Economic Opportunity	22
Environmental Justice	24
Housing Affordability	26
Local Decision-Making	28
Next Steps	29
Appendix (Under Separate Cover)	30

Overview

As the City of Pensacola invests \$25 million in the first phase of enhancements to make Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park a 1.3-mile long signature park, equity is a priority.



Hollice T. Williams Park is an important place in between the Eastside, Old East Hill, and North Hill neighborhoods. The Park is named after Hollice T. Williams, Sr., the first black man to be appointed to the Pensacola City Council and the first black man to become physical director of the YMCA.

The park was created on the land remaining under and around the I-110 overpass, between E. Maxwell Street to the north and E. Wright Street to the south. The highway was cut through a thriving Black and African American neighborhood in the 1970s, uprooting and displacing long-standing homes, churches, businesses, and residents. Residents hold strong memories of the Lost Neighborhood. Hollice T. Williams Park is a physical reminder of the impacts of structural racism in Pensacola.

The park currently includes standard amenities — basketball courts, benches, a pavilion, the Cecil T. Hunter Pool, tennis courts, and walking paths. It also includes other programming like the Blake Doyle Skatepark and the From the Ground Up Community Garden. The communities surrounding the park have been exploring enhancing Hollice T. Williams to serve as a signature park. Planning efforts took place in 2004, 2010–2014, and 2021.

At the same time, there is a growing need for stormwater enhancements to prevent flooding in the adjacent neighborhoods and downstream. In 2023, the City applied for and received a \$25 million CDBG-DR grant and a \$5 million NRDA grant to fund the first phase of park improvements, including stormwater upgrades. Additionally, the City applied for and received a \$1.2 million TA grant to fund a multi-use trail.

In 2023, Mayor Reeves attended the MICD Just City Mayoral Fellowship to learn about equitable development and received a review of the 2021 Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park plan refinement. As a result of this engagement, the Mayor committed to developing this Equitable Development Framework Plan, which will guide the development of the park and development within a 1/2-mile radius of the park. It was determined that most people would walk up to a 1/2 mile to a quality park. Furthermore, national research shows that economic impacts of parks extends up to 2,000 feet from the park, just under a 1/2 mile.



Previous Planning Efforts

The community has been planning for Hollice T. Williams Park for two decades. The Equitable Development Framework Plan incorporated feedback and priorities from these previous planning efforts.

2004 Eastside Neighborhood Plan

The 2004 Eastside Neighborhood Plan began to explore enhancements to what was called “Central Park”. It laid out basic ideas for a passive park with safe walking and bicycling connections to the surrounding neighborhood. The Eastside Neighborhood Plan prioritized family-friendly amenities and ensured the park would serve users of all ages.


2010 Hollice T. Williams Greenway Framework Plan

In 2010, the surrounding neighborhoods participated in an inclusive process to more holistically imagine Hollice T. Williams Park. After extensive engagement, concept drawings for the park were developed, with prioritized amenities, including trails, community gardens, art that reflects the community, and gathering spaces for music, art, and cultural events.

2022 Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park Plan Refinement

The purpose of the plan refinement effort was to confirm the desired park amenities and to develop a detailed plan that could be built. Residents and stakeholders did engage throughout this effort, but given the timing during the COVID-19 pandemic, the participation was not as broad as during the 2010 planning process. This is one of the reasons that additional engagement and design work is being pursued for the park concurrent with the Equitable Development Framework Plan.

2004 Eastside Neighborhood Plan



Purpose
Central Park was one element of the larger neighborhood plan


Outcome
Very basic ideas for a passive park and connections to the neighborhood


AMENITIES

**Public restrooms at the tennis courts**

**Family areas with playgrounds & seating**

**Safe walking connections to the neighborhood**

**Enhancements to Magee Field (parking, concessions, restrooms)**



Original Park Concept: Passive park with family-friendly amenities (picnic spaces, multi-use path with exercise stations)

2010 Hollice T. Williams Greenway Framework Plan




Purpose
Develop the first drawing for Hollice T. Williams Park based on community input

Outcome
Park design that included the amenities the community wanted to see


AMENITIES





**Skate Park**


**Pool Facilities (recreational + competitive)**


**Community gardens**


**Recreation facilities (tennis, bike, polo, football)**


**Pedestrian plaza**

**Commercial + retail development**

**Trail with exercise stations**

**Boardwalk extension & water activities**

**Art in the park**


**Interactive areas for children**

**Extensive open areas**

**Jazz festivals + community gatherings**

**Dog parks**

2021 Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park Plan Refinement



Purpose
Confirm the park amenities and turn the concept into a plan that could be built

Outcome
More detailed design of the park to guide construction

AMENITIES



Rain Gardens

Multi-use Fields

Playground

Dog Park

Demo Kitchen + Classroom

Public Art + Sculpture

Neighborhood History + Cultural Exhibits

Fitness Loop + Exercise Stations

Restrooms + Parking Areas

Splash Pad

Market Plaza

Storyboard + Community Timeline

Greenway Path

Youth Obstacle Course

Sand Volleyball

Amphitheater

Skate Park

Overlook


Neighborhood History

The history of the area around Hollice T. Williams Park is tied to the construction of I-110 in the 1970s. The construction of I-110 displaced the community that lived below the raised section of the roadway from Wright Street to Maxwell Street. The construction also threatened historic landmarks, including a live oak tree and St. Michael's Cemetery, which contains graves dating back to the 1700s. Famous Pensacolians, such as Williams, John Sunday, Chappie James Jr., and Cecil T. Hunter, once called the neighborhood home. Historically significant structures bearing their names in the neighborhood include the John Sunday House, Chappie James Museum, and Dr. John Lee Pickens Medical Office.



H & O Cafe

- Originally a dry goods store owned by Tierce Lee, a wealthy Black businessman and his brother Shef
- The building was bought by their brother Hamp and his wife Ola in 1944 and converted to H & O Cafe
- The building was then purchased by the Grier family in 1947, who operated the cafe for more than 60 years
- H&O became an informal place for the African American community to gather & organize during the Civil Rights era
- They are acclaimed for their traditional southern style food and have been visited by many local and national celebrities and politicians



John Sunday

- A war veteran who fought to end slavery and rebuild Pensacola after the Civil War
- Owned large areas of land and houses
- Donated his personal land to build St. Joseph's Catholic Church, at the request of his sister Mercedes
- Was a member of the 1874 Florida Legislature
- Served as a Pensacola alderman from 1878-1881 during Reconstruction
- Built a Creole School on Intendencia Street



General Daniel "Chappie" James Jr. Memorial Park

- Daniel "Chappie" James Jr. was the first African American four-star general, and one of America's first Black military airmen
- He was a Tuskegee Airmen Pilot graduate who trained pilots for the famed all-Black 99th Pursuit Squadron
- "Chappie" James was awarded the Distinguished Service Medal, Legion of Merit, and the Distinguished Flying Cross
- He was born and raised in the Eastside neighborhood



E.S. Cobb Center

- Dr. Eli Sanford Cobb was a Pensacola native
- He served in the U.S. Army during WWI and returned to Pensacola after the war to practice medicine
- Dr. Cobb loved sports and started 2 semi-pro teams: the Pensacola Seagulls baseball team and the Pensacola Giants football team

Historic Crystal Ice House

- Built in 1932 and open until the 1950s
- Sold ice to the neighborhood before homes had refrigerators
- Listed on the National Register of Historical Places



Spencer Bibbs Academy


- Established in 1919
- Spencer Bibbs was the first African American Supervisor of Colored Schools in Escambia County
- The Academy served students through 4th grade
- Spencer Bibbs would use his own wagon to transport students above the 4th grade to the west side, so that they could continue their education

Dr. A.S. Magee Field

- A talented and trusted surgeon who owned a pharmacy at Alcaniz and LaRua Streets
- Worked closely with other African American doctors and nurses
- Performed surgeries in people's homes when Black doctors were not allowed to practice in hospitals during the early Jim Crow years

Map Key

- PARKS & OPEN SPACE
- CEMETERY
- INSTITUTION/COMMUNITY AMENITY
- TRAIL NETWORK
- DEDICATED BIKE LANES
- RAIL LINE
- [] 1/2 MILE AREA AROUND HOLLICE T. WILLIAMS PARK



The Lost Neighborhood

- The area harmed by the construction of I-110 in the 70s, which uprooted and displaced a long-standing neighborhood of homes, churches, and businesses
- This effort is trying to repair the harm — by making sure new investments benefit everyone



Defining Equitable Development

Equitable development is a term that may be familiar to some in the planning and development industries, but it has the potential to cause confusion. City staff and the team developed common language to describe equitable development and this process in straightforward terms, to ensure that everyone understood the effort. The following descriptions were provided to community stakeholders and residents throughout the planning process.

What is Equitable Development?

- Development that minimizes negative impacts (like increasing rents and home prices).
- Development that is inclusive to everyone, especially long-time residents and businesses.

Why is the City creating an Equitable Development Framework Plan?

- Given the history of this area and the negative impacts of I-110, the City wants the Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park improvements to have a positive impact.
- The City believes existing residents deserve to live in healthy, safe, amenity-rich neighborhoods that reflect their culture.
- Residents deserve to have opportunities for jobs and property & business ownership.
- Residents and stakeholders deserve to participate in the decision-making process about the park and surrounding development.



What is an Equitable Development Framework Plan?

- A set of standards to ensure that future development in and around Hollice T. Williams Park benefits everyone.
- A set of shared values that represent the community's priorities as the park evolves, and how land is developed around it.

How will these values & principles be used?

- They will articulate the priorities of residents with regard to the design of Hollice T. Williams Park, including stormwater improvements.
- They will establish expectations for how development should impact the community surrounding the park (within a 1/2 mile).
- They will serve as criteria to help the City evaluate development projects seeking public funding or public approvals.
- They will serve as guidance for the City to decide the prioritization of funding for development projects and public improvements.

Defining Gentrification

People understand that as improvements are made and development happens, the positive and negative impacts often aren't felt equally by neighborhoods and individuals. To ensure participants understood the effort, the following definitions and common language were developed to describe gentrification, displacement, and economic growth. The communities surrounding Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park are organized, active, and educated and wish to continue having an informed conversation about the positive and negative impacts.

What is Gentrification?

Gentrification is the process of change in a neighborhood or community marked by increases in prices for basic needs, increased economic investments, and demographic or cultural shifts, often resulting in the displacement of residents or businesses. Gentrification can include:

- Social changes
- Economic changes
- Cultural changes
- Physical change in the built environment

What is Displacement?

Displacement is a force that relocates people from where they are living or running a business. Displacement can be either physical or economic, and often, it's experienced as a slow building of pressure that leads to individuals making choices about whether to stay in a neighborhood or leave. Displacement might include:

- Newer housing stock being built, while older housing stock declines
- Rising costs for housing, services, and businesses
- Fluctuations in resident incomes
- Migration

When is it Displacement versus Gentrification?

Displacement and gentrification are related, but they are not entirely the same.

- Displacement is defined by the movement of residents and businesses. It is measurable. Oftentimes, it is seen in areas that are gentrifying, especially once the economic changes reach a tipping point.
- Gentrification is a process that creates the set of factors that lead residents and businesses to move, but it also causes other changes. Gentrification is multifaceted and much more complex to measure.

When is it Gentrification versus Economic Growth?

- Economic growth is positive for any community, especially for low-income communities. However, when that growth is not inclusive or accessible to certain groups, it is not equitable.
- Negative impacts of economic growth are often associated with gentrification, such as increasing rents and reduced affordability for existing residents.
- Equitable economic development aims to minimize the negative impacts of economic growth.



Process

The City developed a process to invite the community within the 1/2-mile project area to guide the priorities and principles of the Equitable Development Framework Plan. The Mayor formed the Friends of Hollice T. Williams Park group to advise on both the design of the park and the Equitable Development Framework Plan. The engagement process followed three steps:

- Step 1: Listening
- Step 2: Exploring Priorities
- Step 3: Developing the Plan

A series of outreach events celebrated the current use and future possibilities for the park. In late July, the Party in the Park brought hundreds of people to Hollice T. Williams Park for local food vendors and trucks, a game of skate at the Blake Doyle Skatepark, a slow ride with Bike Pensacola, tours of the From the Ground Up Community Garden, an artist's market, performances, and speeches by descendants of Hollice T. Williams and local historians knowledgeable about the Lost Neighborhood. Over 330 people completed a survey expressing their priorities for making sure the design of the park and development around the park are equitable.

Which groups were engaged throughout the process?

Friends of Hollice T. Williams Park


Neighborhood Associations

Residents

Park Users

Community Organizations

2024



SATURDAY, JULY 27TH, 2024
Party in the Park —
Bash Under the Freeway



FRIDAY, JULY 26TH, 2024
Party in the Park — Game of
Skate and Slow Ride



TOP 10

Community Priorities

- » Be welcoming & safe to everyone
- » Meet the needs of all ages & abilities
- » Include community members in the decision-making process
- » Create comfortable gathering spaces
- » Create jobs for local residents
- » Address and prevent flooding
- » Provide programming for children and adults
- » Celebrate local history & culture
- » Create affordable housing
- » Allow community members to help guide, program, & manage park use

Outcomes of the Community Survey

LEARNING WHAT'S IMPORTANT TO PENSACOLIANS

The Community Equity Survey was offered in both hard copy form during events and in digital form accessible online. 335 individuals responded, sharing their priorities for the park and surrounding development. 94 individuals filled out the survey online, 142 people completed the survey during the Party in the Park events, and an additional 99 people completed the survey during smaller outreach events. The top 10 ranked community priorities (listed to the left) were used to inform the Equitable Development Values and Principles, along with conversations with key stakeholders, such as the Friends of Hollice T. Williams Park group and neighborhood associations.



Values & Principles

Values	Principles
Inclusive, Accessible, & Responsive	1 Ensure the park and new development is welcoming and accessible to everyone.
	2 Meet the needs of all ages & abilities.
	3 Create comfortable gathering spaces.
	4 Make it simple and affordable for community organizations and residents to host events in the park.
	5 Provide programming and recreational amenities that reflect the desires and needs of the surrounding community.
History & Culture	6 Honor & celebrate the history of the Lost Neighborhood & important places & people through signage, historical markers, public art, etc.
	7 Preserve existing community buildings and invest proactively to prevent deterioration .
Economic Opportunity	8 Create jobs and provide opportunities for wealth building for local residents.
	9 Require measurable positive impacts from development projects in the adjacent communities.
Environmental Justice	10 Address and monitor environmental impacts of the highway to minimize negative effects.
	11 Ensure stormwater projects don't have negative effects on the immediately adjacent community.
	12 Develop and fund a long-term maintenance plan for the park that is sustainable.
Housing Affordability	13 Create new affordable housing.
	14 Provide support to existing long-term homeowners so they can remain in their homes.
	15 Support and stabilize existing rental housing to prevent displacement.
Local Decision-Making	16 Include community members in the decision-making process.
	17 Demonstrate familiarity and responsiveness to existing community plans.

INCLUSIVE, ACCESSIBLE, & RESPONSIVE

PRINCIPLE 1

Ensure the park and new development is welcoming and accessible to everyone.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Include design elements in the design of the park that recognize and celebrate the cultures of the people who live in the 1/2-mile radius around Hollice T. Williams Park.	Public Sector
2 Encourage, rather than discourage, people to spend time in the park, such as later park hours.	Public Sector
3 Implement a comprehensive protected walking and biking network within the 1/2-mile radius around Hollice T. Williams Park, which enables residents to safely commute to the park. This should be implemented by the City in alignment with the Active Transportation Plan.	Public Sector
4 For projects seeking public funding or approvals within the 1/2-mile radius of Hollice T. Williams Park, development should be designed to be welcoming to the community by including public art, murals, transparent storefronts, pedestrian-oriented elements like benches, awnings, patios, landscaping and shade, and frequent street-facing entrances	Private Sector

How to Understand these Recommendation...

The Values and Principles grew out of the input from the Equitable Development Framework Plan process. They are meant to stand as the guiding policy for development in and around Hollice T. Williams Park.

The examples listed under “How could this be accomplished?” are meant as possible actions, policies, programs, or incentives that would ensure the principles are carried out. They help provide a more concrete understanding of how this plan could be implemented. In many cases, these examples describe programs and policies that don't exist yet. Not all examples will be implemented, and as the City continues to refine what's possible, other strategies may be added.

PRINCIPLE 2

Meet the needs of all ages & abilities.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Include park amenities that are engaging and interesting for all ages (ranging from early childhood to school-aged children, teens, young adults, and seniors).	Public Sector
2 Design the park and development within 1/2 mile of Hollice T. Williams Park seeking public funding or approvals to be accessible to all abilities (including those with different physical, mental, and emotional abilities). Examples could include accessible swings, ramps, transfer platforms, ground-level play features, wider pathways, smooth but slip-resistant surfaces, sensory elements, sound instruments, soothing colors, and textures.	Public/Private Sector



PRINCIPLE 3

Create comfortable gathering spaces.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Provide spaces in the park where community members can hold larger gatherings and celebrate special events with friends and family	Public Sector

PRINCIPLE 4

Make it simple and affordable for community organizations and residents to host events in the park.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Offer reduced or waived fees for community members for event permits; explore creative ways to lessen the requirements for insurance, road closures, security, etc.	Public Sector
2 Consider a City staff position to act as a community navigator or liaison, who could help residents navigate the permit application process.	Public Sector



PRINCIPLE 5

Provide programming and recreational amenities that reflect the desires and needs of the surrounding community.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Design the park amenities, features, and programming to appeal to the existing residents of the surrounding neighborhoods.	Public Sector
2 Incorporate current and past community input about the needs and desires of the residents for park elements and programs.	Public Sector
3 Create a Program Plan for Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park that encompasses the variety of programs, services, and activities.	Public Sector
4 Require developers working in the 1/2-mile radius of the park seeking public funding or approvals to make an intentional effort to lease ground floor space at an affordable rate to businesses that serve the existing community's interests and needs (prevent changing the dynamic and culture of the neighborhood by only appealing to outside customers). The City could examine the potential incentives for this effort (such as a tax abatement on tax bills for business owners who commit to this effort).	Public/Private Sector

PRINCIPLE 6

Honor and celebrate the history of the Lost Neighborhood and important places & people through signage, historical markers, public art, etc.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Invest in a dedicated historic preservation and documentation project to understand the various histories of the Lost Neighborhood and the neighborhoods surrounding Hollice T. Williams Park; incorporate and formalize the research that members of the Pensacola community have begun; include both formal histories as well as personal ones; allow for opportunities for residents to share photographs and oral histories.	Public Sector
2 Develop a well-designed, interactive theme that weaves history and stories throughout the park that not only informs users about the history, but encourages them to interact with it (for example, 3D structures they can walk in and through, music-based exhibits, etc.)	Public Sector
3 Developers that are working within a 1/2 mile of the park and seeking public funding or approvals should incorporate public art that honors the history of the neighborhood into their buildings and exterior spaces.	Private Sector



PRINCIPLE 7

Preserve existing community buildings and invest proactively to prevent deterioration.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Create and publicize the reinvestment and maintenance schedule for public buildings in the vicinity of Hollice T. Williams Park (such as the E.S. Cobb Center, etc.) so the community can see that the City is proactively preventing the decline of buildings with cultural significance.	Public Sector
2 Create a public grant or loan program to support business owners in preserving, updating, and growing their businesses, properties, and buildings.	Public/ Private Sector
3 Outreach to businesses, property owners, developers, and other public agencies who are interested in renovating community anchors (such as the H&O Cafe, Spencer Bibbs Center, Oliver's Flowers, the Ice House, etc.); support owners with the application process and connect them to additional funding with matching requirements.	Public/ Private Sector
4 Work with important community organizations and anchors, such as youth athletic programs, to make improvements to the public parks where programming occurs; look for creative projects that will serve the needs of the community, such as creating space for children to do homework after school.	Public Sector



PRINCIPLE 8

Create jobs and provide opportunities for wealth building for local residents.

How could this be accomplished?

Who would implement?

- 1

The City expects developers to follow the City’s Covenant for the Community. In particular, projects within a 1/2 mile of Hollice T. Williams Park that are seeking public funding or approvals should hire local residents and M/W/DBE companies to participate in the construction or operations of new development, exceed Section 3 program requirements for any projects receiving state or federal funding, or develop an internal workforce development program that trains residents and connects them to current and future opportunities.

Private Sector
- 2

Establish a monthly market or regular series of events to provide an opportunity for local vendors to sell their products; build spaces into the park to easily facilitate these types of events (kiosks, multi-use structures, power, lighting, shade, etc.).

Public Sector
- 3

Invest in building permanent structures/kiosks, or temporary elements with the basic amenities (water/power) that will provide an opportunity for local vendors to share their goods on a regular basis.

Public Sector



PRINCIPLE 9

Require positive impact(s) from development projects in the adjacent community or communities.

How could this be accomplished?

Who would implement?

- 1

Developers may demonstrate positive impact through the creation of jobs, leasing space to entrepreneurs and local businesses at an affordable rate, programming the building with neighborhood-serving uses, including affordable housing into their development, or other creative strategies.

Private Sector
- 2

Develop a minority developer mentorship program that includes an annual training program and a program that pairs experienced developers with access to capital with minority developers or individuals with an interest in development to grow the pool and capacity of the development community in Pensacola; offer funding opportunities for alumni of the program.

Public/Private Sector
- 3

Connect small businesses that serve the local market with neighborhood-serving retail (corner markets with fresh food, ice cream shop, diners and restaurants, etc.) to resources, funding opportunities, and developers with lease-able space.

Public/Private Sector



PRINCIPLE 10

Address and monitor environment impacts of the highway to minimize negative effects.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Invest in and install mitigation elements such as plants/trees with natural purifying functions (pines and cypresses, silver birch, yew, and elder trees) or noise barriers that can reduce the impact of the highway on users of the park and residents of surrounding neighborhoods.	Public Sector
2 Invest in ongoing environment monitoring in the park and several blocks in to the neighborhood to determine the environmental impacts of the highway and to track the effectiveness of mitigation strategies.	Public Sector



PRINCIPLE 11

Ensure stormwater projects don't have negative effects on the immediately-adjacent community.

How this could be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Design the stormwater management within Hollice T. Williams Park project to have a positive impact not only on the overall region, but to ensure that there is no negative impact on the immediately-surrounding blocks and neighborhoods.	Public Sector
2 Design and execute an education campaign including messaging, materials, and meetings to explain how flooding mitigation improvements will not exacerbate existing flooding; materials should be communicated simply, with diagrams and animations to make sure all residents understand that they will not be negatively impacted and how the system will work.	Public Sector
3 Developers within the 1/2-mile radius who incorporate low-impact development strategies and demonstrate that the project will not have negative downstream impacts may utilize stormwater credits from the Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park.	Private Sector

PRINCIPLE 12

Develop and fund a long-term maintenance plan for the park that is sustainable.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Dedicate sufficient and sustainable funding for maintenance, safety, and lighting within the park so that the new park remains an asset in the community that will uphold and bolster surrounding property values and act as a safe, clean place for residents to recreate and enjoy.	Public Sector
2 Create a caretaker or ambassador program with a higher level of operating partner to maintain and manage park amenities and programs.	Public Sector
3 Create a corporate philanthropic program that could help reduce fees for low-income vendors and contribute to an Operation and Maintenance Fund.	Public Sector
4 Organize non-profits and local volunteer groups that help raise funds for special programming in the park, supplement by cleaning up litter, and adopt the park as a place that private citizens care about and take care of.	Private Sector



PRINCIPLE 13

Create new affordable housing.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Build mixed-income and affordable rental housing that is attainable for the residents of the surrounding neighborhoods; provide public incentives to help close gaps and upgrade infrastructure.	Public/ Private Sector
2 Partner to build affordable for-sale infill housing in vicinity of the park; provide first-time homebuyer incentives and work with non-profits to close funding gaps to increase the number of homes that can be brought on-line each year.	Public/ Non-Profit Sector
3 Acquire land with public funds and solicit development partners through RFP processes for affordable housing, with the goal that the housing should be affordable to the average income of families in the immediate neighborhood.	Public Sector



PRINCIPLE 14

Provide support to existing long-term homeowners so they can remain in their homes and realize increased values.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Explore tax additional incentives for long-term homeowners and educate and support residents in applying for Pensacola’s Save Our Homes homestead classification, which limits annual property tax increase to 3%.	Public Sector
2 Create a robust outreach and information campaign to educate homeowners about available funding programs for home improvements	Public Sector
3 Partner to increase the funding available for residential property improvements (with foundations, other sources of public funding, affordable housing trust funds, bonds, etc.)	Public/ Non-Profit Sector
4 Expand pro-bono legal & estate planning services to ensure residents have clear title documentation so wealth can be passed between generations.	Public/ Non-Profit Sector



PRINCIPLE 15

Support and stabilize existing rental housing to prevent displacement.

How could this be accomplished?	Who would implement?
1 Apply the City of Pensacola’s Residential Anti-Displacement and Relocation Policy (which applies to all activities undertaken through the uses of CDBG, HOME, and other federal funding), and expand it to apply to all projects in the 1/2-mile radius of Hollice T. Williams park seeking public funding or approvals.	Public Sector
2 Tie financial incentives for improvements to owners’ and developers’ cooperation in keeping rents affordable and preventing displacement within the neighborhoods surrounding Hollice T. Williams Park.	Public/ Private Sector
3 Use public funds to purchase affordable properties whose affordability is expiring in the coming 5 years and partner to re-syndicate and preserve affordability.	Public Sector



PRINCIPLE 16

Include community members in the decision-making process.



How could this be accomplished?

Who would implement?

- | | | |
|----------|---|----------------|
| 1 | Support the formation and capacity building of strong neighborhood associations; share regular updates about consequential projects happening within the vicinity of the park with the relevant neighborhood associations; hold developers accountable for coordinating with the neighborhood associations for support. | Public Sector |
| 2 | Developers who meet with, present to, and seek letters of recommendation from the relevant neighborhood associations impacted by their projects will receive higher priority when applying to the City/CRA for any funding, entitlements, or approvals. | Private Sector |
| 3 | The City will commit to increased communication about project updates by scheduling regular meetings with the relevant neighborhood associations. | Public Sector |

PRINCIPLE 17

Demonstrate familiarity and responsiveness to existing community plans.



How could this be accomplished?

Who would implement?

- | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|
| 1 | Developers working within 1/2 mile of Hollice T. Williams park seeking public funding or approvals must demonstrate that proposals comply with the CRA plans and/or the Equitable Development Framework Plan principles. | Private Sector |
|----------|--|----------------|



Next Steps

This Equitable Development Framework Plan defined the high-level values and principles that should guide design of the Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park and public and private projects within a 1/2-mile radius of the park. However, additional details will be needed to adopt specific policies and funding incentives to accomplish these values and principles.

The next step should be to commission a more detailed set of recommendations, supported by a consensus negotiation process that involves elected and appointed officials, community leaders, and other key stakeholders. A Step 2 document should outline the policies that the City is committed to putting in place to ensure that negative impacts (like increasing rents and home prices) are minimized and development is inclusive to everyone, especially long-time residents and businesses.





RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

PROGRAM PURPOSE

The Residential Property Improvement Program ("RPIP") is designed to support the preservation and creation of affordable housing, preservation and enhancement of traditional neighborhood character, blight elimination and prevention, and preservation and enhancement of the tax base in accordance with the public purposes authorized under Chapter 163, Part III of the Florida Statutes.

PROPERTY ELIGIBILITY

- Must be located within an eligible target area within a City of Pensacola community redevelopment district, if applicable. Visit www.cityofpensacola.com/CRAPrograms to verify eligible areas.
- Must be used primarily for residential purposes.
- Eligible building types include:
 - Buildings that appear from the street to be an individual single family, a duplex or a single family attached home (such as a townhome) and accessory dwelling units, regardless of number of units.
 - Live-work or mixed-use buildings where the principal use of the building is residential. (ex. home occupation)
- May be occupied by the property owner ("owner-occupied"), long-term rental (12 months or more) or vacant (subject to occupancy terms).

HOUSEHOLD INCOME REQUIREMENTS

Homes assisted through this program support households within an annual income of **120% Area Median Income ("AMI") or less**, adjusted for family size, based on the Florida Housing Finance Corporation's (FHFC) annual income limits for Escambia County, Florida.

Owner Occupied: Households with annual income of 120% AMI or less are eligible.

Rental Property: Long-term rental property (12 months or more) is eligible under this program. Where the household income of the landlord exceeds 120% AMI, he or she shall be responsible to provide a match equal to 30% of the project costs. Rental property must be occupied by a household at or below 120% AMI at the time of application or within 90 days of project completion. Referral to Section 8 can be provided. Rents may not increase more than 3% each year and monthly rent amounts may not exceed the affordability rent limits published annually by the Florida Housing Finance Corporation. The lease must be provided to establish eligibility.

Vacant Property: Vacant property must be occupied within 90 days of project completion or immediately listed for sale upon project closeout and conveyed in accordance with the terms of the affordability period covenants described below.

In instances where property will be sold immediately upon improvement, requirements shall be the same as rental property.

ELIGIBLE IMPROVEMENTS

Eligible improvements include the following:

- Exterior repair and enhancements necessary to restore building appearance, integrity, and character.
- Fencing, lighting and limited landscape and pavement.
- Other improvements necessary to enhance the street face of the property and provide for safe and decent living conditions.

Priority will be given to exterior features that are visible from the public realm.

PROJECT TYPE

Terms for this program are based on the degree of improvement needed, as determined by a program inspector in coordination with the owner, and actual project costs. The project type shall correlate with the affordability period requirements described under “Affordability Period Covenants” below.

- **Moderate Rehabilitation**
Cost Range: Up to \$28,000 Affordability Period: 5 years
Cost Range: \$28,001 - \$40,000 Affordability Period: 7 years
- **Major Rehabilitation**
Cost Range: \$40,001 - \$70,000 Affordability Period: 10 years
- **Substantial Reconstruction**
Cost Range: \$70,001 - \$105,000 Affordability Period: 15 years

* Should an owner wish to remove the affordability period covenants after 5 years of good and faithful compliance with the program, he or she may repay the amount of the program assistance minus a forgiveness benefit of 70% of the actual project costs paid by the program, up to \$28,000. Forgiveness is calculated daily of the 5-year period.

AFFORDABILITY PERIOD COVENANTS

To meet the CRA's goal of increasing and preserving affordable housing within the redevelopment districts, this program will apply covenants, secured by a lien agreement, upon the property during the "affordability period" described under "Rehabilitation Needs" above. The covenants will not require repayment of the assistance amount if the property is retained for affordable housing or transferred to an eligible relative, as defined in the program policy, and the home is not intentionally demolished and/or rebuilt.

If the property is not transferred to an eligible relative during the Affordability Period, and the owner decides to sell the property, the property must either be

- a. Sold to an owner-occupant whose household income is at or below 120% AMI or
- b. Sold to a landlord who will rent the home to a household at or below 120% AMI within 90 days of acquisition.

**City of Pensacola
Community Redevelopment
Agency**
222 W. Main Street, Pensacola FL
32502
www.cityofpensacola.com/cra

Administered By:
Community Redevelopment
Agency
Hilary Halford, Program Manager
(850) 436-5654
hhalford@cityofpensacola.com

Program subject to funding availability and full terms described in the program policy and affiliated agreements.



Residential Resiliency Program Guidelines

The Residential Resiliency Program is designed to support blight removal and prevention by repairing storm-damaged structures or securing at-risk property against future damage caused by natural hazards such as hurricanes and flooding.

The public purposes addressed by the Program are consistent with those authorized by the Community Redevelopment Act Chapter 163 of the Florida Statutes, including the elimination and prevention of blight, revitalization of neighborhoods, the elimination or improvement of the shortage of affordable housing for low or moderate income residents and the preservation or enhancement of the tax base.

ELIGIBLE PROPERTY

Eligible property includes residential owner-occupied property with a household income at or below 80% Area Median Income (AMI), and residential long-term lease property (12 months or more) rented to tenants with a household income at or below 80% AMI for Escambia County, Florida, and located within the City of Pensacola's designated Urban Core, Westside or Eastside community redevelopment area.

FUNDING LIMITS

- **Owner-Occupied Property:** \$15,000(80% AMI or less)/\$7,500 (+80% AMI)
- **Long-Term Lease Property:** \$7,500 (Tenant household income 80% AMI or less)

All awards subject to funding availability.

ELIGIBLE IMPROVEMENTS

Typical eligible improvements include (but are not limited to):

- Emergency roof repair/replacement
- Hazardous tree removal/trimming
- Electrical, plumbing and structural repairs
- At-risk mechanical equipment (i.e. HVAC systems) repair/replacement and/or elevation

Other improvements that remedy storm damage or secure at-risk property against future damage caused by natural hazards may be considered on a case-by-case basis. Subject to inspection by a licensed and insured Contractor or CRA-appointed inspector.

Roofing: Roofing repairs/replacement must be warranted pursuant to inspection by a licensed and insured Contractor or a CRA-appointed inspector.

Hazardous Trees: Trees must have already fallen or be deemed hazardous pursuant to inspection by a licensed and insured Contractor or a CRA-appointed inspector.

Electrical, Plumbing, Structural: Must present a hazard to health and/or property if not repaired, such as a risk for fire, exposure of home to outside elements, or potential for major damage to the home.

At-Risk Mechanical Equipment: Equipment must be at-risk for storm damage or damaged by a recent storm event and attributed to the safety and integrity of the home. Elevation limited to properties located in high flood hazard areas (Zones A and V) as defined by the most recent FEMA flood maps. Visit the Northwest Florida Water Management District website for more information on flood hazard areas: <http://portal.nwfwmdfloodmaps.com/>.

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE:

Assistance is provided as a zero-interest forgivable deferred loan secured by a sub-ordinate lien agreement. The lien period is 1 year for owner-occupied property and 3 years for long-term lease property. The lien is forgiven on a daily basis over the course of the lien period. At expiration of the lien period, the loan is forgiven in full with program compliance.

Eligible program participants shall be eligible to apply for assistance under other residential CRA programs after a period of one (1) year, commencing on the beginning date of the lien period and terminating one (1) year thereafter. However, improvements made under this program shall not be eligible for modification or reconstruction.

Leased Property: Limited to landlords renting to households at or below 80% AMI. Rents must not be increased more than 5% per year during the lien period, and must be certified annually to the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

Transfer of Property: During the lien period, transfer of property shall only be permissible to an eligible heir, as defined by Florida law. In the event of the transfer of property to an heir, such heir shall assume all responsibilities for compliance under the program.

Duplication of Benefits: This program shall not be used to duplicate or supplant benefits received or available from insurance policy payouts, and FEMA assistance programs.

CONTRACTING PROCEDURES:

The property owner shall be responsible for contracting licensed and insured contractors to conduct the improvements according to the following procedures.

Bids shall be solicited on behalf of the property owner, from three (3) or more licensed and insured contractors who are qualified to conduct the improvements. Contractors to be bid shall be selected by the property owner. The project shall be awarded to the lowest and most responsive bidder. **Owner shall not cause work to commence until a work contract has been executed and the Contractor has been issued a Purchase Order.** Payment shall be made by the CRA directly to the Contractor.

EMERGENCY RESPONSE AND RECOVERY: In the event of an emergency response or recovery situation, such as a state of emergency related to a natural disaster or an instance where an immediate threat is presented to the building, funding may be approved via an abbreviated process (“emergency approval”).

Eligible Improvements: Emergency approvals shall be limited to the minimum amount of work necessary to stabilize the building and prevent further degradation. Examples of work include, but are not limited to, tarping, boarding windows and removing fallen trees. In instances when a CRA-appointed inspector cannot be provided, the owner (or their licensed and insured contractor), shall provide, at minimum pictures and written documentation of the conditions warranting improvement.

Contracting & Payment: Work authorized under emergency approval shall be provided as a grant and exempt from lien requirements. Contracting procedures may be waived at the discretion of the CRA Manager, only in accordance with emergency procurement laws pertaining to City procurement. Administration and contracting may also be facilitated and/or secured by a Partnering Agency or City Department under these events on a case-by-case basis. Contractors shall be licensed and insured. Payment shall be made by the CRA directly to the Contractor. In the event a homeowner or their representative undertakes the stabilization work themselves only cost of materials will be reimbursed upon submission of paid receipts. Payment shall be made upon 100% completion of the work, and passed inspection if applicable. Completion shall be verified by, at minimum, date stamped photo documentation to include both before photos of damages and after photos of work and materials provided. Work shall comply with all local, state and federal requirements. Applicants shall fully cooperate with the CRA Office in providing the documentation necessary to verify the completion of the work performed and compliance with law.

Income Verification: During emergency response and recovery, household income may be verified by self-certification.

Once the emergency response period has ended, the program shall be re-opened under normal operational procedures. However, grant recipients with remaining improvements necessary to be performed (such as requiring a new roof or windows, for example) shall be given priority over new applicants and funded based on the order in which their grant application was approved during the emergency approval process.

PRIORITIZATION: In instances of high program demand and limited funding, program approvals may be prioritized based on the extent of damage and degree of threat to the building and its inhabitants (e.g. a tree that has fallen on or near a structure will receive higher priority than a tree that poses a threat to a property), as well as, household income (households at or below 80% AMI will receive higher priority than households over 80% AMI) and direct impacts caused by major storm events such as hurricanes and floods.

PROCEDURES:

1. Upon submittal of a complete application, including all supporting documentation, the Program Administrator will determine initial eligibility for program participation.

2. Upon a finding of initial eligibility, or in conjunction with the application, the project shall be placed out to bid. Upon receipt of the bids, the project shall then be considered for approval.
3. Upon approval, the Owner shall enter into a work contract with his/her Contractor and execute the Lien Agreement. A Notice to Proceed (NTP) establishing the start date for construction will be will be issued to the selected Contractor.

Completion must be achieved within 90 days from the established start date unless otherwise authorized by the CRA Manager or his or her representative.

4. The lien will be forgiven in full at the conclusion of the lien period with program compliance.

City of Pensacola Community Redevelopment Agency

222 W. Main Street, Pensacola FL 32502

Phone: (850) 436-5675 (Programs Line)

Email: cradivision@cityofpensacola.com

Web: www.cityofpensacola.com/cra

Program subject to funding availability and full terms described in the program documents.

HUD release: 4/1/2025

Effective: 4/1/2025

**2025 Income Limits and Rent Limits
Florida Housing Finance Corporation
SHIP and HHRP Programs**

County (Metro)	Percentage Category	Income Limit by Number of Persons in Household										Rent Limit by Number of Bedrooms in Unit					
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	0	1	2	3	4	5
DeSoto County Median: 64,400	30%	15,650	21,150	26,650	32,150	37,650	41,300	44,150	47,000	Refer to HUD		391	460	666	872	1,032	1,139
	50%	24,950	28,500	32,050	35,600	38,450	41,300	44,150	47,000	49,840	52,688	623	668	801	925	1,032	1,139
	80%	39,900	45,600	51,300	56,950	61,550	66,100	70,650	75,200	79,744	84,301	997	1,068	1,282	1,481	1,652	1,823
	120%	59,880	68,400	76,920	85,440	92,280	99,120	105,960	112,800	119,616	126,451	1,497	1,603	1,923	2,221	2,478	2,734
	140%	69,860	79,800	89,740	99,680	107,660	115,640	123,620	131,600	139,552	147,526	1,746	1,870	2,243	2,591	2,891	3,190
Dixie County Median: 62,400	30%	15,650	21,150	26,650	32,150	37,650	41,300	44,150	47,000	Refer to HUD		391	460	666	872	1,032	1,139
	50%	24,950	28,500	32,050	35,600	38,450	41,300	44,150	47,000	49,840	52,688	623	668	801	925	1,032	1,139
	80%	39,900	45,600	51,300	56,950	61,550	66,100	70,650	75,200	79,744	84,301	997	1,068	1,282	1,481	1,652	1,823
	120%	59,880	68,400	76,920	85,440	92,280	99,120	105,960	112,800	119,616	126,451	1,497	1,603	1,923	2,221	2,478	2,734
	140%	69,860	79,800	89,740	99,680	107,660	115,640	123,620	131,600	139,552	147,526	1,746	1,870	2,243	2,591	2,891	3,190
Duval County (Jacksonville HMFA; Jacksonville MSA) Median: 102,500	30%	21,550	24,600	27,700	32,150	37,650	43,150	48,650	54,150	Refer to HUD		538	576	692	872	1,078	1,285
	50%	35,900	41,000	46,150	51,250	55,350	59,450	63,550	67,650	71,750	75,850	897	961	1,153	1,332	1,486	1,640
	80%	57,400	65,600	73,800	82,000	88,600	95,150	101,700	108,250	114,800	121,360	1,435	1,537	1,845	2,132	2,378	2,624
	120%	86,160	98,400	110,760	123,000	132,840	142,680	152,520	162,360	172,200	182,040	2,154	2,307	2,769	3,198	3,567	3,936
	140%	100,520	114,800	129,220	143,500	154,980	166,460	177,940	189,420	200,900	212,380	2,513	2,691	3,230	3,731	4,161	4,592
Escambia County (Pensacola-Ferry Pass- Brent MSA) Median: 100,200	30%	20,650	23,600	26,650	32,150	37,650	43,150	48,650	54,150	Refer to HUD		516	553	666	872	1,078	1,285
	50%	34,350	39,250	44,150	49,050	53,000	56,900	60,850	64,750	68,670	72,594	858	920	1,103	1,275	1,422	1,570
	80%	54,950	62,800	70,650	78,500	84,800	91,100	97,350	103,650	109,872	116,150	1,373	1,471	1,766	2,041	2,277	2,512
	120%	82,440	94,200	105,960	117,720	127,200	136,560	146,040	155,400	164,808	174,226	2,061	2,208	2,649	3,061	3,414	3,768
	140%	96,180	109,900	123,620	137,340	148,400	159,320	170,380	181,300	192,276	203,263	2,404	2,576	3,090	3,571	3,983	4,396
Flagler County (Palm Coast MSA) Median: 92,800	30%	19,950	22,800	26,650	32,150	37,650	43,150	48,650	54,150	Refer to HUD		498	534	666	872	1,078	1,285
	50%	33,200	37,950	42,700	47,450	51,250	55,050	58,850	62,600	66,430	70,226	830	889	1,067	1,233	1,376	1,518
	80%	53,150	60,750	68,350	75,900	82,000	88,050	94,150	100,200	106,288	112,362	1,328	1,423	1,708	1,973	2,201	2,429
	120%	79,680	91,080	102,480	113,880	123,000	132,120	141,240	150,240	159,432	168,542	1,992	2,134	2,562	2,961	3,303	3,643
	140%	92,960	106,260	119,560	132,860	143,500	154,140	164,780	175,280	186,004	196,633	2,324	2,490	2,989	3,454	3,853	4,250
Franklin County Median: 74,100	30%	15,950	21,150	26,650	32,150	37,650	43,150	47,050	50,100	Refer to HUD		398	463	666	872	1,078	1,214
	50%	26,550	30,350	34,150	37,950	41,000	44,050	47,050	50,100	53,130	56,166	663	711	853	986	1,101	1,214
	80%	42,500	48,600	54,650	60,700	65,600	70,450	75,300	80,150	85,008	89,866	1,062	1,138	1,366	1,578	1,761	1,943
	120%	63,720	72,840	81,960	91,080	98,400	105,720	112,920	120,240	127,512	134,798	1,593	1,707	2,049	2,368	2,643	2,914
	140%	74,340	84,980	95,620	106,260	114,800	123,340	131,740	140,280	148,764	157,265	1,858	1,991	2,390	2,763	3,083	3,400

Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC) income and rent limits are based upon figures provided by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and are subject to change. Updated schedules will be provided when changes occur.



City of Pensacola

222 West Main Street
Pensacola, FL 32502

Memorandum

File #: 25-567

Eastside Redevelopment Board

5/20/2025

DISCUSSION

SPONSOR:

SUBJECT:

2025 PROPOSED EASTSIDE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN

SUMMARY:

The CRA previously recommended a comprehensive update to the 2004 Eastside Community Redevelopment Plan and retained the consulting services of Urban Design Associates (UDA) to develop the update. This process, as outlined in the scope of services, has been substantially completed.

The first reading of Ordinance No. 5-25 will be considered by City Council following a public hearing scheduled for May 22, 2025 for approval of the Eastside CRA Plan Update (Spring 2025) prepared by UDA (the "2025 Plan Update"). Adoption is scheduled for June 12th upon second reading of the ordinance. Once adopted by the City Council, the 2025 Plan Update will serve as the CRA's amended community redevelopment plan for the Eastside redevelopment area and the guiding document for annual budgeting and focus of activity. The 2025 Plan Update contains the following sections:

Introduction - Provides background information on the CRA, introduces the Eastside Redevelopment Area and describes how to use the plan.

Planning Process - Explains the overall public engagement process used to develop the 2025 Plan Update and describes the public input that was gathered.

Analysis - Provides analysis and findings related to demographics, market conditions, physical patterns and transportation systems within the Eastside redevelopment area.

Recommendations- Provides project recommendations as follows:

- Special Demonstration Projects
 1. Crystal Ice House Market
 2. Eastside Infill Housing
 3. Hollice T. Williams Park and Equitable Development Plan
 4. Hayne Street Improvements

5. Dr. MLK Jr. Drive and N. Davis Highway Streetscapes

6. Gonzalez Street Shareway

- Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts
- Economic Development
- Neighborhood Development
- Housing
- Transportation, Streets, and Parking
- Open Space and Community Amenities

Impacts and Projections - Includes the neighborhood impact element, project priorities and tax incremental financing projections.

Appendix - Provides data collected in the development of the 2025 Plan Update.

In accordance with Section 163.362(9) of the Act, *“Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . contain a detailed statement of the projected costs of the redevelopment, including the amount to be expended on publicly funded capital projects in the community redevelopment area and any indebtedness of the community redevelopment agency, the county, or the municipality proposed to be incurred for such redevelopment if such indebtedness is to be repaid with increment revenues.”* Projected costs and a statement on potential future indebtedness is currently being developed and will be attached to the City Council items for inclusion in the 2025 Plan Update as approved and adopted.

The Ordinance would approve and adopt the 2025 Plan Update and ratify and confirm that the time certain for completing redevelopment financed by tax increment revenues in the Eastside Redevelopment Area shall be September 30, 2045. Such time certain was established by Ordinance No. 20-17 enacted by the City Council on July 13, 2017.

The 2025 Plan Update spans the remaining term of the Eastside community redevelopment area but is anticipated to be updated every five (5) years in accordance with best planning practices.

On April 7, 2025, the CRA referred the 2025 Plan Update to the Planning Board for review and written recommendations as to its conformity with the comprehensive plan for development of the City as a whole. The Planning Board found the 2025 Plan Update to be in conformance with the City's comprehensive plan.

On May 5, 2025, the CRA recommended approval of the 2025 Plan Update to the City Council. The City Council may approve and adopt the 2025 Plan Update upon such recommendation of the CRA. Following such recommendation, the City Council must hold a public hearing to consider and adopt the 2025 Plan Update. Sections 163.346 and 163.361 of the Act require the City to give notice of such public hearing in the following two ways:

1. Written notice to all taxing authorities which levy ad valorem taxes within the geographic boundaries of the redevelopment area at least 15 days in advance of the public hearing to consider approval and adoption of the 2025 Plan Update, including a summary report

- of the plan update; and
2. Public notice in a newspaper having general circulation in the area of operation of the CRA prior to the scheduled public hearing date.

Such notice has been provided.

PRIOR ACTION:

March 11, 2025 - Eastside Redevelopment Board recommended to the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) Board to approve the updated Eastside Redevelopment Plan.

FUNDING:

N/A

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

None


STAFF CONTACT:

Victoria D'Angelo, CRA Division Manager
Hilary Halford, CRA Division Assistant Manager

ATTACHMENTS:

1. PensacolaCRA_Eastside Plan-FINALDraft5.5.2025 (1)


PRESENTATION: No



Spring 2025

EASTSIDE CRA PLAN UPDATE

Pensacola, Florida



UDA FOR JLP+D MW
URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LEAD

Pensacola Community Redevelopment Agency

LEAD CONSULTANT

Urban Design Associates (UDA)

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CONSULTANT

MonWin Consulting

TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANT

HDR

MARKET CONSULTANT

JLP+D

MAYOR

D.C. Reeves
Mayor of Pensacola

CRA BOARD MEMBERS

Teniade Broughton
*City Council District 5
CRA Chairperson for 2025*

Delarian Wiggins
*City Council District 7
CRA Vice-Chairperson for 2025*

Charles Bare
City Council District 2

Jennifer Brahier
City Council District 1

Casey Jones
City Council District 3

Jared Moore
City Council District 4

Allison Patton
City Council District 6

CITY ADMINISTRATION

Tim Kinsella
City Administrator

Amy Miller
Deputy City Administrator

CRA STAFF

Erica Grancagnolo
Economic Development Director

Victoria D'Angelo
CRA Division Manager

Hilary Halford
Assistant CRA Division Manager

Shirley Baylis
CRA Program Manager

Rachel Benett
CRA Urban Design Planner

Tiffany Whiting-Cardenas
CRA Executive Assistant

EASTSIDE REDEVELOPMENT BOARD

Zachary Lane
Chairperson

Aretta Anderson
Vice-Chairperson

Jennifer Brahier
City Council & Board Member

Tommy White
Board Member

WESTSIDE REDEVELOPMENT BOARD

James Gulley
Chairperson

Karl Schwelm
Vice-Chairperson

Doug Baldwin Sr.
Board Member

Jennifer Brahier
City Council & Board Member

Lamar Brown
Board Member

C. Marcel Davis
Board Member

Michelle Press
Board Member

URBAN CORE REDEVELOPMENT BOARD

Christopher Satterwhite
Chairperson

Jared Moore
City Council & Board Member

Melanie Nichols
Board Member

Kelly Wieczorek
Board Member



The recommendations in the Eastside CRA Plan were developed between May 2024 and January 2025. The analyses are based on point-in-time data sources, and the recommendations align with the regulations and funding programs effective during the period when the plan was developed.

This CRA Plan explores recommendations for a 20-year timeframe. Recommendations that may not be feasible in the short term due to market, funding, or policy conditions are still valid for the long-term vision and may take longer to implement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS



Executive Summary	vi
-------------------	----

INTRODUCTION1

Overview of the CRA	2
---------------------	---

Activities	4
------------	---

Introduction to the Eastside	8
------------------------------	---

How to Use This CRA Plan	10
--------------------------	----

PLANNING PROCESS 13

Overall Process	14
-----------------	----

What We Heard	16
---------------	----

ANALYSIS 21

Demographics and Market Analysis Summary	22
--	----

Physical Patterns	28
-------------------	----

Transportation Analysis Summary	40
---------------------------------	----

RECOMMENDATIONS.....	43	IMPACTS AND PROJECTIONS.....	97
Big Ideas For The Eastside	44	Neighborhood Impact Element	98
<i>Crystal Ice House Market</i>	<i>48</i>	Priority Projects	100
<i>Eastside Infill Housing</i>	<i>52</i>	TIF Revenue Projection	104
<i>Hollice T. Williams Park Equitable Development Framework Plan</i>	<i>54</i>		
<i>Hayne Street Improvements</i>	<i>56</i>	APPENDIX	109
<i>Dr. MLK Jr Drive and N Davis Highway Streetscapes</i>	<i>58</i>	Statutory Compliance	110
<i>Gonzalez Street Shareway</i>	<i>62</i>	Summary of Step 1 Engagement	114
Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts	66	Summary of Step 2 Engagement	122
Economic Development	72	Demographic and Market Analysis	138
Neighborhood Development	76	CRA Funding Resources	166
Housing	80	Sidewalk Prioritization	168
Transportation, Streets, and Parking	84	Micromobility Area Map	169
Open Space and Community Amenities	90	Cost and Impact Countermeasures	170
		Transportation Analysis	174

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) Plan Update is intended to provide direction for policies, programs, and projects in the Eastside CRA District in the City of Pensacola, Florida. The process of listening to residents and stakeholders informed an ideal vision for Pensacola's Eastside CRA District. The recommendations outlined in this document are intended to establish a foundation of guiding principles, projects, and decision-making frameworks to achieve the community's visions for the future.

The role of the CRA is to lead decision-making efforts related to redevelopment within its jurisdiction and to utilize increment revenues to undertake and complete redevelopment projects authorized by Part III, Chapter 163, *Florida Statutes*. TIF is a funding mechanism authorized under Chapter 163, Part III, *Florida Statutes* which capture incremental growth in the tax base within the CRA district to be reinvested in strategic projects within the district. Key community redevelopment goals include:

- Preserving, rehabilitating, and enhancing the community redevelopment area neighborhoods to maximize livability and quality of life;
- Fostering a diverse supply of housing options, especially affordable and workforce housing; and
- Creating a robust and stable economy that offers employment, culture, and entertainment and is filled with people and businesses.

More specifically, this CRA Plan Update is intended to target the following topics and issues within the Eastside CRA District:

- Expanding housing options, especially affordable, workforce housing;
- Preserving traditional character and history and culture;
- Tempering gentrification and/or displacement;
- Building socioeconomic equity;
- Strengthening neighborhood identity;
- Fostering economic development and sustainability;
- Improving walkability, bikeability, transit and parking management; and
- Connecting people to the waterfront and neighborhood assets.


Residents and stakeholders led the way throughout the creation of this CRA Plan Update. From 2024 through 2025, members of the CRA District community were invited to establish the overall vision for the future and provide input on preliminary recommendations. Paired with an exhaustive analysis of existing and projected conditions of demographics, economics, physical urban patterns, and transportation systems, the needs of each CRA District and the paths forward were revealed.



Crystal Ice House



Chappie James Museum of Pensacola





CRA Districts Map

This CRA Plan Update builds on past plans and represents potential investments to realize the vision of Eastside residents and stakeholders. The recommendations in this plan are organized into the following topics:

- Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts
- Economic Development
- Neighborhood Development
- Housing
- Transportation, Streets, and Parking
- Open Space and Community Amenities

A series of Special Demonstration Projects illustrate the potential impact of targeted investments, identifying opportunities to solve issues raised by the community and uncovered through the analysis of the CRA Districts. Strategies for implementing these recommended policies, programs, and projects are provided, along with recommendations about prioritizing potential projects.





INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW OF THE CRA



ABOUT THE CRA

The State of Florida's Community Redevelopment Act of 1969 provided local governments with tools to revitalize deteriorated areas of their communities, including the establishment of the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA), which was charged with the following tasks:

- Administer and prepare redevelopment plans;
- Issue revenue bonds;
- Eliminate and prevent slum and blight;
- Rehabilitate and conserve the redevelopment areas;
- Preserve and enhance the tax base;
- Create affordable housing; and
- Implement community policing innovations.


The statutes dictate that the municipality, "to the greatest extent it determines to be feasible... shall afford maximum opportunity, consistent with the sound needs of the county or municipality as a whole, to the rehabilitation or redevelopment of the community redevelopment area by private enterprise." (§163.345).

On July 1, 1977, the Florida Legislature amended the Community Redevelopment Act to allow governments to use tax increment financing (TIF) to fund redevelopment. This amendment was made to help revitalize declining areas and increase tax revenues.

In 2024, the City of Pensacola's Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) transitioned to become a division within the city's Economic Development Department. This shift reflects the close alignment between the CRA's mission and the broader goals of economic development, particularly within the city's redevelopment districts. Much like economic development efforts, the CRA's work focuses on both qualitative and quantitative improvements, enhancing the local economy while fostering sustainable growth. By leveraging social assets and resources, the CRA strives to generate a more inclusive, shared economic well-being, ultimately elevating the quality of life for the community as a whole. This transition underscores the city's commitment to revitalizing areas of need, empowering residents, and fostering a thriving, dynamic urban environment.



Public Meeting with the CRA



CRA Districts + Extents of the Equitable Development Framework Plan, extending 1/2-mile radius from Hollice T. Williams Park

ABOUT THE CRA PLANS & THE EASTSIDE

The Eastside CRA District was created in 2004 and the Eastside Neighborhood Plan was adopted as the redevelopment plan for the district as part of the Urban Infill and Redevelopment Area Plan (2004). The boundaries of the Eastside district extend from Baars Street on the north; Cervantes Street on the south; Hayne Street to the west; and 6th Avenue to Mallory; Mallory to 8th Avenue, 8th Avenue to Lee, and Lee to 9th Avenue on the east. The Eastside CRA District accounts from about 1.8% of the City of Pensacola's total land area.

The Date Certain for the Eastside is September 30, 2045.



Connection to Neighborhood Plans

In addition to outlining neighborhood profile and background, The Eastside Neighborhood Plan outlined action plans surrounding aesthetics, infrastructure, housing & neighborhood development, public safety, and economic development.

Key initiatives of this plan included:

- Implementing the two-way conversion of Davis Highway and Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Drive/Alcaniz Street;
- Increasing on-street parking;
- Creating bicycle corridor linkages to downtown;
- Street tree planting recommendations;
- Implementing the East-West two-way conversion of Maxwell and Jordan Street corridor;
- Creating/improving the Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park.

How will the CRA Plan be used?



ANALYSIS OF PREVIOUS/EXISTING PLANS AND STUDIES INCLUDE:

- Existing and previous redevelopment master plans
- City of Pensacola Comprehensive Plan
- City of Pensacola Land Development Code
- CRA Annual Reports
- Recent market studies
- Recent transportation studies and/or plans
- Socioeconomic data and demographics
- Analysis of Existing Land Uses & Land Development Regulations
- Socioeconomic Analysis
- Real Estate Market, Trends, and Gap Analysis
- Context Analysis & Classification
- Neighborhood & District Analysis
- Gentrification & Displacement Analysis
- Transportation System & Parking Analysis
- Parks & Public Space Analysis
- The City's new Strategic Plan

ACTIVITIES

In addition to specific special demonstration projects, recommendations and visions identified in the Plan, the CRA will be empowered to provide and may fund certain activities to further carry out the themes and principles of the Plan. Leveraging the private sector to the maximum extent and close coordination, cooperation and communication with other public and semi-public agencies is critical for the CRA to be most efficient with its redevelopment efforts.

In accordance with the limitations set forth in §163.387, Florida Statutes, the CRA may help directly stimulate and support private investment in new real estate development, property improvements, new business and infrastructure and may choose to offer financial incentives to fill the economic gap and stimulate redevelopment.

Property Acquisition

To help further the purposes of the Plan, the CRA may acquire property either for current or future redevelopment opportunities. The acquisition of property necessary to carry out the themes and principles of the Plan may be authorized by the CRA and City Council on a site-by-site basis or pursuant to established program policies and guidelines.

The CRA may also pay for related costs, including but not limited to, transaction costs, site clearance, demolition (with Council approval), and repairs.

Business Relocation¹

The CRA may assist existing businesses within the Redevelopment Area to relocate in order to make way for redevelopment activities consistent with The Plan.

Environmental Improvements

Properties within the Redevelopment Area are known or are perceived to contain environmental contamination. The CRA is empowered to provide funding to cover costs associated with environmental cleanup in support of the redevelopment of such properties with approval by the CRA on a site-by-site basis or pursuant to established program policies and guidelines.

Prepare Sites For Redevelopment

The CRA may prepare or cause to be prepared as building and development sites, any property in the Redevelopment Area owned or acquired by the CRA, the City or any other person, in order to redevelop the site in accordance with the Plan. Such site preparation may include, but is not limited to demolition, building rehabilitation, building relocation, infrastructure, utilities, environmental cleanup and grading. Demolition may be pre-authorized by the CRA and City Council either on a site-by-site basis or pursuant to established program policies and guidelines.

¹ Note: Limitation on assisting non-displaced businesses to relocate into the CRA, per AGO 2009-32. See 163.387(6)(c)(4); 163.370(2)(k), F.S..

Market Research And Site Due Diligence

The CRA may fund planning, surveys, and financial analysis efforts in order to assess market conditions and feasibility for redevelopment of the Redevelopment Area or for specific projects.

Property Disposition

The CRA is authorized to sell, lease, exchange, subdivide, transfer, assign, pledge, encumber by mortgage or deed of trust, or otherwise dispose of any interest in real property. To the extent permitted by law, the CRA is authorized, with the approval of City Council, to dispose of real property by negotiated sale, lease, or donation.

The leases, deeds, contracts, agreements, declarations of restrictions and other legal instruments relative to any real property interest conveyed by the CRA may contain restrictions, covenants, covenants running with the land, conditions subsequent, equitable servitudes, or such other provisions necessary to carry out the Plan and meet the objectives of the CRA.

The CRA also may pay for costs associated with the disposition of property, including but not limited advertisement for disposition, the solicitation process, and transaction costs.

Building Demolition, Rehabilitation, Reuse and Relocation

Demolition

The CRA may demolish, clear, or move buildings, structures and other improvements from any real property in the Redevelopment Area which it has acquired and as may be necessary to carry out the purpose of the Plan with the approval of City Council either on a site-by-site basis or pursuant to established program policies and guidelines.

Building Rehabilitation And Reuse²

The CRA may rehabilitate, redevelop and/or improve property for reuse. The CRA may work with the owner(s) and/or tenants through a joint venture to redevelop, reuse and/or rehabilitate such structures (ex. Commercial Property Improvements Program).

Relocation³

If a particular structure which is in an area that is proposed for redevelopment is determined by the City and/or the CRA as worthy of being preserved, the CRA may, if they determine preservation is feasible, authorize funding necessary to move the structure to another location within or outside the redevelopment area.

Additionally, the CRA may fund relocation assistance on a case-by-case basis as may be necessary to carry out the Plan.

² Note: TIF may not be utilized for recruitment activities it is constitutes a “promotional” or “marketing” activity.

³ Note: Limitation on relocation of buildings per AGO 2009-32.

Property Management and Maintenance

Property Management

The CRA may manage property in furtherance of the principals and themes of the plan either directly or indirectly through the employment of agents, employees, independent contractors, companies or other persons.

Landscape & Site Maintenance

The CRA undertakes projects, both future and past, that require maintenance once the project is completed and open to the public. The CRA may employ maintenance services either directly or indirectly through the employment of agents, employees, independent contractors, companies or other persons.

Public Improvements, Beautification & Infrastructure

The Plan identifies specific public improvement projects to be implemented. In addition, the CRA may undertake and fund, in cooperation with public and private partners, public infrastructure improvements throughout the Redevelopment Area. These projects are not designed to replace funding already allocated for capital improvements in the area but rather to augment them. Projects should be multifaceted, accomplishing several goals outlined in the prioritization criteria found within the Implementation section of the Plan. Projects may be authorized by the CRA on a project-by-project basis or pursuant to established program policies and guidelines.

Allowable improvements include the following:

- Streetscapes – including a combination of street improvements such as, paving, striping, curb & gutter, signalization, signage, street trees, landscaping, lighting and/or sidewalks.
- Pedestrian & bike improvements – such as sidewalks, crosswalks, trails, lighting, furnishings, bike racks, etc.
- Landscaping & hardscaping.
- Parking improvements – provision for off-street parking, parking structures (public and private), parking lot improvements (landscaping, lighting, striping, paving); public parking facilities and public/private parking joint ventures.
- Open space, parks and public space enhancements – Including but not limited to, parks, plazas, passages, trails, boardwalks and recreation facilities.
- Transit – trolley, bus, bus rapid transit (BRT), ferry, water taxi, rail, etc. located within the district.
- Public Art – when in conjunction with broader development or infrastructure projects.
- Waterfront & bay improvements – bulkheads, docks, marina slips, boardwalks and promenades, erosion control, etc.
- Utility upgrades – Upgrades to water, gas, sanitary sewer, phone, data, electric and other utilities when in conjunction with or in furtherance of broader development or infrastructure projects.

- Overhead utility relocation – relocation of overhead utilities shall be coordinated with utility electric company, phone, cable and data providers and the City when in conjunction with or in furtherance of broader development or infrastructure projects.
- Storm water management – storm water treatment (bio-swales, rain gardens, BMPs), detention, retention and conveyance when in conjunction with broader development or infrastructure projects.
- Environmental improvements – hazardous material cleanup, bay water-quality improvements, erosion control, etc. in preparation for broader development or infrastructure projects.
- Signage – District identification, district gateway, wayfinding, etc. in conjunction with or in furtherance of broader development or infrastructure projects.

Dissemination of Information

The CRA may undertake efforts to inform the public and stakeholders of CRA efforts to remedy slum and blighted areas as well as those specific opportunities available under CRA programs. Information may be disseminated via a number of methods, including but not limited to print and electronic means.

Community Policing Innovations

The CRA may fund Community policing innovations, as defined under Chapter 163, Part III, Florida Statutes, to target or deter criminal activity within a community redevelopment area.

Administration and Professional Consulting Services

The CRA is empowered to fund all operational, management and administrative activities of the Agency including, but not limited to, employees' salaries and benefits, equipment, supplies, software and vehicles.

The CRA is empowered to hire private consultants for expert and temporary services to carry out the Plan, including all planning and analysis activities necessary for implementation and decision making.

Not-for Profit Entity⁴

The CRA is empowered to create a not-for-profit entity to facilitate receipt of outside source funding for purposes of implementing the CRA Plan.

⁴ Note: The CRA may create a non-profit but may not use TIF funds for its creation.

INTRODUCTION TO THE EASTSIDE


The Eastside occupies an important part of Pensacola's history as one of the neighborhoods where many prominent African Americans lived and had thriving businesses. Daniel Chappie James, Jr., the first Black four-star general in the American military, and Spencer Bibbs, the first Black Superintendent of Schools, are just two among the dozens of individuals who hold an important role in Black history. The neighborhood was racially integrated in the early 1940s and continued to thrive until a portion of the neighborhood was demolished to make way for I-110. Known as the "Lost Community", this section of the neighborhood existed in Pensacola until the late 1970s when the construction of Interstate 110 uprooted long-established businesses, residences, and churches.

While the effects of urban renewal are evident throughout the Eastside, its residents have been working for decades to address the blight in the neighborhood and encourage reinvestment. The Eastside Neighborhood Association was established in 1999 and began work on the Eastside Neighborhood Plan, which was completed in 2004. That plan outlined key priority areas that remain relevant today:


- Infrastructure improvements;
- Housing, Land Use & Historic Preservation;
- Parks, Recreation & Public Safety.



Spencer Bibbs Center



Historic H&O Restaurant



African-America Heritage Trail

EASTSIDE VISION

This CRA Plan Update entailed understanding where the Eastside is today and what is still needed to restore the Eastside to the thriving neighborhood it once was. Recent efforts include the construction of the park of Hollice T. Williams Park and the creation of a Neighborhood African American Heritage Trail to tell the story of prominent African Americans in Pensacola. While some challenges remain, there are strong community partners and advocates, including the Eastside Neighborhood Association, the East Pensacola Student Athlete Program, the E.S. Cobb Center, and Movement for Change, to name a few, who remain committed to making the vision of residents a reality. Community leaders are working together to tell the stories of the Eastside, prioritize residents in the redevelopment of the neighborhood, and ensure that the remaining fabric of this neighborhood is preserved.

The following elements from prior planning remain relevant and should anchor reinvestment in the Eastside. Over the coming years, reinvestment efforts should continue to address how to:

- Share the history of the Eastside, preserve structures of historical significance and minimize demolition;
- Address the high-speed traffic and appearance and safety of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Drive and the Davis Street corridors;
- Complement the unique architectural character of the neighborhood while encouraging compatible commercial development that will provide access to daily needs and other amenities;
- Provide affordable housing and ensure residents can stay in the neighborhood;
- Reimagine Hollice T. Williams Park in a manner that brings the neighborhood together and addresses the needs of current residents.



Community pool



Eastside home



Movement for Change building

HOW TO USE THIS CRA PLAN

PLAN ORGANIZATION

The Eastside CRA Plan Update is one of three parallel plan updates for each of the CRA Districts. Some high-level goals and policies overlap more than one district, but each Plan Update is tailored to specifically target the visions, opportunities, and implementation goals of the community in each district. Each Plan Update is structurally aligned for ease of use by the CRA throughout the implementation of these plans.

Introduction

This chapter provides an overview of the CRA's role and responsibilities specific to the Eastside. Also described is the alignment of the CRA Plan Updates with the city's 10-year strategic plan, Strive to Thrive: Pensacola 2035.

Planning Process

This chapter summarizes the robust community engagement process conducted by the CRA and the consultant team. Overall summaries of community feedback can be found in this chapter, with more detailed inventory of community feedback for reference in the Appendix.

Analysis

This chapter contains detailed analysis of the demographics, market potential, physical conditions, political boundaries, and transportation systems specific to the Eastside CRA District.

Recommendations

This chapter contains an index of all policy, program, and project recommendations that are either new or updated from past plans, categorized by the following topics:

- Land Use, Zoning, and Special District
- Economic Development
- Neighborhood Development
- Housing
- Transportation, Streets, and Parking
- Open Space and Community Amenities

This chapter also includes a selection of Special Demonstration Projects, which serve to illustrate the potential impact of the implementation of collections of recommendations. These pages are intended to be "one-stop-shops" for potential actionable initiatives, but do not require exact conformity and do not represent the entirety of potential impactful projects for the district.

Impacts and Projections

This chapter describes the impact of recommendations on neighborhoods, provides a preliminary list of priority projects, and TIF revenue projections.

Appendices

The appendices provide detailed background and studies that were produced or collected throughout the process and informed the recommendations, including:

- Summary of Step 1 Engagement
- Summary of Step 2 Engagement
- Demographics and Market Analysis
- CRA Funding Resources
- Sidewalk Prioritization
- Micromobility Area Map
- Cost and Impact Countermeasures
- Transportation Analysis



Vision Statement from the Strategic Plan

STRATEGIC PLAN


Eight goals were developed through this extensive engagement and analysis process that will guide priorities in Pensacola over the next 10 years:

- Attainable Housing for All Income Levels
- Support for Youth Experiences
- More Walkable, Mixed-Use Districts
- Thriving Innovation and Businesses
- Safe Streets for All Mobilities
- Resilient Waterfront and Neighborhoods
- Public Spaces that Connect Communities
- Neighborhoods that Celebrate Culture and Honor Legacy

ALIGNMENT WITH THE STRATEGIC PLAN

Strive to Thrive, Pensacola's 2035 Strategic Plan, is intended to create consensus around a shared community vision and build a strategic foundation to build towards this vision. The Strategic Plan is a summary of the needs and opportunities of the entire City of Pensacola and provides recommendations for a variety of initiatives that particular city departments (i.e. Mayor's Office, City of Pensacola Public Works & Engineering Department, Housing Department, Sustainability & Resiliency Department, etc.) could lead in order to achieve these goals city-wide.

The City's strategic plan is a tool to guide city government's decision-making and ensure that strategic initiatives add up to achieve the broader goals and values of the Pensacola community. The City's community redevelopment plans align with the strategic plan and identify specific projects and activities authorized for the expenditure of Tax Incremental Financing (TIF) within the Urban Core, Westside and Eastside districts. The redevelopment plans are statutorily required for the expenditure of TIF funding under Chapter 163, Part III, Florida Statutes.





PLANNING PROCESS

OVERALL PROCESS

PROCESS OVERVIEW

The process to develop this plan began in 2023 and builds on the past plans for the Westside and an extensive engagement process with residents and stakeholders. A rigorous step-by-step process was followed, incorporating multiple rounds of community engagement to guide the development of the recommendations. The process for the Westside CRA Plan ran concurrently with the plans for the Urban Core and the Eastside, with dedicated opportunities for residents of each local area to provide input and feedback on the plan initiatives for each specific area. Below is a summary of the engagement that took place:

- Over 900 comments received from more than 270 participants at the community meetings;
- Over 200 comments from pop-up neighborhood workshops;
- Over 750 comments from 213 contributors on the interactive map.


Step 1: Analysis + Listening

The first step in this process involved meeting with community members, local business owners, and stakeholders to understand strengths and challenges and to receive suggestions about neighborhood improvements. In addition to reviewing existing plans and studies, this step also included:

- Listening Workshop #1 (May 28th-31st) — included stakeholder meetings;
- Online Interactive Map (May 28th-August 23rd) — to gather input from the public;
- Virtual Workshop (June);
- Neighborhood Association Luncheon (July 22nd);
- Vibe & Conversation — Belmont-DeVilliers (July 23rd);
- Cobb Center Summer Kids Camp Pop-Up (August 1st);
- Cobb Center Senior Bingo (August 2nd);
- Fricker Center Summer Kids Camp Pop-Up (August 5th);
- Coffee Conversation — Pensacola Library (August 7);
- Fricker Center Senior Bingo (August 9th);
- Pizza & Conversations — Lost Pizza (August 12th);
- Environmental & Waterways, Parks & Amenities, and Developers Virtual Meeting – (August 14th).



Listening Workshop #1



Step 2: Testing Concepts

During this step, the team held a planning workshop to test concepts for priority projects in the neighborhood, such as housing and retail, and street and park improvements. Opportunities for feedback included:

- Four-day Testing Workshop (in-person);
- Digital surveys after Steps 1 and 2.

Step 3: Feasibility & Deciding

This step entailed reviewing what we learned from the Testing Workshop and subsequent survey, and documenting the preferred plan for the Eastside, including:

- Draft Plan Development;
- Two-day Implementation Workshop to get input on the Draft Plan.

Step 4: Adoption

The final steps of this process include:

- March – presentation to the CRA & recommendation from the Eastside redevelopment board;
- April – approval by the CRA;
- May – consistency review with the compilation plan by Planning Board;
- June – adoption by City Council.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT STRATEGIES

This CRA Plan Update is anchored in the input received from Eastside residents about what is most needed in the neighborhood. The CRA team collaborated with the Eastside Neighborhood Association to identify opportunities for engagement with Eastside residents and stakeholders.

In order to maximize opportunities for participation, the team held multiple in-person and virtual events throughout the process. The CRA team also hosted additional engagement events (see Appendix) to meet people at existing gatherings and places of business. It was important to listen to residents about the existing conditions and key issues to address, while also establishing feedback loops to evaluate potential project ideas and opportunities for investment.

Ongoing communication was a core principle of this process. A CRA Plan website was established at the outset, and the following tools were used to keep participants up to date on the process:

- Regular website updates;
- Sign up via the website;
- Email updates to those that signed up on website, or at an in-person meeting;
- Digital surveys after each step of the process;
- Social media updates via Instagram and Facebook.



Testing Workshop

STAKEHOLDER GROUPS

- Workforce
- Housing
- Environment & Waterways
- Parks & Open Space
- Arts & Culture
- Neighborhood Associations
- Transportation
- Community Support organizations

WHAT WE HEARD

STEP 1: LISTENING

A core part of Step 1 was hearing from current residents & stakeholders, and engaging with the Eastside Neighborhood Association to understand the needs of the neighborhood and current priorities. This step included a three-day Listening Workshop, stakeholder meetings, and the launch of an interactive map to understand existing strengths and challenges, and gather an understanding of where the CRA should invest in the future. The appendix includes a full list of engagement touch points in this step of the process.

The Listening Workshop #1 which took place May 28th-31st included a series of public meetings and stakeholder meetings to gather input on the following topics:

- Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities — to understand the best places, problem areas, and where people would like to see something happen.
- Parks, Amenities, & Retail Destinations — to identify the places people visit most often.
- Transportation & Stormwater — to understand how people get around, identify problem streets, and areas that flood.

ENGAGEMENT TOUCH POINTS

LISTENING WORKSHOP

May 28th (6:30-8:00 PM @ Spring Street Library)

STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS

51 organizations participated across 9 stakeholder groups

INTERACTIVE MAP

Over 750 comments from 213 contributors



Listening Workshop #1

The following is a summary of what we learned during this step and the factors that informed the recommendations in the CRA Plan.

Strengths to Build On

- Neighborhood history — the Eastside was home to important African Americans and has many historically and culturally significant sites;
- Historical and educational institutions (Chappie James Museum & Flight Academy, Movement for Change);
- Parks and community centers that offer activities and resources for families, children of all ages, and seniors (including Magee Field);
- Existing architectural character.

Major Concerns

- Lack of affordable, accessible grocery store;
- Lack of neighborhood-serving retail offering everyday goods & services;
- Existing parks are not well-maintained and difficult to walk to;
- Noise and air pollution from I-110 on the adjacent neighborhood;
- Vacant/rundown buildings and lots make the area feel unsafe and detract from the existing character;
- Poor bike and pedestrian connections to amenities and downtown;
- Lack of public amenities (i.e. bathrooms);
- Existing infrastructure is inadequate, leading to drainage issues & flooding;
- Speeding traffic;
- Littering.

Opportunities for Investment

- Additional amenities and programming for existing community centers & parks in the neighborhood;
- Create a safe community (better lighting, neighborhood watch);
- Celebrate the history of the area through public art, signage, building preservation, and education;
- Create safe pedestrian and bike connections to neighborhood amenities;
- Create a wide variety of parks with different uses and programming;
- Build affordable housing;
- Ensure existing residents have a say in the future of the Eastside.



Chappie James Museum & Flight Academy



Crystal Ice Company Building



Magee Field


STEP 2: TESTING CONCEPTS

Based on what we learned in Step 1, the team tested ideas for potential projects in the Eastside, which included:

- MLK & Davis conversion to the original 2-way street network;
- Restoration of the Ice House as a gathering space and marketplace ;
- Infill housing, opportunities for residential and commercial renovations;
- Enhancements to cultural landmarks such as the E.S. Cobb Resource Center;
- Opportunities to celebrate the unique history and character of the Eastside through gateways, public art, and branding;
- Safety enhancements;
- Small business incubation and capacity building.

STEPS 3 & 4: FINALIZING THE PLAN

The final steps of the process included refining the project ideas to prioritize investment in the neighborhood. The final plan reflects input from residents regarding the most impactful opportunities for improvement.



Testing Workshop

Developer Feedback: Important Factors

Placemaking and Amenities

- Well-maintained streets & sidewalks are attractive
- Open spaces nearby add a boost
- Near other developments (residential, commercial, mixed) is attractive
- Perception of safety is attractive

Economic and Job Hubs

- Clustering of high(er) paying jobs is attractive
- Resident today have mixed perceptions about economic growth accelerating
- Desire for proximity to or inclusion of jobs/ business/ entrepreneurship / workforce training opportunities

Infrastructure

- Attracted to sites where the City takes on storm-water retention responsibility
- For now, high flood risk areas are avoided due to cost/risk
- Need for additional transit access

Land Availability

- City facilitating the availability of public land (via parcel cost or assembly) is attractive, as a means to support private development moving forward
- Project on other types of land (churches, etc.) with zoning changes is attractive
- Proposed projects on privately-owned land will be more expensive to develop

Parking


- Existing minimum parking requirements are viewed as a cost challenge that could be reduced


Funding

- Investors and developers from outside of the region tend to be less risk averse, but need a compelling vision and project opportunities to attract them

Permitting

- Projects that can easily obtain permits are more attractive





DEMOGRAPHICS AND MARKET ANALYSIS SUMMARY

Analyzing the existing demographics and market conditions of the CRA Districts was an essential step, laying the foundation for effective recommendations for policies, programs, and projects. This analysis was conducted across all three CRA Districts simultaneously, but also narrowed in on each district to identify specific conditions per district.

The intent of this analysis was to:

- Analyze demographics of each CRA District;
- Identify primary community stressors;
- Identify opportunities in the market;
- Determine the potential impacts of development in each district in order to prevent the displacement of residents and businesses;
- Provide priorities for designers and policymakers to make positive impacts and avoid negative impacts on the community.

DEMOGRAPHICS AND SOCIOECONOMICS

Resident Demographics

The Metropolitan statistical area (MSA) where Pensacola sits has a steadily growing population (1.1% annual average growth rate from 2020 to 2024, similar to the state's overall trend at 1.3% per year in the same period). The MSA's population is younger than the state's overall (40.3 vs 43.5 in median age), an asset that the City leverages for job creation and economic development.

In the Eastside CRA District specifically, as of 2024, there are over 1,000 residents residing in the District, with a population density (3,165 per square mile) significantly higher than the city (2,375 per square mile) and the MSA (320 per square mile). The District's population has been stable (0.1% annual average growth rate from 2020 to 2024), and the median age is significantly lower than that in the MSA (37.5 vs 40.3).

Income and Affordability

At the regional level, Pensacola MSA's median household income is on par with and slightly higher than the state's (\$76k vs \$75k), while the MSA's median home prices tend to be lower than the state average (\$331k vs \$392k). Hence the MSA has a much higher affordability index, which takes into consideration both price and income factors, than the state (93 vs 75). Housing affordability index, developed by ESRI Business Analyst based on Census data, indicates the relative affordability of a place; an index greater than 100 suggests homes are easily afforded by the average area resident, and an index less than 100 suggests that homes are less affordable. In terms of poverty, the city's and the MSA's poverty rates are on par with the state's level (all around 12%-14%).

Statistic	State	MSA	County	City	Eastside	Westside	Urban Core
Resident Demographics							
Population (2024)	22,779,514	534,840	329,878	54,094	1,269	6,192	3,825
Density (population per square mile) (2024)	425	320	502	2,375	3,165	3,419	1,935
Annual average population growth rate (2000-2020)	1.3%	1.1%	0.5%	-0.2%	0.1%	-0.2%	0.6%
Median age (2024)	43.5	40.3	39.9	43.5	37.5	42.1	46.8
Income and Affordability							
Median home value (2024)	\$391,816	\$300,875	\$303,143	\$354,287	\$267,157	\$208,696	\$505,696
Median household income (2024)	\$74,715	\$75,688	\$64,816	\$69,067	\$37,906	\$26,158	\$71,036
Percentage of households below the poverty level (2022)	13%	12%	14%	14%	25%	38%	11%
Vacancy rate (2022)	13%	12%	13%	11%	21%	15%	24%
ESRI Housing Affordability Index (2024)	75	93	87	79	58	51	57
Percentage of owner-occupied housing (2024)	66%	69%	64%	61%	54%	37%	54%
Jobs, Businesses, and Employment							
Total businesses (2024)	1,073,557	18,933	13,451	4,804	65	463	1,658
Total employees (2024)	9,448,528	229,861	186,682	58,160	649	6,475	19,450
Average annual employment growth rate (2010-2022)	2.2%	0.4%	0.2%	-0.2%	-0.4%	1.6%	0.5%
Average size of business (employees per business) (2024)	9	12	14	12	10	14	12
Share of population age 25+ with bachelor's degree or higher (2024)	35%	32%	31%	43%	32%	20%	50%

Data source: ESRI Business Analyst; American Community Survey


In the Eastside CRA District specifically, as of 2024, the homeownership rate is lower than the MSA (54% vs 69%). The District's median home price is lower than the MSA (\$267k vs \$331k), but so is its median household income (\$38k vs \$76k). Therefore, the affordability index in the District is lower than that in the MSA (58 vs 93), pointing to the need for both increased income and attainable housing. The poverty rate in this district (25%) is higher than the city average (14%), hence residents in this area might be particularly vulnerable to major market fluctuations.

Jobs, Business, and Employment

In the region, the military has been a major employment driver, together with key sectors such as professional services, education, healthcare, tourism, and public administration. The city is a job hub in the area, with an employee to resident ratio of 1.1 to 1 (compared to the 0.4 to 1 ratio in the MSA). In terms of job growth, the MSA and the city have seen relatively stagnant growth (0.4% and -0.2% on average per year since 2010) compared to the state (2.2%). In terms of residents' access to jobs, the city has a higher educational attainment than the MSA, measured by the share of population above 25 years old with a Bachelor's degree or higher (43% vs 32%).

In the Eastside CRA District specifically, as of 2024, the area is more residential than the city as a whole, with the employee to resident ratio of 0.5 to 1. The educational attainment of residents in the District tends to be lower than that in the city as a whole (32% of District residents above 25 years old have a Bachelor's degree or higher, compared to the city's 43%). The District's median income is lower than the city median (\$38k vs \$69k), indicating a need for greater access to upskilling and quality job opportunities.

All these statistics and more are further detailed in the Appendix.



REAL ESTATE MARKET

Housing Market

Compared to the MSA, the city has a slightly lower share of single-family homes in the total housing market (73% vs 80%), and a higher share of small-sized multi-unit housing (11% vs 7%); the shares of larger structures are similar at the city and the MSA levels (both around 5%-6%). The city's pace of building new housing, however, is slower than the MSA, as 6% of the city's housing stock was built in 2010 or later, whereas the statistic in the MSA is 13%. The city's vacancy rate is on par with the MSA's (11%-12%). In terms of price, the median home value in the city is slightly higher than the MSA (\$354k vs \$330k), while median rent in the city is lower than that in the MSA (\$1,144 vs \$1,260).

In the Eastside CRA District, housing is predominantly single-family (84%). 8% of the total housing in the district was built after 2010, a slightly higher share than the city (6%) but still lower than the MSA (13%). Vacancy rate is higher than the city average (21% vs 11%), and median home value (\$267k) and median rent (\$841) are both significantly lower than the city medians.

Commercial Market

The city has a high concentration of office, retail and hospitality assets in the region, with the city's commercial space (measured by SF or unit) to population ratios being 7 times as high as those in the MSA and state. For office, the city's current vacancy rate (2%), on par with the MSA's level (3%), is significantly lower than the state's (8%); new construction and absorption activities in the city have been relatively low. For retail, vacancy rates at the city, MSA and state levels are similar (all around 3%); there is currently more new retail under construction in the city than new office construction. In the hospitality sector, the city's current occupancy rate (62%) is on par with the state's (63%), both slightly lower than the state average (70%); there are several new hotel developments under construction or in the pipeline within the city.

The Eastside CRA District has low office presence and no existing hotels, but the district's retail spaces are noticeable (131,000 square feet) with a low vacancy rate (1%), indicating the potential need for commercial spaces that suit neighborhood character and can help grow local small businesses.

Property Value Analysis

The data for this analysis was obtained from the City and included a comprehensive list of assessed parcels within each Community Redevelopment Area (CRA). To ensure an accurate representation of taxable properties, all parcels with a noted exemption status or public ownership were removed from the dataset. This filtering process allowed for a focused analysis of non-exempt parcels, providing a clearer picture of the assessed property values within each CRA.

Statistic	State	MSA	County	City	Eastside	Westside	Urban Core
Housing Market							
Total Housing Units (2022)	9,075,148	206,688	136,891	27,066	761	3,253	1,983
Share, 1-2 units per building (2022)	67%	80%	76%	73%	84%	59%	65%
Share, 3-9 units per building (2022)	10%	7%	9%	11%	11%	13%	7%
Share, 10-50 units per building (2022)	12%	5%	7%	6%	0%	7%	10%
Share, 50+ units per building (2022)	9%	5%	5%	6%	0%	13%	12%
Median year built (2022)	1987	1988	1983	1972	1955	1965	1966
Share, units built after 2010	11%	13%	10%	6%	8%	11%	11%
Vacancy rate, all housing (2022)	16%	12%	13%	11%	21%	15%	24%
Median home value (2024)	\$391,816	\$330,875	\$303,143	\$354,287	\$267,157	\$208,696	\$500,696
Median rent (2024)	\$1,580	\$1,260	\$1,203	\$1,144	\$841	\$620	\$1,736
Commercial Market							
Office, inventory in square feet (2025)	780,000,000	17,700,000	15,800,000	15,400,000	21,500	511,000	4,100,000
Office, vacancy (2025)	8%	3%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%
Office, under construction in square feet (2025)	5,300,000	5000	0	0	0	0	0
Retail, inventory in square feet (2025)	1,300,000,000	32,500,000	23,900,000	22,600,000	131,000	595,000	1,400,000
Retail, vacancy (2025)	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%
Retail, under construction in square feet (2025)	6,400,000	153,000	153,000	153,000	0	0	0
Hospitality, inventory in rooms (2025)	508,244	9,892	8,783	7,115	N/A	26	795
Hospitality, occupancy rate (2025)	70%	63%	63%	62%	N/A	51%	69%
Hospitality, under construction in rooms (2025)	16,976	200	98	98	N/A	0	0

Data source: ESRI Business Analyst; American Community Survey; CoStar

The Eastside CRA has a total assessed value of \$89 million across 759 non-exempt parcels, with an average assessed value of \$118k. The highest-valued property is assessed at \$6 million. Compared to other CRA districts, this CRA consists of smaller and lower-value properties, including single-family residential and underdeveloped commercial areas. Many of the lowest property values are clustered beneath the I-110 overpass at the Hollice T. Williams Park and scattered throughout the district, and highest property values are clustered around the edges of the CRA.



Kindel Media

MARKET OPPORTUNITIES

Based on the assessment of the regional and local socioeconomic and market conditions, the following potential strategies are identified to further drive the city's economic development efforts:

- **Public realm strategy:** Induce and organize private development with investments in parks, open spaces, high-quality streets and sidewalks, and amenities. In the planning and implementation strategy, be mindful of gentrification and displacement risks.
- **Strategic development sites:** Identify shovel-ready development and/or adaptive reuse sites as demonstration projects. Attract private investments with streamlined site assemblage and community-supported visions.
- **Incentives:** Motivate private investments where ROI is currently too low relative to competition from other


regions. Consider the following when designing and implementing potential incentive programs:

1. Tie the applicants' qualifying criteria to KPIs aligned with key policy goals and the City's Strategic Plan;
2. Conduct cost-benefit analysis to determine the programs' fiscal impact;
3. Consider a broad array of incentive tools - in addition to fiscal tools, consider zoning policies, land contribution, and permitting and regulatory relief as part of the toolkit;
4. Consider a phased approach to test the programs in specific high-priority areas before expanding their application;
5. Consider combine guidance on community benefits agreement with incentive design to ensure fairness and preempt gentrification concerns;

6. Leverage state and federal programs to maximize the impact of local programs.

- **Economic opportunities and well-being:** Continue to leverage workforce training partnerships as well as education programs to prepare residents for high-quality careers and attract businesses. Uplift residents with access to fresh food, health, and social services. Improve the perception of public safety. These improvements also help attract further private investments.


PHYSICAL PATTERNS



Mapping patterns during the workshop helps reveal objective conditions and prove residents' anecdotal experiences

PATTERN ANALYSIS OVERVIEW

The following series of diagrams is intended to reveal key characteristics and opportunities about the community by isolating both physical and zoning elements such as land use designations, street typologies, open space amenities, vacancies, distinct classifications, and more. Identifying patterns through these standardized analytical plan diagrams helps to target specific community place-based needs and opportunities.




EXISTING LAND USES

The primary existing land use in the Eastside is residential. Retail and commercial uses line Cervantes Street at the southern boundary and are located throughout the district, in particular along N Davis Highway and N 9th Avenue. The small geography and predominantly residential uses in the Eastside district are challenges for TIF revenue generation.

ANALYSIS

Open Space	12.23%
Hotel/Lodging	—
Residential	33.21%
Institutional	21.58%
Industrial	1.85%
Retail/Commercial	3.01%
Office	2.53%
Mixed-Use	0.55%
Parking Lots	0.49%
Vacant	11.28%
Public Rights-of-Way	13.27%
Water	—

Map created using ESRI GIS Data from City of Pensacola, January 2024




COMMERCIAL & RETAIL USES

The Eastside CRA District has the least commercial land use of the three CRA Districts. Retail and commercial uses line Cervantes Street at the southern boundary of the district, and are located throughout the district, in particular along N Davis Highway and N 9th Avenue. While not concentrated, the retail and commercial uses throughout the Eastside represent important cultural and social institutions, many of them minority-owned and serving the residents of the district.

Map created using ESRI GIS Data from City of Pensacola, January 2024


ZONING

The Eastside CRA District is largely zoned lower-density residential with some small pockets of Residential/Neighborhood Commercial zoning. Cervantes Street to the south is zoned Commercial (C-3) and 9th Avenue to the southeast is zoned Retail Commercial (C-1). The majority of the western boundary is zoned as Interstate Corridor.



- Commercial (C-3)
- Interstate Corridor
- One and two family (R-1A)
- One and two family (R-1AA)
- Residential/Neighborhood Commercial
- Residential/Office
- Retail Commercial (C-1)

Map created using ESRI GIS Data from City of Pensacola, January 2024



FUTURE LAND USE


The City of Pensacola Land Development Code (LDC) establishes the intended future land uses for districts and parcels. The City is currently in the process of revising the LDC, and the map to the left may change with future updates. The full range of future land use categories are listed below.

■	Conservation Land Use (CO)
■	Low-Density Residential Land Use (LDR)
■	Medium-Density Residential Land Use (MDR)
■	High-Density Residential Land Use (HDR)
■	Office Land Use (O)
■	Residential/Neighborhood Commercial Land Use (RNC)
■	Commercial Land Use (C)
■	Industrial Land Use (ID)
■	Historic and Preservation Land Use (HP)
■	Redevelopment Land Use (R)
■	Business (B)
■	Interstate Corridor (IC)

Map created using ESRI GIS Data from City of Pensacola, January 2024


VACANCY

Approximately eleven percent of the land in the Eastside CRA District is vacant. These are unoccupied lots that are not being used for their intended purpose. Most of the vacancies are small, single-family lots scattered throughout the entire district area. The vacancies create fragmented development patterns and lessen the value of the existing homes.



ANALYSIS

Map created using ESRI GIS Data from City of Pensacola, January 2024




PUBLICLY-OWNED LAND

Publicly-owned land is an important asset. It can incentivize development that benefits the community and be used to create amenities such as open spaces and recreation facilities. The majority of the publicly-owned land in the Eastside CRA District is part of Hollice T. Williams Park, Magee Field, or the EB Cobb Resource Center. The City-owned block on Cervantes Street is the Fire Department headquarters and station. There are not currently publicly-owned development sites in the Eastside CRA.

Map created using ESRI GIS Data from City of Pensacola, January 2024

NEIGHBORHOODS

The Eastside neighborhood stretches almost the entirety of the Eastside CRA District. It is a historically African American neighborhood and is home to many important figures such as Daniel "Chappie" James, Jr. Residents have worked tirelessly to preserve and celebrate the cultural impact of the area through the African American Heritage Trail.



ANALYSIS

Map created using ESRI GIS Data from City of Pensacola, January 2024



HISTORIC DISTRICTS

Because of Pensacola's long history, there are many areas that are historically and archaeologically important.

There are no historic districts currently located in the Eastside CRA District. This does not mean there are no historically-significant properties, structures, or sites; however, there are no protected preservation areas currently.

UNIQUE DISTRICTS & OVERLAYS

There are several unique zoning districts, resource protection overlays, and other overlays that require sensitive design and enhanced access in the City of Pensacola. The Eastside CRA District includes the CRA Urban Design Overlay and Wellhead Protection Areas.

CRA Urban Design Overlay

The CRA Urban Design Overlay area covers all of the Eastside CRA District. The purpose of the district is to improve the visual appearance of these areas, while preserving the urban and architectural character. The regulations support replacing blighted properties with quality, pedestrian-oriented development and encourages a mix of uses that can support a variety of locally-oriented businesses and cultural institutions.

Wellhead Protection Area

Two Wellhead Protection Areas overlap into the Eastside CRA District. These areas are established to protect underground-based sources of drinking water. The goal is to protect the ground area around public water supply wells from contamination.



- Wellhead Protection Area
- CRA Urban Design Overlay

Map created using GoMaps 4.0 GIS Data from City of Pensacola, September 2024



PARKS, OPEN SPACE, AND AMENITIES

The CRA Districts include various parks, open spaces, and public amenities that enhance the livability and desirability of these areas. The following inventory lists all of the open space and recreation amenities in the Eastside CRA District.

- Hollice T. Williams Park
- Magee Field

Further analysis should categorize the parks as passive versus active open space and show how future planned open space connections could better link the existing parks, open space, and amenities to ensure that all parks and open spaces are utilized appropriately and contain amenities that are well-maintained by the city and well-used by the community.

Map created using ESRI GIS Data from City of Pensacola, January 2024

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ANALYSIS

TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS SUMMARY

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Understanding the existing conditions of transportation infrastructure and usage establishes a foundation for developing near-, medium-, and long- term recommendations to ensure that all residents enjoy safe and comfortable mobility options. This assessment was assembled from a variety of sources, including city and FDOT traffic and crash data, previous plans, and resident comments.

Geography & Layout

The Eastside district is geographically very narrow. Major roadways separate and divide the district making walkability and connectivity a challenge. Major north-south connectors to and from downtown are the one-way couplets Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway. This one way pair becomes the main access point to I-110 and lacks traffic calming measures that improve safety and well being for pedestrians and cyclists.

Pedestrian & Bicycle Facilities

There are many challenges with the pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure in the Eastside. The lack of crosswalks, bicycle lanes, and maintenance of these facilities further amplifies barriers to safety and comfort by residents.

Although there is a general lack of facilities in the Eastside, this district does happen to contain the longest segment of continuous bike lane in the city along N Davis Highway. But despite its length, both ends of this bike lane are dead ends in undesirable locations and there are no east-west connections that provide dedicated bicycle infrastructure.

Roadway Safety

The widths of rights-of-way in the Eastside are relatively narrow, indicative of the city's age and the primarily residential nature of this CRA District.

Additionally, the allocation of space within rights-of-way tends to favor space for cars over any other form of travel. Drivers tend to drive faster as lanes widen, further decreasing the safety of pedestrians, bicyclists, and the drivers themselves.

The Active Transportation Plan (ATP) adopted in 2023 identified the intersection of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and E Blount Street as one of the top five "high crash intersections" within the CRA Districts. Additionally, the Emerald Coast Regional Council Safe Streets and Roads For All Safety Action Plan (December 2024) identifies N Davis Highway as a Tier-1 Priority High-Injury Network roadway.




Katie E


WHAT IS WALKABILITY?

Walkability is a term that refers to the ease and ability to access amenities on foot. Great urban places tend to be very walkable, meaning that residents and visitors are able to walk (or use mobility aids) to safely and comfortably reach destinations like shops, daily services, parks, schools, employment centers, and more. Ideally, making a place more walkable will translate into more people choosing to walk instead of driving, which has health, social, economic, and environmental benefits.


This concept also often extends to bicycling infrastructure, called "bikeability." Leading to the same benefits, bikeability is another important ingredient for great urban places.




Major Roadways and USDOT Disadvantaged Communities



Pedestrian & Bike Facilities and Community Assets



Transit Routes & Stops




Functional Classification of Streets

ANALYSIS

Equity and Transportation

The Eastside CRA District ranks in the 99th percentile for transportation cost burden, compared to the city's 63rd percentile rank; this indicates that Eastside residents pay more for less services compared to others in the city. Only two bus routes service the Eastside, and neither of those routes are slated for planned improvements in ECAT's Transit Development Plan.





BIG IDEAS FOR THE EASTSIDE

ABOUT THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations in this plan are based on the input gathered from Eastside residents and stakeholders throughout this process and an extensive analysis of existing conditions and ideas in prior plans. These recommendations build on prior work while acknowledging the current market conditions and the ever-evolving needs of the community. This chapter includes a series of core ideas to restore the Eastside to a thriving community grounded in its unique history and culture, followed by policy recommendations that provide additional detail on how to make that a reality.

CORE CONCEPTS

The following is a summary of the core concepts to revitalize the Eastside, incentivize equitable development, and ensure that the neighborhood continues to evolve in a manner consistent with the vision of its residents. These ideas reflect the community feedback received throughout the public engagement process.

Diverse and Attainable Housing

Expanding the range of attainable and affordable housing options is a serious need in the Eastside. A long history of displacement and blight can still be felt in the vacancy along some streets and Eastside residents want to see opportunities for diverse housing types that can accommodate a wide range of income types. Infill development can also provide smaller development opportunities for local entrepreneurs, which is a priority of the Eastside Neighborhood Association. Investment in new housing should complement the existing scale and character of the neighborhood, while ensuring that existing residents are able to stay in their homes.

Enhancing Parks and Recreational Amenities

Hollice T. Williams Park, Magee Field, and the E.S. Cobb Resource Center are just a few of the treasured amenities in the neighborhood. Enhancing these existing centers and ensuring that residents can travel to and between them is a priority for the youth of the neighborhood. Continuing to maintain, invest in, and explore the appropriate programming of these spaces will be a critical part of continuing to serve local residents by creating spaces to gather and enhancing recreational amenities in the Eastside.



Eastside neighborhood entry sign



Infill housing example



Historical marker at Magee Field



Heritage trail pamphlet



The Chappie James Museum




Sidewalk conditions along major Eastside corridors

Prioritizing Safety and Walkability

The street grid in the Eastside was seriously impacted by the construction of I-110, and therefore is often confusing for both drivers and pedestrians, resulting in some hazardous conditions. There's an opportunity to restore a neighborhood-scale street grid that prioritizes walking and biking, while allowing for the flow of traffic through the neighborhood. The recommendations in this chapter describe potential improvements to key streets that enhance pedestrian safety, provide additional bike connectivity, and complement neighborhood character, encouraging future investment.

Culture and Economic Mobility

The Eastside has a unique history as the home of many prominent African-Americans, such as General Daniel "Chappie" James, and neighborhood treasures such as the former H&O Cafe. For years, the Eastside Neighborhood Association has worked to create an African American Heritage Trail that shares the history of its many prominent African-American residents. There's an opportunity to build on this momentum and anchor future economic development efforts around celebrating and telling the complex history of the Eastside. This could include bringing some of these important sites back to life while supporting local entrepreneurs and businesses and providing economic opportunities.



RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Transfer Maintenance of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and/or N Davis Highway to the City of Pensacola
2. Stormwater and Drainage Infrastructure Maintenance
3. Potential Trail Connection along Blount Street
4. Eastside African American Heritage Trail

Putting It All Together Policy Recommendations and Special Demonstration Projects in the Eastside

SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

Special Demonstration Projects are examples of potential projects that achieve community goals by addressing all six categories of recommendations. These projects show potential future visions that are subject to change. In the Eastside, these catalytic projects could include:

** The quotes shown on the Special Demonstration Project pages represent comments received from residents and stakeholders throughout the engagement process, including community meetings, surveys, website commenting tools, etc. Minor adjustments to the language of the comments were made for clarity purposes only.*

1. Crystal Ice House Market



2. Eastside Infill Housing



3. Hollice T. Williams Park Equitable Development Framework Plan




4. Hayne Street Improvements



5. Dr. MLK Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway Streetscapes



6. Gonzalez Street Shareway



RECOMMENDATIONS

HOW THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE ORGANIZED

Recommendations are organized into the following topics:

Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts

These recommendations are focused on optimizing planning regulations to encourage appropriate future development while preserving the existing character of neighborhoods.

Economic Development

These recommendations target initiatives that boost local economies by bringing commercial and rich mixes of uses into the district.

Neighborhood Development

These recommendations are aimed at strengthening neighborhoods through partnerships, capacity building, and building on existing assets.

Housing

These recommendations are focused on creating a wide range of affordable/attainable housing options.

Transportation, Streets, and Parking



These recommendations are intended to improve safety, connectivity, accessibility, and navigability for all users.

Open Space and Community Amenities

These recommendations aim to improve public spaces and equitably distribute and provide community resources.

SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT


CRYSTAL ICE HOUSE MARKET



"Bringing new business to the Eastside would enhance the neighborhoods"

"Preserve the unique historic structure and build activities around it."

Do you think that the Crystal Ice House is an important cultural landmark that should be preserved and reused?



"I like the idea of a connected space to hang out and socialize"

About the Special Demonstration Project

The Crystal Ice House is a cultural landmark located at E Jordan Street and N Davis Highway. Built in 1932, this is the only remaining ice house of four that supported the local fishing industry before household refrigeration. The Crystal Ice House was added to the national register of historic places in 1983, and in 2007, Pensacola named it as one of the "Seven Wonders of Pensacola."

In the future, the Crystal Ice House and adjacent parking lot could be transformed into an outdoor market to catalyze economic development in a neighborhood that once had many thriving African-American businesses. A new outdoor market could complement the longstanding LA Store that is directly across the street, which has served the neighborhood residents for many years.

This newly imagined market could provide space for local entry-level entrepreneurs, including African-American-owned business owners seeking to scale up, local food trucks, and artists. The market could also serve as a gathering space with a new pavilion for performances, community celebrations, and a space for sharing the rich history of the Eastside.

An ice cream or other themed vendor could re-occupy the Ice House, anchoring a new space of commercial activity for Eastside residents.

Community Feedback

Eastside residents were in support of reutilizing the Crystal Ice House, and adding incubator spaces for local small businesses. Their suggestions included:

- Reserve a percentage of vendor spaces for Eastside entrepreneurs alongside regional businesses;
- Find a themed vendor for the Crystal Ice House that pays homage to the former use.

Implementation & Next Steps

The CRA is coordinating with the Escambia County School District, which owns the Crystal Ice House, to explore options to replace the parking spaces in the lot north of the Spencer Bibbs Center in the surrounding neighborhoods. They may include shared parking agreements with churches or acquisition of vacant lots to develop parking lots for the school district. Rezoning would be required in order to add commercial spaces at this location. Continue to partner with the school district to look for funding opportunities for restoration of building facilities.




LEARNING FROM THE HISTORY OF THE ICE HOUSES OF PENSACOLA


Pensacola was once home to several ice houses, which were built in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century to provide ice to Pensacolians before the invention and proliferation of modern refrigeration. This ice was mainly used to preserve fish for the local fishing industry. The quirky building features stucco icicles and a polar bear on the roof – an architectural landmark as much as it is a cultural one.

Pensacolians have fond memories of the ice houses. One resident remembers:

"On my walk home, which most of the year was in sunny, hot, and humid weather, I always stopped at the ice house.... All of my friends and I stopped for long and ice-cold drinks and water from the faucet. We drank all we could. At the time I took the plentiful and free freezing cold water for granted but looking back, I can see it was an unspoken gift of the ice company to use school children of the neighborhood."



Do you think that creating a small business incubator and food park around the Crystal Ice House would benefit the Eastside CRA District?



*For full survey results, see Appendix

"Today, the Crystal Ice House is an eyesore and needs some maintenance."

"The location would also draw people from many locations and be an asset to the school building next to it."



Yelp



Aleksandr Vorobev

Examples of small, pop-up, gathering spaces centered around food, live music, and other activation

"I'm a big fan of food parks!"

RELATED POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

LAND USE, ZONING, AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS

- Develop Design and Form Standards for all projects receiving CRA funding
- Adaptively reuse vacant historic structures for neighborhood-serving retail, restaurants, and shops

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

- Support the development of small retail nodes near higher visibility corridors and cultural landmarks
- Integrate the market with organizations that provide small business support
- Build the relationship with Escambia County School District

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

- Support neighborhood capacity building
- Support the neighborhood in developing a unique identity and branding for the Crystal Ice House Market
- Offer incentives and support projects that preserve and celebrate cultural destinations and preserve historic buildings

TRANSPORTATION, STREETS, AND PARKING


- Transform Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway into slower-moving two-way streets with wider, shaded sidewalks
- Transfer ownership of Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway to city ownership
- Improve east-west walking and biking connections to Hollice T. Williams Park along E Jordan Street
- Underground utilities on Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway

OPEN SPACE AND COMMUNITY AMENITIES

- Fund and build a community gathering space on the northern Spencer Bibbs parking lot with an open-air pavilion for outdoor dining and events
- Enhance the historical markers along the Black History Trail, including the Historic Crystal Ice House and Spencer Bibbs Academy

SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

EASTSIDE INFILL HOUSING



About the Special Demonstration Project

Many vacant lots can be found on the blocks surrounding the Spencer Bibbs Center and throughout the Eastside CRA District. Smaller infill housing, like cottages and townhouses, should be built to increase housing options in the neighborhood and to add vibrancy around the Ice House Market. This could also provide opportunities for smaller, local developers in the neighborhood. Partnerships with local non-profits, such as the Eastside Neighborhood Association, should be pursued to explore opportunities for enhancing

economic development in the neighborhood and preserve the affordability of housing, especially for long-time residents.

Community Feedback

Eastside residents were in support of building infill housing. Their priorities included:

- Ensure housing affordability, especially for existing residents;
- Design infill housing that fits with the character of the surrounding neighborhood;
- Preserve on-street parking that is used by existing residents.

Implementation & Next Steps

The CRA has worked with local architects and builders to design a series of pre-approved building plans that could be purchased by property owners and/or developers. These established standards make the design and construction processes easier, which may help encourage residential construction. Identifying candidate lots for these infill projects, or creating a checklist to determine an effective strategy for identifying candidate lots, could be the next step.

What kind of housing do you think is the most appropriate to add in the Eastside CRA District?

Housing that serves a mix of incomes	50.41 %
Homeownership	47.11 %
Affordable for low-income households	37.19 %
Townhouses and low-rise buildings	31.40 %
Mid-rise residential buildings	25.62 %
Rental housing	24.79 %

*For full survey results, see Appendix

RELATED POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

LAND USE, ZONING, AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS

- Develop Design and Form Standards for all projects receiving CRA funding
- Refine the minimum lot sizes for development in this area, considering that the blocks do not have alleys and many lots are currently too narrow for on-lot parking

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

- Offer incentives and support projects that preserve and celebrate historic buildings

HOUSING

- Continue offering property improvement programs to preserve and create affordable housing units
- Develop a companion program to the Residential Property Improvement Project (RPIP) for substantial demolition and reconstruction for primary structures and ADUs, rehabilitated or new construction, to facilitate new infill development
- Acquire lots for infill development and partner with organizations such as the Homebuilders Association to keep construction costs as low as possible for new housing construction projects
- Partner with Community Land Trusts, such as the Northwest Florida CLT, to acquire and develop infill housing on vacant lots surrounding Spencer Bibbs Center
- Continue to develop pre-approved building plans
- Use incentive programs to continue to fund affordable housing projects
- Identify target areas for incentives to encourage the construction of residential uses

TRANSPORTATION, STREETS, AND PARKING

- Transform Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway into slower-moving two-way streets with wider, shaded sidewalks
- Transfer ownership of Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway to city ownership
- Improve east-west walking and biking connections to Hollice T. Williams Park along Gonzalez, Blount, Jordan, and Maxwell Streets
- Underground utilities on Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway
- Explore curb management plans and develop revised parking requirements for infill projects



Examples of infill housing

"More residential options is a great idea!!"

SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

HOLLICE T. WILLIAMS PARK EQUITABLE DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN

"The community should have an active role in shaping the future"



About the Special Demonstration Project

Located along the western edge of the Eastside CRA District, Hollice T. Williams Park is an important community anchor. The park was created on the land remaining under and around the I-110 overpass. In the 1970s, this highway was cut through a thriving Black and African American neighborhood, uprooting and displacing long-standing homes, churches, businesses, and residents.

The communities surrounding the park have been exploring enhancements for years, with previous planning efforts taking place in 2004, 2010–2014, and 2021. In 2023, the City applied for and received a \$25 million CDBG-DR grant and a \$5 million NRDA grant to fund the first phase of park improvements, including stormwater upgrades. Additionally, the City applied for and received a \$1.2 million TA grant to fund a multi-use trail. In the same year, Mayor Reeves commit-

ted to developing an Equitable Development Framework Plan to guide the development of the park and within a 1/2-mile radius of the park. The Equitable Development Plan planning process began in the summer of 2024, with the plan adopted by City Council in November 2024.

Community Feedback

The 335 responses gathered from a Community Equity Survey were used to inform the Equitable Development Values and Principles. Results from this survey as well as conversations with key stakeholders, such as the Friends of Hollice T. Williams Park group and nearby neighborhood associations, helped to establish the following top ten priorities:

- Be welcoming and safe to everyone;
- Meet the needs of all ages and abilities;
- Include community members in the decision-making process;

- Create comfortable gathering spaces;
- Create jobs for local residents;
- Address and prevent flooding;
- Provide programming for children and adults;
- Celebrate local history and culture;
- Create affordable housing;
- Allow community members to help guide, program, and manage park use.

Implementation & Next Steps

The Equitable Development Framework Plan defined the high-level values and principles that should guide the design of the Hollice T. Williams Stormwater Park and the development of public and private projects within a 1/2-mile radius of the park.

As per this plan, the next steps should include the commission of a more detailed set of recommendations, supported by a consensus negotiation process that involves elected and appointed officials, community leaders, and other key stakeholders. A Step 2 document should outline the policies that the City is committed to putting in place to ensure the negative impacts (like increasing rents and home prices) are minimized and development is inclusive to everyone, especially long-time residents and businesses.

Values & Principles

Values	Principles
Inclusive, Accessible, & Responsive	1 Ensure the park and new development is welcoming and accessible to everyone.
	2 Meet the needs of all ages & abilities.
	3 Create comfortable gathering spaces.
	4 Make it simple and affordable for community organizations and residents to host events in the park.
	5 Provide programming and recreational amenities that reflect the desires and needs of the surrounding community.
History & Culture	6 Honor & celebrate the history of the Lost Neighborhood & important places & people through signage, historical markers, public art, etc.
	7 Preserve existing community buildings and invest proactively to prevent deterioration.
Economic Opportunity	8 Create jobs and provide opportunities for wealth building for local residents.
	9 Require measurable positive impacts from development projects in the adjacent communities.
Environmental Justice	10 Address and monitor environmental impacts of the highway to minimize negative effects.
	11 Ensure stormwater projects don't have negative effects on the immediately adjacent community.
	12 Develop and fund a long-term maintenance plan for the park that is sustainable.
Housing Affordability	13 Create new affordable housing.
	14 Provide support to existing long-term homeowners so they can remain in their homes.
	15 Support and stabilize existing rental housing to prevent displacement.
Local Decision-Making	16 Include community members in the decision-making process.
	17 Demonstrate familiarity and responsiveness to existing community plans.

"New investments should help existing residents stay in their homes and create new affordable housing"



RELATED POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

LAND USE, ZONING, AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS

- Develop Design and Form Standards for all projects receiving CRA funding
- Rezone to protect residential character
- Identify zoning incompatibilities with the current zoning classification and the intended use of the neighborhood, in particular within 1/2 mile of Hollice T. Williams Park, which development pressure is likely to impact

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

- Support the development of small neighborhood-scale retail nodes through construction or conversion of houses near higher visibility traffic corridors and near Hollice T. Williams Park
- Ensure that neighborhood organizations and residents are aware of job and business opportunities that arise from investment coming into the neighborhood around Hollice T. Williams Park

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

- Work with Escambia County to execute joint projects, such as streetscape improvements or design guidelines, and coordinate with ongoing plans
- Pursue grants for neighborhood capacity building, and update to the neighborhood plan, and additional neighborhood identity, placemaking, and branding
- Advocate for innovative programs and support best practices to curb petty and violent crime through community policing initiatives

HOUSING

- Partner with Community Land Trust(s), such as the Northwest Florida CLT, to acquire and develop infill housing on vacant lots surrounding Spencer Bibbs Center
- Develop pre-approved building plans
- Develop high-quality affordable housing that is affordable to existing residents of the neighborhood (both rental and homeownership)

TRANSPORTATION, STREETS, AND PARKING

- Transform Hayne Street into a slower-moving two-way street with wider, shaded sidewalks
- Improve east-west walking and biking connections to Hollice T. Williams Park along Gonzalez, Blount, Jordan, and Maxwell Streets
- Explore curb management plans and develop revised parking requirements for infill projects

OPEN SPACE AND COMMUNITY AMENITIES

- Prioritize tree canopy along streets to provide shade and make Eastside more walkable
- Design Hollice T. Williams Park as a destination park that celebrates the unique history and culture of the Eastside neighborhood and honors past harm done by the construction of I-110
- Require art and placemaking enhancements that celebrate the history of the culture of the neighborhood in new developments
- Recommend that the City address stormwater and drainage issues along streets and in the park

SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT


HAYNE STREET IMPROVEMENTS



About the Special Demonstration Project

Hayne Street has been identified by the community as a primary pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicle connection in the Eastside CRA. Today, Hayne Street is a northbound one-way street with an unprotected bike lane paralleling Hollice T. Williams Park, which recently received funding to build a wide, shaded multi-use path for pedestrians and bicyclists.

Recently, the City of Pensacola studied improvements to Hayne Street, specifically to improve the pedestrian and bicycle experience in relationship to Hollice T. Williams Park. Those improvements could include:

- Narrowing car travel lanes;
- Converting to narrower, two-way travel to provide better connections to the park;
- Adding on-street parking along the edge of Hollice T. Williams Park for public parking;
- Removing the on-street bicycle lane and revising signage to encourage bicyclists onto the multi-use path within the park;
- Locate gateway opportunity areas that align with major amenities in the park.





Community Feedback

Eastside residents rated Hayne Street as a high-priority pedestrian/bicycle connection, specifically in relationship to Hollice T. Williams Park. Most agreed that shade, landscaping, and protected bicycle routes were top priorities, so shifting the bike lane on Hayne Street to the park multi-use path was well-received.

Implementation & Next Steps

The CRA should conduct further studies regarding the two-way conversion of Hayne Street for implementation in the long-term. The CRA could hold a design competition for artistic park gateways at the Gonzalez and Hayne Street intersection and other important intersections that could be considered entrances to Hollice T. Williams Park.



Examples of artistic gateways

RELATED POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

- Ensure that neighborhood organizations and residents are aware of job and business opportunities that arise from investment coming into the neighborhood around Hollice T. Williams Park

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

- Work with Escambia County to execute joint projects such as streetscape improvements
- Pursue grants for neighborhood capacity building, and update to the neighborhood plan, and additional neighborhood identity, placemaking, and branding

HOUSING

- Offer the RPIP program along Hayne Street
- Partner with Community Land Trust(s), such as the Northwest Florida CLT, to acquire and develop infill housing on vacant lots, focusing along Hayne Street

TRANSPORTATION, STREETS, AND PARKING


- Transform Hayne Street into a slower-moving two-way street with wider, shaded sidewalks
- Improve east-west walking and biking connections to Hollice T. Williams Park along Gonzalez, Blount, Jordan, and Maxwell Streets
- Explore curb management plans and develop revised parking requirements for infill projects
- Underground utilities on Hayne Street

OPEN SPACE AND COMMUNITY AMENITIES

- Prioritize tree canopy along streets to provide shade and make Eastside more walkable
- Recommend that the City address stormwater and drainage issues on Eastside streets

SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

DR. MLK JR DRIVE AND N DAVIS HIGHWAY STREETSCAPES



Dr. MLK Jr. Drive



N Davis Highway



About the Special Demonstration Project

Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and North Davis Highway are two of the primary north-south connections in the Eastside. Today, both are one-way streets, with Dr. MLK Jr. Drive carrying traffic south towards the Urban Core CRA District and N. Davis Highway carrying traffic north out of the city. Both streets lack consistent crosswalks and shade; additionally, speeding is a major safety issue.

The restoration of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway to two-way streets has been identified as a priority in the TPO. These plans are underway and require coordina-



Examples of pedestrian- and bicyclist-friendly streets

tion between the City of Pensacola and the Florida Department of Transportation as the roads are currently on the State Road system (S.R. 291).

Community Feedback

Although Eastside residents were overwhelmingly concerned with the safety of drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians on these streets, most prefer improvements to landscape and beautification as opposed to a two-way conversion. Most residents were in favor of improvements including:

- Wider sidewalks with shade;
- Enhanced landscaping;
- Curb bump-outs added to the corners of blocks to shorten pedestrian crosswalks and provide space for landscaping;
- Street lights, banners, signage, and other street furniture additions along priority streets.

Implementation & Next Steps


The CRA should continue to explore and potentially test streetscape improvements on both Dr. MLK Jr. Drive and North Davis Highway, primarily focused on creating safe, beautiful, and comfortable streets.

The CRA should explore the ability to partner with the City and FDOT to implement near-term improvements to the bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure along these two corridors as phased approach towards the two-way restoration of the one-way pair. Eastside community members agree that later phases of improvement should focus on the core of the district, specifically between Scott and Mallory Streets.

Potential stand-alone streetscape improvements such as the ones listed below may be considered:


- Paint and install vertical elements to enhance separation of bicycle lanes;
- Add striping to on-street parking to maximize opportunity for temporary streetscape improvements and provide clearly defined zones that adhere to sight triangles;
- Adding high-visibility crosswalks to key east-west intersections that connect to Magee Field and Hollice T. Williams Park;
- Prioritize reconstruction of sidewalks where hazardous conditions exist along the corridor;
- Add landscaping to planting strips;
- Paint new bicycle lanes on the west side of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive.

Dr. MLK Jr. Drive: TODAY




Streetscape improvements on Dr. MLK Jr. Drive could include undergrounding utility lines, adding landscaped curb bump-outs at the corners of blocks, adding street lights and banners, and increasing the width of sidewalks.

Dr. MLK Jr. Drive: TOMORROW




N Davis Highway: TODAY





Streetscape improvements on N Davis Highway could include undergrounding utility lines, switching the on-street parking to the southbound side of the street, creating a protected northbound bike lane, adding landscaped curb bump-outs at the corners of blocks, and adding street lights and banners.

N Davis Highway: TOMORROW



Additional recommendations may include other long-term efforts such as:

- Provide guidance, based on plan goals and principles, for the design for the restoration of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway from one-way streets to two-way streets;
- Assist property owners to buy trees to plant on private property to supplement street tree canopy where it is not possible to plant within the public right-of-way;
- Advocate for underground utilities where possible;
- Advocate for transfer of jurisdictional maintenance for either Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive or N Davis Highway. from FDOT to the City;
- Fund wayfinding master plan for the Eastside and specifically along these corridors that celebrate the history and culture of the neighborhood along these streets.



RELATED POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

LAND USE, ZONING, AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS

- Refine the minimum lot sizes for development in this area, considering that the blocks do not have alleys and many lots are currently too narrow for on-lot parking, which increases the demand for on-street parking in Eastside

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

- Work with Escambia County to execute joint projects such as streetscape improvements
- Pursue grants for neighborhood capacity building, and update to the neighborhood plan, and additional neighborhood identity, placemaking, and branding

TRANSPORTATION, STREETS, AND PARKING

- Transform Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway into slower-moving two-way streets with wider, shaded sidewalks
- Transfer ownership of Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway to city ownership
- Improve east-west walking and biking connections to Hollice T. Williams Park along E Jordan Street
- Underground utilities on Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway

OPEN SPACE AND COMMUNITY AMENITIES

- Prioritize tree canopy along streets to provide shade and make Eastside more walkable
- Recommend that the City address stormwater and drainage issues on Eastside streets

SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

GONZALEZ STREET SHAREWAY

About the Special Demonstration Project

Gonzalez Street has been identified by the community as one of the main pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicle connections in the Eastside CRA. Gonzalez Street is a wide, unmarked two-way street with inconsistent sidewalks and minimal landscape or shade. Intersections along the street are wide, unclear, and inconsistent with signage and direction.

Because this street is important for connection throughout the neighborhood and beyond, intersections along Gonzalez Street should be enhanced. By reserving stop signs to the north-south paths of travel crossing Gonzalez Street between 9th Avenue to Palafox Street, Gonzalez Street becomes a thoroughfare with continuous traffic lanes. Landscaped, slightly-raised planters at each intersection calms traffic, while allowing for bicyclists to have a continual, unobstructed path of travel along Gonzalez Street. The plantings in the center of the intersections would not obstruct views, but slow down car traffic, making the streets safer for pedestrians and bicyclists.

These interventions can be done one intersection at a time, allowing for an incremental and economical improvement plan. A phasing plan should be developed to firstly target the intersections of Gonzalez Street at Hayne Street, Dr. MLK Jr. Drive, and N Davis Highway, as they have

high connectivity south to the Urban Core CRA District and will incentivize further intersection improvements headed west toward Palafox Street and east toward 9th Avenue.

In addition to (or in lieu of) the intersection adjustments, other enhancements could be made to improve the pedestrian and bicycling safety and comfort along Gonzalez Street. Those could include:


- Narrowing car travel lanes to encourage slower driving speeds; Wider planting strips to accommodate landscaping that provides shade and protection;
- Creating a gateway element (signage, special paving, public art, etc.) at the Hollice T. Williams Park intersection at Hayne Street;
- Undergrounding utility lines.

Community Feedback


Eastside residents rated Gonzalez Street as a high-priority pedestrian/bicycle connection. Additionally, most agreed that shade and landscaping were top priorities.

Implementation & Next Steps


The CRA should conduct a phasing plan to improve the intersections along Gonzalez, starting simply with the relocation of stop signs and painted crosswalks, and continuing with the implementation of raised planters at each of the identified intersections between Palafox Street and 9th Avenue (shown in Option 1). Further street improvements along Gonzalez between the intersections should be considered (shown in Option 2).



Option 1: Intersection Reconfiguration



Example of typical intersection improvements



"Gonzalez & MLK is the worst intersection"


"Gonzalez Street should be a priority for bikes"



The first phase should start with intersection improvements along Gonzalez Street at the intersections at Hayne Street, Dr MLK Jr Drive, and Davis Street


Option 2: Basic Street Improvements

Gonzalez Street: TODAY



Basic street improvements include narrowing travel lanes, implementing trees along the street for shade, undergrounding the power lines, and creating a multi-use path along the north side of Gonzalez Street.

Gonzalez Street: TOMORROW



"Sidewalks need to be replaced and/or maintained"



Gonzalez Street today



Examples of mini traffic circles with plantings

RELATED POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

LAND USE, ZONING, AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS

- Refine the minimum lot sizes for development to prevent front-loaded garages along the future Gonzalez shareway, considering that the blocks do not have alleys and many lots are currently too narrow for on-lot parking
- Refine the minimum lot sizes for development in this area, considering that the blocks do not have alleys and many lots are currently too narrow for on-lot parking, which increases the demand for on-street parking in Eastside

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

- Work with Escambia County to execute joint projects such as streetscape improvements
- Pursue grants for neighborhood capacity building, and update to the neighborhood plan, and additional neighborhood identity, placemaking, and branding

HOUSING

- Offer the RPIP program for owners along Gonzalez Street
- Partner with Community Land Trust(s), such as the Northwest Florida CLT, to acquire and develop infill housing on vacant lots, focusing along Gonzalez Street
- Develop pre-approved building plans
- Develop high-quality affordable housing that is affordable to existing residents of the neighborhood (both rental and homeownership)

TRANSPORTATION, STREETS, AND PARKING

- Transform Hayne Street into a slower-moving two-way street with wider, shaded sidewalks
- Improve east-west walking and biking connections to Hollice T. Williams Park along Gonzalez, Blount, Jordan, and Maxwell Streets
- Explore curb management plans and develop revised parking requirements for infill projects
- Underground utilities on Hayne Street

OPEN SPACE AND COMMUNITY AMENITIES

- Prioritize tree canopy along streets to provide shade and make Eastside more walkable
- Recommend that the City address stormwater and drainage issues on Eastside streets

LAND USE, ZONING, AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS



The Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts category includes recommendations that entail adoption of policies or development of guidelines, standards, or tools. These recommendations form the regulatory framework to allow the other recommendations and projects to take place. Examples of recommendations in the Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts category include:

- Design and Form Standards;
- Design Review Processes;
- Recommendations to explore changes to zoning districts, minimum lot sizes, density, and land use adjacencies in the City's Land Development Code (LDC);
- Updates to special or aesthetic review districts, including the CRA Urban Design Overlay District (CRAUDOD).

The policy recommendations emerged as important through the CRA Plan Update process, through a combination of community input and suggestions, conversations with CRA and City staff about challenges of the current development review and approvals process, and relevant recommendations from the previous plans. Some recommendations are location-based, while others are global and applicable to the whole district.

The Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts policy recommendations, like the Special Demonstration Projects, are intended to provide examples of appropriate CRA projects. However, policies are not limited to those listed. The policies included are not listed in order or priority. The CRA will use the evaluation tools in the Implementation chapter to prioritize projects and match projects to available funding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Design and Form Standards for CRA-Funded Projects

Develop a single set of design and form standards and a design review process for any projects in the CRA Districts receiving CRA funding. The Form and Design standards can be opt-in from a regulatory standpoint, and participation in the design review process should be a condition for any projects receiving CRA funding. In addition to the topics already covered in the Urban Design Overlay, these expanded standards should also address the design of renovation projects and the appropriateness of architectural character. This tool should ensure that CRA investment in neighborhoods doesn't compromise or detract from historic character.

2. Adjacent Use Considerations

- Explore the compatibility of adjacent uses in more detail through the Neighborhood Plan process.
- Consider additional strategies to minimize impacts of commercial areas on residential neighborhoods.

3. Upzoning for Higher Density Housing and Mixed-Use

- Explore opportunities to upzone for higher density housing and mixed-use, in particular along higher visibility corridors.
- Balance the recommendations for upzoning for higher density housing and the protection of residential character.

4. Minimum Lot Sizes

- Work with the Planning and Zoning Department to conduct a survey of lot sizes and development trends in the district to determine where conflicts are occurring.
- Update the LDC and Comprehensive Plan accordingly.



Examples of neighborhood-scale adaptive reuse

OVERVIEW OF REGULATORY AND NON-REGULATORY STANDARDS

ADDITIONAL DESIGN & FORM STANDARDS FOR CRA-FUNDED PROJECTS

Write and adopt design standards for CRA-funded projects that would further improve urban form.

- Include guidelines for preserving architectural character (scale, composition, etc.)
- Because these standards would not be adopted as part of the Land Development Code, they could be updated iteratively to respond to project needs
- Administer through the CRA's internal plan review & work with owners and developers


URBAN DESIGN OVERLAY DISTRICT (CRAUDOD)

What it Currently Covers


- New construction only
- Maximum building height
- Minimum floor-to-floor heights
- Roof pitches
- Frontages percentages, facade types, and entrance locations
- Facade composition standards
- Location and screening of service and mechanical equipment
- Setbacks (in particular ensuring they respond to their context)
- Lot width & coverage
- Parking

Additions to the CRAUDOD that Would Further Improve Urban Form:

- Expand the CRAUDO to apply to renovations
- Address architectural character, scale, and composition
- Address the location of parking



Map of the Eastside CRA District



Map of the Urban Design Overlay District in the Eastside

5. Adaptive Reuse

Neighborhood-serving commercial is a desirable complementary use within residential neighborhoods. The scale, design, and servicing of these non-residential uses must be carefully designed to reduce any negative impacts on neighborhoods. Both commercial and residential buildings can be adaptively reused to house neighborhood offices, small-scale retail specialty shops, and other similar uses. These projects may not be allowed under current zoning regulations. CRA funding should support projects of this nature in areas supported by the community, in particular along commercial corridors or in historic commercial nodes (such as at N Davis Highway and DeSoto Street).

6. Protect Residential Character

In areas that are primarily residential, support the preservation and protection of residential character.

7. Update the CRA Urban Design Overlay District (CRAUDOD)

- Expand the CRAUDOD to apply to renovations, building in regulations that renovations and rehabilitation projects do not worsen non-conformities with the Urban Design Overlay.
- Add standards to address architectural character, scale, composition, and the location of parking.
- For areas not currently covered by any special or aesthetic review district, expand the CRAUDOD to include.


8. Incorporate Neighborhood-Serving Retail

The goal is to allow more neighborhood-serving commercial/retail in places where it makes sense. Through the neighborhood planning, process, the community should develop a plan, identifying specific corridors and nodes that are desirable locations for neighborhood-serving retail. Future updates to the LDC and Comprehensive Plan should reflect these recommendations.



SPECIAL DISTRICTS & UDOD

Where guidance and standards are provided on architectural scale, options should be provided to allow flexibility to meet the intent of the standards through comparable or similar design strategies and materials. The focus should be on building and parking siting, simple massing, appropriate scale, facade composition, and other strategies that improve design without adding cost.




9. CRA Boundary Expansion

The Eastside CRA is the smallest of the CRA districts, and it generates the least TIF funding, limiting the impact that the CRA investment has in the district. This has reinforced a self-fulfilling pattern: an area that has historically been disinvested in receives less investment year-over-year compared to other districts. The Eastside Neighborhood Association supports the expansion of the Eastside CRA boundary to the east, west, and north. An expanded boundary would generate more TIF revenue, which could be reinvested back into the district. The CRA should conduct a Necessity of Finding to explore potential boundary expansions for all of the CRA Districts and engage the community in the process to determine which areas should be expanded, depending on compliance with the statute and demographic analysis. The boundary shown is a prospective boundary that may be modified with further data analysis and community input.



Miguel Maito



Aleksandr Vorobev



Fly View Productions

DESIGNING FOR SAFETY AND COMFORT

In *Public Square*, the journal for the Congress of New Urbanism, Robert Steuteville writes about necessary elements to create spaces that feel safe and comfortable. Quoting noted architect and urban designer Ray Gindroz, Steuteville outlines the following seven qualities:

HUMAN PRESENCE

People in a public space must feel the presence of other people in the space and in the buildings surrounding the space. The sense that we are not alone and are being observed helps us to behave properly and feel safe. Windows are symbols of that presence, whether people are behind them or not. Mixed-use buildings help promote 24-hour presence.

CONGENIALITY

The dimensions and scale of the space should encourage comfortable interactions among people.

HUMANE PROTECTION

Mechanical devices such as cameras and gates should be invisible. Where possible, police presence should be personal, on foot or bicycle, so police officers can interact with others.

VISIBILITY, LIGHT, AND OPENNESS

Open views that enable us to see other people and to be seen—by people driving by, as well as by others in the space—provide natural supervision. Light should ensure nighttime visibility.

ORDER

Coherent landscapes, streetscapes, and signs in both the public rights-of-way and bordering properties make a clear statement that a space is well-managed and safe.

CONNECTIONS

Spaces must be perceived as part of an interconnected network of streets and public open space, so we feel we have access to others who make the space safe.

LEGIBILITY

The clarity with which each space connects to the rest of the city helps us understand the form of the city and keeps us from feeling lost.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The Economic Development category includes recommendations that would bring commercial and mixed-uses to the districts, add jobs, and build support for businesses. Examples of recommendations in the Economic Development category include:

- Mixed-use and commercial infill development;
- Incubators and employment uses;
- Building strategic partnerships;
- Commercial property improvement programs;
- Partnering to support small businesses and workforce development.

The policy recommendations emerged as important through the CRA Plan Update process, through a combination of community input and suggestions, conversations with CRA and City staff about challenges of the current development review and approvals process, and relevant recommendations from the previous plans. Some recommendations are location-based, while others are global and applicable to the whole district.

The Economic Development policy recommendations, like the Special Demonstration Projects, are intended to provide examples of appropriate CRA projects. However, policies are not limited to those listed. The policies included are not listed in order or priority. The CRA will use the evaluation tools in the Implementation chapter to prioritize projects and match projects to available funding.



Mikael Blomkvist



Alvarez

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Commercial Property Improvement Program (CPIP)

- Recommend that the CPIP program be expanded to this district.
- Explore incentives that close gaps for private developers and property owners to make improvements.

2. Neighborhood Commercial Nodes

- Support the development of small neighborhood-scale retail nodes through the construction of single-story retail buildings or the conversion of houses into small-scale restaurants and shops. Neighborhood commercial should be located along higher visibility/traffic corridors, or at nodes that have historically had commercial uses. Some of these locations include along De Soto and Blount Streets. Another area may include sites along Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway, specifically between Scott Street and Mallory Street.
- Prioritize these nodes for the Commercial Property Improvement Program (CPIP), once expanded to this district.
- Ensure that neighborhood nodes are not zones for multi-story or vertical mixed-use buildings that would disrupt the scale and character of the neighborhood.

3. Workforce Development and Skills Training


- Partner with local organizations that coordinate workforce training opportunities and support for entrepreneurs and small businesses.
- Connect these opportunities and programs to places neighborhood residents already frequent (community centers, libraries, etc.).
- Ensure that neighborhood organizations and residents are aware of job and business opportunities that arise from investment coming into the neighborhood, especially around Hollice T. Williams Park and as part of the Crystal Ice House Market.
- Partner with developer(s) and/or local organization(s) to create spaces for small local businesses and entrepreneurs to lease and operate.

4. Relationship with Escambia County School District

- Continue to build a relationship between the Escambia County School District and the CRA.
- Provide constructive input into planning for future school sites and the redevelopment of any surplus school district-owned property.



Neighborhood grocery store in Eastside



Land owned by the Escambia County School District in the Eastside CRA District

5. Partnership with Escambia County

Work with Escambia County to execute joint projects, such as streetscape improvements or design guidelines, and coordinate with ongoing plans.

6. Strategic Development Support and Incentives

- Motivate private investments where the return on investment is currently too low relative to competition from other regions. Consider a broad array of incentive tools - in addition to fiscal tools, consider zoning policies, land contribution, and permitting and regulatory relief as part of the toolkit.
- Tie the applicants' qualifying criteria to Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) aligned with key policy goals and the City's Strategic Plan.
- Conduct cost-benefit analysis to determine the programs' fiscal impact.
- Consider a phased approach to test the programs in specific high-priority areas before expanding their application.
- Consider combining guidance on community benefits agreement with incentive design to ensure fairness and preempt gentrification concerns.
- Leverage state and federal programs to maximize the impact of local programs.

7. Community Benefits Guideline

- Consider establishing a standardized process and framework of structuring Community Benefits Agreements for redevelopment projects. Ensure fair and predictable outcomes for the community, the City, and the private developers and investors.
- Pay special attention to potential redevelopments around the Hollice T. Williams Park.



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

The Neighborhood Development category includes recommendations that would build neighborhood organization capacity, preserve cultural landmarks and historic buildings, and create branded destinations. Examples of recommendations in the Neighborhood Development category include:

- Neighborhood identity and wayfinding;
- Neighborhood capacity building;
- Corridor development;
- Development of entertainment destinations;
- Community policing strategies.

The policy recommendations emerged as important through the CRA Plan Update process, through a combination of community input and suggestions, conversations with CRA and City staff about challenges of the current development review and approvals process, and relevant recommendations from the previous plans. Some recommendations are location-based, while others are global and applicable to the whole district.

The Neighborhood Development policy recommendations, like the Special Demonstration Projects, are intended to provide examples of appropriate CRA projects. However, policies are not limited to those listed. The policies included are not listed in order or priority. The CRA will use the evaluation tools in the Implementation chapter to prioritize projects and match projects to available funding.



Community destinations in the Eastside

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Neighborhood Capacity Building

- Secure grants to support and help the neighborhood organization build capacity.
- Identify resources that the neighborhood organization can connect to (such as non-profit development courses at Pensacola State College, Neighborworks, etc.).

2. Develop Neighborhood-Specific Plans

Fund and support the development of neighborhood plans that define projects and activities that are most important to the neighborhood. The CRA and City should define the topics appropriate for neighborhood plans (such as increases in density, locations for mixed-use, lot sizes, and considerations about adjacent uses). The City and CRA should also help to identify implementation activities that would be the responsibility of the neighborhood and those that would be led by the City, CRA, or partner organizations.

3. Neighborhood Identity and Wayfinding

- Fund efforts to support neighborhoods in developing unique identity, branding, and wayfinding for individual neighborhoods, areas of cultural significance, and special districts. These efforts can be used to reinforce sense of place and distinguish neighborhoods and special districts from one another.
- Fund the installation of branded neighborhood gateway signs, banners, and other placemaking elements.
- Rather than marking and defining the edges of CRA Districts, placemaking signage should be used to indicate centers and places people gravitate toward. Identity signage should focus on places like Hollice T. Williams Park, the Crystal Ice House Market, Magee Field, and other cultural landmarks or community destinations.

4. Revitalize Cultural Landmarks and Historic Buildings

Offer incentives and support projects that preserve and celebrate cultural destinations and preserve historic buildings, like the H&O Cafe. Look for viable uses to adaptively reuse important buildings in the neighborhood.



Signage based on local destinations



Public art establishes a theme

5. Community Safety

Fund programs that enhance community safety in the CRA District, reducing minor and violent crimes. Making places feel safe will encourage further investment and new residents moving into the district. Community policing strategies may include:

- Funding increased patrols in the CRA District;
- Working with the police department to employ community policing best practices (bicycle patrols, community outreach events, etc.);
- Funding violence prevention programs, such as park or community ambassadors or outreach team members.



LEARNING FROM SAFE COMMUNITIES

BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS

Police should work with community members to build trust and rapport. This can lead to more people reporting crimes and providing information.

TRANSPARENCY

Police should be open about their policies and procedures, and how they operate. This can help build trust and accountability.

PROACTIVELY SOLVING PROBLEMS

Police should work with the community to identify and address the underlying causes of public safety issues.

EMBEDDING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Community engagement should be a core part of an agency's culture. When people trust the police, they are more likely to follow the law and help create a safer community.

WHAT ARE EXAMPLES OF COMMUNITY VIOLENCE INTERRUPTION (CVI) INITIATIVES?

- Violence interrupter programs, which use peace-building approaches to stop violence before it occurs by placing ambassadors on the street who can de-escalate conflict, provide mediation, and build supportive relationships with those at highest risk of experiencing violence.
- Programs to reduce gang-related affiliation and connect at-risk young people to employment and economic opportunities.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

RECOMMENDATIONS


HOUSING

The Housing policy category includes recommendations that will increase the options for housing in the district, including rental and homeownership and a range of housing types and affordability. Examples of recommendations in the Housing category include:

- Providing incentives for developers to build affordable housing;
- Working with developers to improve the quality of proposed housing design;
- Developing pre-approved building plans;
- Acquiring land for infill housing;
- Substantial rehabilitation of existing housing;
- Residential property improvement programs;
- Supporting first-time homebuyers;
- Large-scale mixed-use, mixed-income housing development.

The policy recommendations emerged as important through the CRA Plan Update process, through a combination of community input and suggestions, conversations with CRA and City staff about challenges of the current development review and approvals process, and relevant recommendations from the previous plans. Some recommendations are location-based, while others are global and applicable to the whole district.

The Housing policy recommendations, like the Special Demonstration Projects, are intended to provide examples of appropriate CRA projects. However, policies are not limited to those listed. The policies included are not listed in order or priority. The CRA will use the evaluation tools in the Implementation chapter to prioritize projects and match projects to available funding.



RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Residential Property Improvement Project (RPIP)

Continue offering property improvement programs, such as RPIP and the Resiliency program, to preserve and create affordable housing units.

2. Demolition and Reconstruction Funding Program

- Develop a companion program to the RPIP for substantial demolition and reconstruction (for primary structures and ADUs, rehabilitated or new construction). This could be accessed by both owners as well as developers to facilitate infill in the districts.
- Applicants would need to demonstrate that preservation wasn't feasible.
- The CRA should conduct a study of contributing structures to historic character in neighborhoods to ensure that significant buildings are not demolished as part of this program.

3. CRA-Led Infill Development

- Acquire lots for infill development.
- Help fund the construction of new housing, partnering with organizations such as the Homebuilders Association to keep costs as low as possible.
- Facilitate land swaps to locate the highest and best uses along key corridors.

4. Partnership with Community Land Trusts for Infill Development

- Acquire lots for infill development and convey to Community Land Trust(s), such as the Northwest Florida Community Land Trust. Reference Escambia County's escheated property list to target lots for potential acquisition.
- Help fund the construction of new infill housing, partnering with organizations such as the Homebuilders Association to keep costs as low as possible.
- Facilitate land swaps to locate the highest and best uses along key corridors.

5. Pre-Approved Building Plans

Continue to develop a selection of pre-approved building plans, especially for narrow 30-foot infill lots, and establish a program where potential homebuyers can apply to use these plans. Partnering with a local builder experienced in this building type should be explored to help implement this program.

6. First-Time Homebuyers

- Identify barriers that may keep residents from using the first-time homebuyers programs provided by the City and the county.
- Connect potential first-time homebuyers to financial incentives.
- Explore strategies for increasing homeownership.



Minor cosmetic upgrades include painting and small repairs



Andres



LEARNING FROM GREAT NEIGHBORHOODS

Require affordable housing projects receiving CRA funding to meet general design standards, including the principles of good design described below.

BUILDINGS FRONT PUBLIC AREAS

If a development does not include public streets, parking drive aisles should be designed with enhancements to make them "street-like," i.e. with shade trees, non-circuitous sidewalks, street furniture such as benches, trash receptacles, and street lights.

ACCESS

Convenient access to public transit, walking/bike trails, and amenities enhances access to opportunities for employment, education, and cultural experiences.

NEIGHBORHOOD-SCALE STREETS AND BLOCKS

Development should be organized into blocks that are less than 3 acres each to create a walkable community. Streets should create an interconnected grid, with comfortable, shaded sidewalks.

FRONTS AND BACKS

Buildings should have discernible fronts and backs. Front facades should face other front facades and rears of buildings should face other rear facades.

PERIMETER FRONTAGE

Fronts of buildings should face publicly accessible streets (or parking lot drive aisles designed to be "street-like") or greenways. Service areas, off-street parking, and semi-private outdoor space should be located in the center of blocks or in the rear of buildings.

DIVERSE BUILDING TYPES

The objective is to build new homes in new or extended neighborhoods. A range of building types and architectural designs are required to move from building a development or apartment complex (typically with one or two repeating building designs) to a neighborhood.

MIXED-INCOME

If possible, affordable projects should aim to serve a range of incomes by offering a range of unit types and sizes, or by building in long-term affordability through subsidy for a portion of the units.

HUMAN-SCALE BUILDING ELEMENTS

Architectural elements including porches, stoops, awnings, balconies, and other elements are necessary to create places where people can interact and enjoy outdoor space. The scale of buildings should be consistent with the surrounding neighborhood.

ACCESSIBLE AMENITIES

The development has a diverse range of indoor and outdoor amenities, including small parks for children, indoor community gathering space, fitness amenities, etc.

QUALITY MATERIALS

Build affordable housing with high-quality, durable materials that are comparable in quality to moderate market-rate projects to ensure affordable projects will not be easily identified or stigmatized.

7. Affordable Housing Incentive

- Use incentive programs aligned with the principles and goals of the redevelopment plan to continue to fund affordable housing projects.
- Identify target areas for incentives, which may include affordable housing, infrastructure improvements, coordination with redevelopment project(s), floodproofing as a means to encourage certain uses, etc.
- Engage partners like the Northwest Florida Community Land Trust to develop high-quality affordable projects in the CRA Districts.
- Include supportive housing as part of the affordable housing incentive.
- Ensure that all affordable housing is designed to fit into the character of the surrounding area.

8. Small-Scale Affordable Housing Rental Program

Create an incentive program to create and preserve affordable missing middle rental housing. The CRA is restructuring the TIF Rebate Incentive program to offer greater flexibility and effectiveness in supporting redevelopment within the city's redevelopment areas. The updated program is designed to be more robust, enabling developers to access tailored incentives that better align with the unique needs of their projects. By introducing a more adaptable framework, the revised TIF Rebate program will provide a wider range of options, ensuring that developers receive timely support while fostering sustainable growth in key redevelopment zones. This revamped incentive structures aims to drive economic development and revitalization efforts across the city, enhancing the overall impact of the program.

9. Large-Scale Redevelopment Projects

- Ensure that there are authentic opportunities for community engagement and public participation.
- Select developers and design consultants that adhere to principles of good urban design (connected street grid, walkable block size, streets designed for pedestrians, mix of uses, dense and compact building types, access to transit, creation of public gathering spaces, etc.).
- Large-scale redevelopments must be broken into walkable block sizes with public streets or publicly-accessible private drives designed like public streets (with on-street parking and sidewalks).

10. Quality Multifamily Development

Use New Urbanist design principles in the design of multifamily housing developments. Incorporating language into the CRA's RFQs and RFPs specifying the selection of developers and/or design consultants who adhere to New Urbanist design principles will ensure alignment with best practices.

11. Sensitive and Flexible Design Standards

Rather than establishing new historic districts with rigid requirements that can be onerous to lower-income households, the CRA should develop expanded Design and Form Standards that are a requirement for all projects receiving CRA funding. These standards will be intended to protect the neighborhood scale and character, but will be administered by the CRA, allowing for collaboration and flexibility to work with homeowners to find solutions they can afford.



Increasing opportunities for homeownership is a priority

TRANSPORTATION, STREETS, AND PARKING

Transportation investments are an essential component to achieving broader community goals. Streets, trees, sidewalks, bicycle lanes, public art, and parking spaces often make up the majority of the land area of communities and are the heart of the public realm. As a result, many economic development plans and community-oriented policies are contingent on their thoughtful alignment with transportation projects. Ensuring that residents feel safe and comfortable while walking, driving, biking, taking transit, using public amenities, and patronizing businesses is always an important factor for all great neighborhoods.

The transportation recommendations outlined in this section can be understood as adhering to the following three high-level goals:

- **Accessibility** Support the development of an integrated and connected multi-modal transportation system that focuses on improvements that prioritize people walking, biking, using transit, and people with disabilities, providing everyone the opportunity to reach destinations for employment, leisure, and essential services.
- **Placemaking and Public Realm** Design transportation projects and site design that preserves community identity and provides welcoming spaces for civic, social, and commercial activity.
- **Safety** Provide safe and comfortable streets to support healthy and vibrant communities while improving residents' quality of life and the overall walkability of the commercial core and neighborhoods.

The recommendations detailed in this section were shaped by community feedback and build on efforts undertaken by the CRA in previous plans, the City of Pensacola, and the Eastside community. Specifically, these recommendations are aligned with the Active Transportation Plan (ATP) adopted by the City in August 2023 and the 2000 Urban Infill and Redevelopment Plan, including its later amendments.

In the Eastside, transportation strategies are focused on establishing a neighborhood identity and strengthening connectivity to Magee Field and Hollice T. Williams Park through improvements to aesthetics and safety.

Recommendations contained in this section are intended to improve safety, connectivity, accessibility, and navigability for all users and are focused on improvements that support and further the special demonstration projects and that are focused on selective corridors for improvements. These corridors are generally identified as primary streets but may also include other key streets and intersections that provide important connectivity within the district.

ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN (ATP) – 2023


The goal of the ATP is to accommodate all community members and encourage alternative transportation modes outside of car travel. The plan is focused on filling gaps in the transportation network and linking key destinations such as schools, parks, and commercial areas. Three categories of pedestrian- and bicycle-focused infrastructure are established by a Future Network framework in the ATP:

- Neighborhood Greenways
- Bike Routes
- Trails

The Future Network design recommendations include using context-sensitive designs to make streets safer and more accessible based on their location (urban, suburban, etc.), using countermeasures to calm traffic, improve crossings, and/or expanding the bicycle network.

2000 URBAN INFILL AND REDEVELOPMENT AREA PLAN

Categorized by corridor enhancements, neighborhood aesthetics, and neighborhood infrastructure, the 2000 Urban Infill and Redevelopment Area Plan for the Eastside identified solutions for a variety of concerns that are still relevant today. Recognizing neighborhood boundaries, establishing neighborhood identity, and prioritizing pedestrian safety are primary goals that carry through in the following recommendations.



Eastside Streets

Not all recommendations listed are able to be directly undertaken by the CRA, there are various roles for the CRA to play in the implementation of these projects. Some of these roles are further defined in the implementation section of this plan. Recommendations which require the CRA to play more of an advocate role are provided separately as these may be broader and more general and scope or simply not within the CRA's purview yet were identified as a need from community members throughout the engagement process of this plan update.

The goal of creating more safe, accessible, and aesthetically vibrant communities will take advocacy and partnerships across multiple agencies and city departments for transportation improvements that go above the baseline standards. Such improvements may not be routinely implemented currently but as part of a plan that has a 20- year horizon to improve inadequate infrastructure, these simple upgrades could have bigger placemaking impacts and address community needs while also setting the stage for economic and neighborhood development within the Eastside.

RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Curb Management Study

Fund a curb management study and create a plan to maximize opportunities for landscaping, dedicated bicycle lanes, and on-street parking along key corridors.

2. Low-Impact Design Standards

Promote low-impact design standards for use in corridor improvement projects and parking design standards to increase landscaping opportunities and provide stormwater mitigation where necessary.

3. Undergrounding Utilities

For all CRA-funded projects, advocate for the undergrounding of utilities as an investment that adds placemaking and resilience value.

4. Partnerships

Continue and strengthen partnerships with the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (FL-AL TPO), the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), the Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC), and Escambia County Area Transit (ECAT) to integrate CRA projects into the transportation planning process.

5. Two-Way Conversions

Advocate for the two-way conversion of one-way streets to improve safety for drivers, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

6. Intersection and Sidewalk Improvements

The CRA should advocate for and consider funding further analysis for safety improvements that prioritize key intersections along Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive at E Maxwell St, E Jordan St, Blount Street, Lee Street, Avery St and E Cervantes St to prioritize pedestrian and cyclist connectivity. Additional funding towards countermeasures that go above the standard and are proven to increase safety and prioritize resident quality of life can provide improvements that are cost effective and timely. There are a variety of countermeasures to choose from such as installing leading pedestrian intervals at key intersections and other countermeasures identified in the ECRC Safety Action Plan (December 2024). Additional countermeasures could be explored.

7. Bus Shelter Improvements

Coordinate with ECAT to support bus shelter improvements along main transit lines.

8. Downtown Circulator

- Fund a feasibility study to explore the feasibility of establishing and operating a free downtown circulator.
- Project start-up and long-term operations costs.
- Quantify the economic impact.





Roberto Machado Noa

Planters and benches help manage curb use

THE CHALLENGE

The Eastside can feel like a pass through for getting in and out of the city. One-way streets like Dr. MLK Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway and access to Interstate 110 give priority to the movement of traffic rather than pedestrians and cyclists. There are several other challenges in the Eastside was voiced by community residents. These challenges are also a common occurrence in other districts. These include:

- Intersections that are unsafe for bike/ped crossing
- Speeding vehicles
- Lack of maintenance on existing pedestrian flashing crosswalk lights
- Lack of pedestrian crosswalks
- Lack of maintenance on existing sidewalks
- Lack of protected space or roadways that accommodate pedestrians and bicycles and connect them across all districts and neighborhoods
- Vehicle dominated streets that make pedestrians and bicyclist feel unsafe
- Roadways that are the most unsafe or are barriers in districts are State roads

**REDUCE SPEED LIMITS****REMOVE OBSTRUCTIONS****CROSSWALK DENSITY****IMPROVED OR RAISED CROSSWALKS****WAYFINDING MEASURES****GATEWAY ENHANCEMENTS****IMPROVED BICYCLE LANES****CURB RADIUS REDUCTION**

COUNTERMEASURES

A countermeasure is a safety improvement that is designed to prevent or offset an issue. While the CRA is not responsible for operation and maintenance of roadways, the CRA can be great partners and advocates for the implementation of such improvements that support and further the CRA's mission and goals. In addition to advocacy for certain improvements, safety improvements should link to Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC) Safe Streets and Road for All (SS4A) Safety Action Plan (adopted Dec 2024). Countermeasures that could provide district-wide benefits may include:

REDUCE SPEED LIMITS

Setting speed limits that are appropriate for the surrounding environment helps enhance safety and further street design concepts that prioritize pedestrians and cyclists.

REMOVE OBSTRUCTIONS

Eliminating obstructions that could block sightlines increases safety. Improvements could include measures such as painting curbs red at intersection entrances to prevent on-street parking, trimming overgrown plants, or relocating large signage at eye level.

CROSSWALK DENSITY

Increasing the number and frequency of crosswalks to the network maximizes connectivity for pedestrians and improves safety by providing multiple designated, marked crossing locations.

IMPROVED/RAISED CROSSWALKS

Increasing driver awareness of pedestrians is an important safety measure. Improvements can include installing high-visibility crosswalks, which could incorporate local artwork. Raised crosswalks are elevated a few inches above the road, typically at, or close to, the level of the sidewalk. This treatment not only improves safety but can also act to further define areas of interest through the use of pavers or other special materials.

WAYFINDING MEASURES

A network of signs highlighting nearby pedestrian and bicycle facilities helps guide users to crossing locations as well as cultural amenities.

GATEWAY ENHANCEMENTS


Gateway treatments and enhancements denote a change in context and can include signage, changes in scale or type of landscaping, structures, etc.

IMPROVED BICYCLE LANES

Separating bicycle lanes from other traffic (both cars and pedestrians) with physical barriers, pavement markings, and signage reduces points of conflict, which decreases crashes.

CURB RADIUS REDUCTION

Reducing the radii of curb corners forces drivers to make tighter turns, which typically translates into lower speeds. This also has the added benefit of reducing intersection crossing distances and increasing the visibility of pedestrians.



LEARNING FROM BEST PARKING PRACTICES

Parking plays a major role in an area's mobility. It can be an asset if thoughtfully located – supporting local businesses or meeting the needs of residents who may rely on on-street parking due to narrow residential parcels without driveways. But parking can be a liability when ineffective policies place a premium on parking demands; as a result, the pedestrian fabric of a community is eroded.

Requiring minimum parking standards and locating surface parking lots along street frontages lead to environments that are hostile to pedestrians. The heat island effects that are caused by large areas of asphalt without tree cover, underutilized

parcels, and a lack of street definition make a huge impact on the environment and to the quality of life for residents.

Across the three CRA districts, there are approximately 333 acres of paved surface parking areas. In the Eastside, there are 57 "Major Paved" areas for a total of 15 acres of paving.

PARKING GOALS

The goals of parking recommendations are to:

- Facilitate easy access to downtown while promoting transit and other modes of transportation while prioritizing a more walkable and bikeable environment.

- Coordinate parking locations – surface lots or structured – with transit, micro-transit, and micromobility hubs.
- Develop parking facilities that meet parking needs through a shared parking methodology.
- Minimize impact from parking on the public realm.
- Ensure that parking is safe and accessible to all.
- Retain residential on-street parking in a manner that allows for other community needs to be considered.

9. Parking Projects and Requirements

- Curb management plans can provide efficient allocation of space within the edge of the street to make sure parking, as well as other uses, such as bike lanes or loading areas, can also be accommodated.
- Quick-build pilot projects that either remove or add on-street parking can provide short-term improvements that allow residents and visitors to experience impacts before committing to large financial investments.
- Convert strategically located on-street parking spots (such as at corners or midblock) into landscape zones, parklets, pop-ups, etc.
- Residential garages should be placed in a manner that preserves building presence on the street and provide uninterrupted pedestrian facilities.
- All non-residential buildings and multi-family residential buildings should provide both short-term and long-term bicycle or other micromobility storage space.
- Develop revised parking requirements for infill projects that uses parking requirements from Urban Core CRA District commercial uses and further provides flexibility that are responsive to Eastside's unique redevelopment challenges and consider such conditions as distance to transit stops and whether or not development is a rehabilitation of an existing structure.



Crosswalks and other decorations on the street can improve streets' look and feel

RECOMMENDATIONS



LEARNING FROM LEADING PEDESTRIAN INTERVALS

Leading pedestrian intervals are traffic signal adjustments that give pedestrians a head start to cross the street. Typically, the walk signal for pedestrians is displayed three to seven seconds before vehicles are given a green light to proceed. This helps to bring drivers' awareness to the presence of pedestrians, reducing conflicts with turning vehicles and improving safety.

Caleb Oquendo

OPEN SPACE AND COMMUNITY AMENITIES

The Open Space and Community Amenities policy category includes recommendations that enhance the quality of life within the district by increasing access to parks, trails, recreation, community centers, and other community destinations. Examples of recommendations in the Open Space and Community Amenities category include:

- Recommendations about specific parks or open spaces;
- Recommendations for new or improved community amenities;
- Qualities that the CRA should prioritize in proposed designs, such as natural habitats or tree canopy and shade;
- Participation in the City's upcoming Parks Master Plan;
- Celebration of places with historic and cultural significance in neighborhoods and special districts through public art;
- Requirements for developers to incorporate public art into their developments;
- Walking and bicycling connections to existing or future parks and destinations;
- Recommendations for community garden, improvements to cemeteries, and other neighborhood opens space.

The policy recommendations emerged as important through the CRA Plan Update process, through a combination of community input and suggestions, conversations with CRA and City staff about challenges of the current development review and approvals process, and relevant recommendations from the previous plans. Some recommendations are location-based, while others are global and applicable to the whole district.

The Open Space and Community Amenities policy recommendations, like the Special Demonstration Projects, are intended to provide examples of appropriate CRA projects. However, policies are not limited to those listed. The policies included are not listed in order or priority. The CRA will use the evaluation tools in the Implementation chapter to prioritize projects and match projects to available funding.



Nikodah



Sasistock

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Parks Master Plan

- Participate in the 2025 Parks Master Plan being conducted by the City Parks and Recreation Department.
- The plan should assess the existing conditions and amenities and make recommendations for enhancing existing amenities, increasing the usability of the existing open spaces and cemeteries, and transforming vacant lots into new neighborhood parks where needed.
- The planning process should engage residents, relying on community input to guide the amenities, designs, and programming of parks.
- Park designs should prioritize amenities and programming that match the desires and needs of surrounding demographics.
- All interventions in parks and public spaces should prioritize increasing shade, comfort, and usability.
- Neighborhood parks or publicly-accessible open space should be located within 1/4-mile of all neighborhood blocks.
- The CRA may explore the option to fund future specific park enhancements that relate to placemaking.



2. Art Requirement for New Development

- Require new development receiving CRA funding to meet a requirement to incorporate public art that celebrates the history, culture, or place.
- Projects would be identified, funded, and installed by private developers; also explore "in-lieu" options.
- The CRA and City should identify and help initiate a regional arts organization that would eventually facilitate the program.

3. Natural Habitats and Tree Canopies

Require the use of native plant species, the creation of natural habitats, and tree canopies to provide shade in CRA-funded projects.





Excerpt from Equitable Development Framework Plan (2024)

LEARNING FROM THE PAST: CELEBRATE CULTURE AND HISTORY OF THE EASTSIDE

Follow the recommendations as outlined in the Equitable Development Framework Plan: Principle 6.

SUPPORT HISTORICAL RESEARCH

Invest in a dedicated historic preservation and documentation project to understand the various histories of the Lost Neighborhood at the neighborhoods surrounding Hollice T. Williams Park; incorporate and formalize the research that members of the Pensacola community have begun; include both formal histories as well as personal ones; allow for opportunities for residents to share photographs and oral histories.

CREATE THEMES

Develop a well-designed, interactive theme that weaves history and stories throughout the park that not only informs users about the history, but encourages them to interact with it (for example, 3D structures they can walk in and through, music-based exhibits, etc.)

ENCOURAGE DEVELOPER PARTICIPATION

Developers working within a 1/2-mile of the park should incorporate public art that honors the history of the neighborhood into their buildings and exterior spaces.

4. Community Facilities

Fund and build improvements to community facilities and programs, such as healthcare services, education, telehealth, community gathering space, a new senior center, a career learning lab, and cyber lounge.

Potential new locations for community facilities that should be studied include sites near Magee Field and/or infill sites along Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway.

5. Public Restrooms


Partner with the City to identify locations, establish standards for design and maintenance, and support the construction and maintenance of public restrooms in or near public parks and highly-trafficked areas. Some potential locations may include Hollice T. Williams Park, Magee Field, or near the Crystal Ice House Market.



Public restrooms can be added to parks, along streets, or in other public areas

FOLLOW THE HISTORICAL MARKERS THROUGH THE EASTSIDE AFRICAN AMERICAN HERITAGE TRAIL

1. Katharine A. Goldsmith R.N.
2. A.S. Magee Field
3. The Lost Neighborhood
4. Historic Crystal Ice House
5. Spencer Bibbs Academy
6. Hollice T. Williams Park
7. General Daniel "Chappie" James, Jr. Home and Memorial Gardens
8. E.S. Cobb Center
9. H&O Café
10. John Lee Pickens' Medical Office
11. John Sunday





The Spencer Bibbs Center is a stop along the African American Heritage Trail in the Eastside

6. Community Gardens

- Assess the need for and interest in community gardens in public parks as part of the 2025 Parks Master Plan.
- Build relationships with potential garden operators.

7. Stormwater and Drainage Infrastructure Maintenance

Recommend that the City address stormwater and drainage issues along E Mallory Street between Hayne Street and 9th Avenue, along E Gonzalez Street, and Avery Street.

8. Eastside African American Heritage Trail


Continue to celebrate and support the African American Heritage Trail that connects the historical markers that tell the history of cultural landmarks in the Eastside.


9. Connections to Hollice T. Williams Park

Study the east-west pedestrian and bicycling connections to and from Hollice T. Williams and identify priority paths for streetscape improvements.



Community gardens can be a great way to build community participation





IMPACTS AND PROJECTIONS

NEIGHBORHOOD IMPACT ELEMENT

This plan makes provisions for affordable housing through rehabilitation and new construction. Shortages in affordable housing will be addressed through existing and new affordable housing development strategies, with an emphasis on developing ways in which affordable housing can be integrated within market rate housing projects.

Implementing this plan will improve the quality of life for residents within the Eastside CRA District and surrounding areas. While all impacts cannot be determined without site-specific proposals that evaluate impacts, this section provides a summary of potential impacts that may occur in each category required by statute. The specific categories that must be addressed are as follows: relocation, traffic circulation, environmental quality, availability of community facilities and services, effect on school population, and other matters affecting the physical and social quality of the neighborhood.

Relocation

This plan supports the preservation of the existing low- and moderate-income housing facilities in the residential areas. It does not require the relocation of any of the residents of the redevelopment area. The Eastside Infill Housing Special Demonstration Project will add new units to the area housing stock and may incorporate some levels of affordability. Recommendations included in the Housing section support the creation of programs and incentives that will help support the preservation and addition of affordable and attainable housing.

Should there be a need for relocation of residents, minimizing the impact to any residents subject to relocation will be of the utmost importance. Supportive services and equitable financial treatment will be provided to any individual and all families subject to relocation. When feasible, the relocation impact will be mitigated by relocating within the immediate neighborhood and seeking alternative housing options.

Traffic Circulation

The implementation of this plan related to streetscape improvements and traffic circulation are anticipated to positively impact the Eastside CRA District. All recommendations support the enhancement of pedestrian and bicycle safety, multi-modal connectivity, and traffic calming.

Additional traffic may be a result of increasing district-wide housing stock and community resources; however, this plan provides mitigation through the incorporation of on-site parking and improved multi-modal and pedestrian connectivity to alleviate impacts to congestion.

Environmental Quality

The City of Pensacola land use regulations require that stormwater management be addressed as a condition of permitting any development project. When redeveloped, the Hollice T. Williams Park Equitable Development Framework Plan, Dr. MLK Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway Streetscapes, and Gonzalez Street Shareway Special Demonstration Projects will incorporate stormwater retention and/or detention areas. Recommendations for stormwater and drainage infrastructure maintenance and corridor improvement projects also support the incorporation of stormwater mitigation and low-impact design.

Community Facilities and Services

This plan supports increasing the number of and improving the variety and quality of community facilities and services in the Eastside CRA District, which are detailed in the Open Space and Community Amenities section.

This plan recognizes the importance of community facilities and supports planned improvements of these facilities. Existing open space and recreational facilities in the area include Magee Field and Hollice T. Williams Park. This plan recommends the addition of open space and recreational facilities, which are intended to expand access to shared open spaces within the neighborhoods. The continued support of the African American Heritage Trail and pedestrian and bicycling connection to and from Hollice T. Williams Park are also anticipated to improve connections between destinations in and around the Eastside CRA District.

Effect on School Population

This plan anticipates positively impacting the area's school population by recommending the continued building of relationships with the Escambia County School District in order to support the prioritization of projects that will directly improve the safety and experience of students. Streetscape and community facilities improvements proposed as part of the Crystal Ice House Market, Hollice T. Williams Equitable Development Framework Plan, Hayne Street Improvements, Dr. MLK Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway Streetscapes, and Gonzalez Street Shareway Special Demonstration Projects and throughout the district along priority corridors are intended to enhance the safety, accessibility, and experience of students and other residents.

Physical and Social Quality

This plan's recommendations to improve the appearance and safety of highly-trafficked corridors, enhance the pedestrian experience, focus on placemaking and infill development, and increase opportunities for housing and economic development will have a positive impact on the Eastside CRA District's physical and visual character. The implementation of this plan's recommendations will help to create housing, employment, and social opportunities.

PRIORITY PROJECTS

Using the CRA Prioritization Criteria and the agreed-upon scoring matrix, the CRA and consultant team has embarked upon a collaborative process to evaluate the projects and recommendations in the plan. Considerations have been made for implementability, availability of funding, alignment of timelines with other public and private investments, and more. The Priority Projects Matrix is meant to act as a living document that will be updated as project feasibility, funding, and priorities evolve, and as projects on the list are funded or completed. All projections, including timeframes and costing, are estimates intended for high-level budgeting purposes only.

The City and/or CRA's ability to undertake the proposed increment expenditures, create new redevelopment areas, or expand existing redevelopment areas as identified herein may be limited in the future by changes in law or circumstances. The City and CRA should consider utilizing debt financing and bond issuance where feasible and allowed by then-current law to finance capital improvements and thereby expedite achievement of desired redevelopment objectives.

Priority Projects for Eastside CRA	Timeframe	Projected Cost	Potential Funding Sources
Hollice T. Williams Park Equitable Development Framework Plan In order to accomplish the objectives laid out in the Phase 1 Framework Plan, a detailed study should be completed to identify specific policies that the City should adopt. The variety of potential funding sources and broad scope of this transformational project must be confirmed before projecting costs.	0-10 years	TBD	TIF pay-as-you-go and/or Municipal General Fund; Federal Grant; Philanthropy
CRA Boundary Expansion An Analysis and Necessity of Finding study should be conducted as a way to project the potential benefits and scope of expansion. The broad potential scope of this transformational project must be confirmed before projecting costs. The City and CRA should utilize Debt Financing and Bond issues where feasible and allowed by law to finance capital improvements.	0-5 years	TBD	TIF pay-as-you-go
Crystal Ice House Market This projection included renovation of the Ice House building, conversion of the parking lot, the addition of shipping containers and small food/vendor trailers, the construction of a market hall/pavilion and various furnishings that may be required.	0-5 years	\$ 6,998,000	TIF (bond for capital; pay-as-you-go for program); NMTC; CDBG; FL DEO; Private & Institutional Investments; Nonprofit Grants/Corporate Sponsorships
Dr. MLK Jr. Drive & N Davis Highway Curb Management Study and Streetscape Enhancements Enhanced streetscape, bump outs, lighting, intersection improvements, etc. from Cervantes St. to Baars St. were studied for this projection.	0-5 years	\$ 13,269,000	TIF (bond for capital; pay-as-you-go for program); Federal grant (DOT Safe Streets); FL DOT; Local Options Sales Tax (L.O.S.T); Sales Taxes
Gonzalez Street Shareway Re-signing stop signs and selective mini-roundabouts from 9th Avenue to Pace Boulevard (~2.5 miles) were studied for this projection.	0-5 years	\$ 138,000	TIF and/or Municipal GO Bond; Federal grant (DOT Safe Streets); FL DOT
Commercial Property Improvement Program (CPIP) These projections were based on the size of the Eastside CRA District and the existing costs of the Westside CRA District's program, which is assumed to be of similar nature. Total projection is made up of 10 annual increments.	0-10 years	\$ 750,000	TIF pay-as-you-go; Florida West Economic Development Alliance

Priority Projects for Eastside CRA	Timeframe	Projected Cost	Potential Funding Sources
Intersection and Sidewalk Improvements These projections were based on identified priority intersections and represents the estimated cost of raised crosswalks and bulbouts along Dr. MLK Jr. Drive at Maxwell, Jordan, Blount, Lee, Avery, and Cervantes streets.	0-10 years	\$ 158,000	TIF Bond; Municipal GO Bond; Federal grant (DOT Safe Streets); FL DOT
Dr. MLK Jr. Drive & N Davis Highway Two-Way Conversion To estimate this project's cost, the ECRC report was referenced. Assumed conversion would be implemented by the City and milling and resurfacing would be implemented by the State.	0-5 years	\$ 6,496,000	TIF Bond; Municipal GO Bond; Federal grant (DOT Safe Streets); FL DOT
Update the CRA Urban Design Overlay District (CRAUDOD) This projection assumes a 4-6 month study involving community engagement plus a 4-month adoption process, that could be run by a consultant or by CRA staff. The projected cost assumes a consultant fee, not the cost of staff.	0-5 years	\$ 120,000	TIF pay-as-you-go
Incorporate Neighborhood-Serving Retail This projection assumes the addition of one (1) retail node in the neighborhood, using regional parametric costs per approximate area.	0-10 years	\$ 3,812,000	TIF Bond; Municipal GO Bond; Florida West Economic Development Alliance; FL DEO; Private & Institutional Investments
Community Benefits Guideline Projections accounted for the size of the CRA District and resultant revenue in comparison to the other CRA Districts.	0-5 years	\$ 50,000	TIF Pay as you go; Municipal General Fund; Nonprofit Grants
Develop Neighborhood-Specific Plans This projection assumes that the Eastside CRA District remains as one neighborhood with a 4-6 month engagement process. It is also assumed that the City/CRA implements the findings.	0-10 years	\$ 50,000	TIF Pay as you go; Municipal General Fund; FL DEO; Private & Institutional Investments; Nonprofit Grants
Neighborhood Identity & Wayfinding This projection assumes that this project would be completed as a single branding and design package for the entire CRA District due to its size, as well as a variety of street furnishings, signage, and other elements that may be recommended.	0-5 years	\$ 691,000	TIF (pay-as-you-go for study; bond for capital); FL DEO; Private & Institutional Investments; Nonprofit Grants/Corporate Sponsorships; Escambia County's Tourist Development Tax (TDT)

Priority Projects for Eastside CRA	Timeframe	Projected Cost	Potential Funding Sources
Revitalize Cultural Landmarks and Historic Buildings This projection was based on the advertised renovation costs for the H&O Cafe and the E.S. Cobb Center, with other contingencies included.	0-10 years	\$ 2,842,000	TIF Bond; Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit (HRTC); Federal Grant (HUD); FL Division of Historical Resources; Private & Institutional Investments; Nonprofit Grants
Partnership with Community Land Trusts for Infill Development This projection assumes the acquisition of twenty (20) lots and estimates costs for the new construction of ~1,200 square foot houses on each lot, with very little to no sitework or design costs.	0-10 years	\$ 6,085,000	TIF Bond; County Housing Finance Authority funding; LIHTC; Federal Grant (CDBG); FL DEO; Private & Institutional Investments
Eastside African American Heritage Trail The enhancement and/or addition of new trail markers is assumed.	0-10 years	\$ 200,000	TIF Bond; Municipal GO Bond; Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit (HRTC); Federal grant (HUD, DOT); FL Division of Historical Resources; Private & Institutional Investments; Nonprofit Grants/Corporate Sponsorships; Local Options Sales Tax (LOST)
Connections to Hollice T. Williams Park This projection assumes street improvements (widened sidewalks, raised crosswalks, etc.) on three priority intersections along N Hayne Street, at Moreno, Lakeview, and DeSoto.	0-5 years	\$ 2,496,000	TIF Bond; Municipal GO Bond; Federal grant (DOT, HUD); FL DEO; Nonprofit Grants; Local Options Sales Tax (LOST)
Residential Property Improvement Program (RPIP) This projection assumes that services would not drastically change from those provided recently; therefore, the cost estimate is similar to the 2024 spending. Total projection is made up of 15 annual increments.	0-15 years	\$ 7,500,000	TIF pay-as-you-go; Federal grant (CDBG); Philanthropy

TIF REVENUE PROJECTION

Methodology for Modeling Potential Future Revenue

The TIF Calculations evaluates the potential revenue impacts of proposed projects and developments using a Tax Increment Financing (TIF) formula. This analysis examines historic property values (parcel data) and supplementary information from CoStar and City-provided documentation to quantify the additional value from new developments and proximity premium uplift for real estate within a 2,000-foot buffer zone of park projects.

The formula integrates multiple components to project total revenue uplift: a Park Premium + Inflation multiplier is applied to parcels near proposed parks; Public Redevelopment Premium + Inflation accounts for valuation increases driven by CRA Plan initiatives; and Private Redevelopment Premium + Inflation incorporates value increases from developer-proposed projects. These premium valuations are then added to the Future TIF Dollar Base, a forecast of parcel-level values spanning 2025–2035, derived from historic valuations between 2020–2024.

Park Premium Methodology

Parks increase the value of surrounding real estate. The park premium buffer methodology utilizes insights from the Florida Recreation and Parks Association (FRPA)'s property value resources calculator to quantify the added value of proximity to park projects. A multiplier is applied to property values within a defined buffer zone, capturing the economic benefits of access to green spaces. This multiplier is calibrated using national and regional trends in property value appreciation associated with park proximity, with adjustments for local market conditions where appropriate.


WHAT IS TAX-INCREMENT FINANCING (TIF)?

Tax Increment Financing (TIF) is a method of paying for redevelopment through projections of increased tax revenue on real estate values. The CRA offers TIF rebates to developers as an incentive to redevelop; these rebates are calculated as a percentage of tax increment generated by the project's construction, paid out over a set period of time.

TIF is a method that cities across the country have been using for decades as a tool for local governments to pay for infrastructure improvements and redevelopment of blighted areas. In the State of Florida, city's CRAs are responsible for monitoring/dispersing the TIF revenue.

TIF Calculations Formula

The TIF formula used City-provided historic property values and parcel data, supplemented by CoStar and proposed City documents, as inputs. A multiplier was applied to simulate the proximity premium value uplift for real estate within a 2,000-foot radius of each recommended park project. Additional valuation uplifts from proposed private and public parcels were added to the base CRA forecast data to calculate the total potential revenue from investing in park development.



The buffer boundary logic adheres to industry-standard practices, defining zones of influence based on factors such as walkability, accessibility, and line-of-sight. Properties within a 0.25-mile radius typically receive the highest premiums, with diminishing effects extending up to 0.5 miles or further, depending on the park's size and amenities. These boundaries ensure a consistent and equitable evaluation of the impact of proposed park projects on surrounding property values. The multiplier table is available below:

Identifying Parks For Premium Calculation

The parks selected for the Parks Premium calculation include a series of identified potential park projects outlined in UDA’s November 2024 Post-Workshop Survey Summary. This summary captured the perspectives of 174 participants, who were asked for their opinions on specific park initiatives, with one initiative proposed for each CRA. In addition to the three identified parks, the analysis also incorporated the Hollice Park buffer, as this project has been a key component of the contracted JLP+D work.

Park Proximity Premiums	
Improvement Value	Park Proximity
20%	For parcels adjacent to the park
10%	For parcels 1 block away (500 feet)
5%	For parcels 2 blocks away (1,000 feet)

Improvement Value	Park Typology
10%	For active parks
33%	For active and passive recreation areas within the park
70%	For passive recreation within the park

Public Proposed Project Valuation Methodology

Proposed public investment project sites were identified in the UDA November 2024 Post-Workshop Survey Summary, with additional project square footage details provided by UDA. The square footage for each project was multiplied by the market price per square foot, as determined by CoStar. These values were then forecast using a unified appreciation rate to estimate future valuations. The difference between the forecast CRA parcel value and the projected public project valuation is applied to the TIF revenue projection.

Private Proposed Project Valuation Methodology

Proposed private investment project sites were identified using a Q4 2024 CoStar data pull of proposed and under-construction projects, which was subsequently reviewed and validated by city staff. The square footage of each project was multiplied by the market price per square foot, as provided by CoStar. These valuations were then forecast using a unified appreciation rate to estimate future property values. The difference between the forecast CRA parcel value and the projected private project valuation was incorporated into the TIF revenue projection.

Baseline Property Value

Baseline projections for property values were developed by integrating the City of Pensacola's geospatial parcel data with historical numeric tax assessor data provided by the client. This was achieved by aligning the Parcel ID from the geospatial parcel dataset with the corresponding Parcel ID in the numeric tax assessor data, ensuring precise mapping of spatial attributes to their historical valuation trends.


Forecast annual appreciation rates are aligned with Florida's historical real estate inflation rates.

Historic TIF Millage Rates

TIF millage rates were sourced from the client's historical TIF revenue data. The provided data includes the following details:

Year	Eastside	Urban Core	Westside
2008	4.5395	2	4.5395
2009	4.5395	2	4.5395
2010	4.5395	2	4.5395
2011	4.2895	2	4.2895
2012	4.2895	2	4.2895
2013	4.2895	2	4.2895
2014	4.2895	2	4.2895
2015	4.2895	2	4.2895
2016	4.2895	2	4.2895
2017	4.2895	2	4.2895
2018	4.2895	2	4.2895
2019	4.2895	2	4.2895
2020	4.2895	2	4.2895

Eastside CRA TIF Revenue Performance
Summary of Revenue Estimation



IMPACTS AND PROJECTIONS


Historically, TIF payments (dark blue) began in the mid-2000s and showed a steady but moderate increase over time. A slight dip between 2010 and 2013 suggests a temporary stagnation in property values tied to broader economic conditions. However, after 2013, a gradual upward trend emerged, aligning with economic recovery and new development initiatives.


The future baseline TIF payment with inflation (brown) represents projected revenue growth in the absence of additional development. This steady increase reflects expected property appreciation and rising assessment values over time.

A notable shift begins around 2030, when additional TIF payments from the CRA Plan’s proposed development (purple) start contributing to overall revenue growth. This marks a turning point where planned public-sector initiatives begin to generate financial returns.

Another critical factor in revenue expansion is the “park premium” (green), which emerges around 2035. This delayed but significant increase indicates the impact of public space enhancements, most noticeably the Hollice T. Williams Park, on nearby property values. Historically, properties near improved public spaces experience appreciation, leading to increased tax increment contributions.

In the Eastside District, there is no other private redevelopment in addition to what is captured in the CRA Plan.





APPENDIX

STATUTORY COMPLIANCE

COMPLIANCE WITH FLORIDA STATUTES

Sections 163.360 through 163.362, Florida Statutes, specify certain elements which must be addressed and content which must be included in community redevelopment plans and modifications to such plans. This Appendix identifies how this Plan Update complies with the statutory requirements.

Florida Statute	Requirement	How the Requirement is Met
§ 163.360(2)(a)	The community redevelopment plan shall . . . [c]onform to the comprehensive plan for the county or municipality as prepared by the local planning agency under the Community Planning Act.	<i>Prior to its approval by the City Council, the Plan Update was submitted to the City of Pensacola Planning Board for review and recommendations, as required by s. 163.360(4), Florida Statutes. The Planning Agency determined that the Plan Update is in conformity with the comprehensive plan for the development of the City as a whole.</i>
§ 163.360(2)(b)	The community redevelopment plan shall . . . [b]e sufficiently complete to indicate such land acquisition, demolition and removal of structures, redevelopment, improvements, and rehabilitation as may be proposed to be carried out in the community redevelopment area; zoning and planning changes, if any; land uses; maximum densities; and building requirements.	<i>See the sections herein entitled "Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts" and "Activities."</i> <i>With respect to demolition and/or removal of structures, see the section herein entitled "Recommendations" and the discussion therein under the heading "Demolition and Reconstruction Funding Program." See also the heading "Prepare Sites for Redevelopment" under the section entitled "Activities."</i>
§ 163.360(2)(c)	The community redevelopment plan shall . . . [p]rovide for the development of affordable housing in the area, or state the reasons for not addressing in the plan the development of affordable housing in the area.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Housing."</i>
§ 163.362(1)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [c]ontain a legal description of the boundaries of the community redevelopment area and the reasons for establishing such boundaries shown in the plan.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Overview of the CRA" for a description of the boundaries of the redevelopment area. See also the section herein entitled "Physical Patterns" which includes various diagrams including use and zoning maps of the redevelopment area and its boundaries. The boundaries of the area are further described in the Finding of Necessity Resolution adopted by the City Council for the redevelopment area</i>

		<i>which includes legislative finding that the conditions in the area meet the criteria for redevelopment and that the rehabilitation, conservation, or redevelopment, or a combination thereof, of such area is necessary in the interest of the public health, safety, morals, or welfare of the residents of the City.</i>
§ 163.362(2)(a)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [s]how by diagram and in general terms . . . [t]he approximate amount of open space to be provided and the street layout.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Open Space and Community Amenities." See also the sections herein entitled "Special Demonstration Projects" which describe and illustrate specific plan and project proposals that indicate open spaces and street layouts.</i>
§ 163.362(2)(b)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [s]how by diagram and in general terms . . . [l]imitations on the type, size, height, number, and proposed use of buildings.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Land Use, Zoning, and Special Districts" which includes diagrams, general references to the type, size, height, number, and proposed use of buildings. Limitations are established through the City's broader development, land use and zoning regulations.</i>
§ 163.362(2)(c)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [s]how by diagram and in general terms . . . [t]he approximate number of dwelling units.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Real Estate Market" and the housing market statistics, including number of dwelling units, summarized therein. See also the diagrams set forth under the section entitled "Physical Patterns."</i>
§ 163.362(2)(d)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [s]how by diagram and in general terms . . . [s]uch property as is intended for use as public parks, recreation areas, streets, public utilities, and public improvements of any nature.	<i>See the sections herein entitled "Open Space and Community Amenities" and "Transportation, Streets, and Parking." See also the section entitled "Physical Patterns" and the diagram therein entitled "Parks, Open Space, and Amenities."</i>
§ 163.362(3)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [i]f the redevelopment area contains low or moderate	<i>See the section herein entitled "Neighborhood Impact Element."</i>

	income housing, contain a neighborhood impact element which describes in detail the impact of the redevelopment upon the residents of the redevelopment area and the surrounding areas in terms of relocation, traffic circulation, environmental quality, availability of community facilities and services, effect on school population, and other matters affecting the physical and social quality of the neighborhood.	
§ 163.362(4)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [i]dentify specifically any publicly funded capital projects to be undertaken within the community redevelopment area.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Priority Projects."</i>
§ 163.362(5)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [c]ontain adequate safeguards that the work of redevelopment will be carried out pursuant to the plan.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Activities" and in particular the discussion under the heading "Property Disposition."</i>
§ 163.362(6)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [p]rovide for the retention of controls and the establishment of any restrictions or covenants running with land sold or leased for private use for such periods of time and under such conditions as the governing body deems necessary to effectuate the purposes of this part.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Activities" and in particular the discussion under the heading "Property Disposition."</i>
§ 163.362(7)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [p]rovide assurances that there will be replacement housing for the relocation of persons temporarily or permanently displaced from housing facilities within the community redevelopment area.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Demographic and Market Analysis" and the discussion therein regarding preventing displacement of residents and businesses. See also the section herein entitled "Neighborhood Impact Element."</i>

§ 163.362(8)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [p]rovide an element of residential use in the redevelopment area if such use exists in the area prior to the adoption of the plan or if the plan is intended to remedy a shortage of housing affordable to residents of low or moderate income, including the elderly, or if the plan is not intended to remedy such shortage, the reasons therefor.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Housing."</i>
§ 163.362(9)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [c]ontain a detailed statement of the projected costs of the redevelopment, including the amount to be expended on publicly funded capital projects in the community redevelopment area and any indebtedness of the community redevelopment agency, the county, or the municipality proposed to be incurred for such redevelopment if such indebtedness is to be repaid with increment revenues.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Priority Projects."</i>
§ 163.362(10)	Every community redevelopment plan shall . . . [p]rovide a time certain for completing all redevelopment financed by increment revenues.	<i>See the section herein entitled "Overview of the CRA," which specifies the date certain as September 30, 2045.</i>

SUMMARY OF STEP 1 ENGAGEMENT

LIST OF ENGAGEMENT EVENTS

During Step 1 of the planning process, several different types of community engagement were utilized:

Listening Workshop — May 30th, 6:30-8:00 PM

- To explain the CRA planning process and to hear from residents about the key issues to address in the plan
- 32 attendees

Interactive Online Map* (detailed on following pages) — May 28th-August 23rd

- 765 contributions from 213 contributors

Eastside Associations Leadership Meeting — May 28th-31st

- To understand the history of planning in the Eastside neighborhood, and current neighborhood priorities

Stakeholder Meetings* — May 28th-31st

- Topic-based meetings
 - Workforce & Economic Development
 - Housing
 - Community Support Organizations
 - Historic Preservation
 - Arts & Culture
 - Neighborhood Associations
 - Environment & Waterways
 - Parks & Amenities
 - Transportation
- 51 organizations attended over three days

Virtual Workshop #1* — June 11th

- To explain the CRA planning process and to hear from residents about the key issues to address in the plan
- Provided for those that could not attend in-person workshops in May

Community Conversations* — August

- Three additional CRA staff-led workshops
- 138 total attendees

Stakeholder Meetings* — August

- Smaller meeting to discuss specific topics in the three CRA districts
 - Neighborhood Associations Stakeholder Meeting (11 attendees)
 - Environmental & Waterways, Parks & Amenities, and Transportation Stakeholder Meeting (7 attendees)

Pop-up Summer kids camps & Senior Bingo — August**

- Four CRA staff-led events
- 70 total attendees



Listening workshop



Stakeholder meeting



Listening workshop

* This engagement included attendees from all 3 districts

** This engagement was conducted with the Westside and Eastside


THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ABOUT THE INTERACTIVE MAP

A vital part of Step 1 engagement included an online interactive map. The on-line interactive map served as a digital tool for place-based feedback about the planning process. Pensacola residents and stakeholder were encouraged to provide comments in at least one of four categories:

- Your Favorite Places
- Problem Areas
- Ideas for the Future
- General Comments

Respondents were able to drag one of these comment categories and pin it to a specific place on the map of Pensacola. Comments were not limited to a specific CRA District. After the interaction map closed, tabulation and organization of the comments were completed. There were 765 contributions from 213 contributors to the interactive map.





STEP 1 ENGAGEMENT SUMMARY RESULTS

The following pages show the summarized results of the online interactive map contributions to the Eastside CRA District with the other Step 1 engagement processes, including in-person and virtual community meetings, stakeholder groups, neighborhood workshops, and youth events. Along with identifying and summarizing Eastside-specific strengths, weaknesses, and opportunities, six themes addressed specific concerns and comments about the Eastside:

- Arts, Cultures, Activities, and Destinations
- Streets and Connections
- Housing and Opportunity Sites
- Community-Centered Planning
- Resilient Infrastructure
- Parks and Open Space

On the maps, community and stakeholder feedback is indicated by green (strengths), blue (opportunities), and red (weaknesses).







Strengths

- The vibrant downtown area
- Palafox Street - active and walkable
- Historical buildings with unique character
- Shops and restaurants
- Parks and open space, including the Blake Doyle skate park, Ferdinand Plaza, and Veterans Memorial Park
- The waterfront, with areas like Bruce Beach for families and activities at the Blue Wahoo Stadium
- Paved streets and street trees
- Festivals and events that promote the local arts & culture

Weaknesses

- Lack of affordable, accessible grocery store
- Lack of retail carrying everyday goods
- Existing parks are not well-maintained
- I-110 causes noise and air pollution for the neighboring residential neighborhood and park
- Vacant/rundown buildings and lots make the area feel unsafe and detract from the existing character
- Poor bike and pedestrian connections to neighborhood amenities and downtown
- Lack of public amenities (i.e., bathrooms)
- Existing infrastructure does not address drainage & flooding issues and are poorly maintained
- Speeding traffic
- Littering

Opportunities

- Add amenities and programming to existing community centers in the neighborhood
- Create a safe community (better lighting, neighborhood watch)
- Celebrate the history of the area through public art, signage, building preservation, and education
- Create safe pedestrian and bike connections to neighborhood amenities
- Add amenities to existing parks
- Create a wide variety of parks with different uses and programming
- Create affordable housing
- Make sure existing residents have a say in the future of their neighborhood

Arts, Culture, Activities, and Destinations

- Celebrate the history of the neighborhood through art, signage, building preservation, and education and partner with existing organizations and non-profits
- Create more indoor spaces for community needs (community center, library, indoor gyms)
- Create more retail & restaurants
- Create/support affordable and accessible programs and activities for families, children, and seniors

Streets and Connections

- Improve pedestrian connections & access to public amenities
- Repair the issues caused by the I-110 (torn community fabric and lost history, noise, poor connections)
- Improve pedestrian crossings
- Limit excessive parking and create shared parking strategies
- Slow traffic and improve safety on streets

Housing & Opportunity Sites

- Create affordable and accessible housing for all stages of life and help homeowners maintain existing homes (seniors, families, rental, homeownership)
- Make sure future development focuses on inclusion, community participation & keeping existing residents
- Rehab or demolish existing damaged properties

Community-Centered Planning

- Align zoning and planning policies with community needs
- Create a safe community for all (appropriate lighting, safety education for kids, set up a neighborhood watch)
- Create job and entrepreneurship opportunities for residents and support existing small businesses
- Create more accessible systems for sharing information and resources
- Provide everyday services and retail
- Expand the CRA boundary to provide funding for adjacent neighborhoods

Resilient Infrastructure

- Mitigate flooding impacts ("Old Stinky", parks with lots of pavement, A St, Garden St, Clubbs St & Government St, Clubbs St & Zarragossa, Bruce Beach, Gonzales & Tarragona)
- Underground utilities and cut trees back from existing utilities
- Protect the shoreline/minimize damage from future natural disasters

Parks and Open Space

- Provide more parks and open space
- Incorporate lighting, seating, landscaping, and stormwater features in park
- Preserve old-growth trees
- Improve the maintenance of existing parks and update existing amenities to be accessible for all ages and abilities

SUMMARY OF STEP 2 ENGAGEMENT

LIST OF ENGAGEMENT EVENTS

Step 2 of the planning process included a week-long workshop that culminated in a presentation and open house. Following the presentation, surveys and additional meetings were conducted to gain valuable feedback from those unable to attend the workshop events.

1:1 Meeting with the Mayor* — September 30th, 3:00-4:00 PM

- To review opportunity sites and priorities rights-of-ways
- To receive input on developmental projects

Meetings with Councilmembers & CRA Board* — September 30th-October 1st

- To review recommendations, input on development projects, priority rights-of-way & workshop insights, and listen to input

Meetings with City Department Heads* — October 1st

- To present ideas in progress
- To discuss any alternatives or sensitive information

Testing Workshop Public Open House* — October 1st, 6:00-7:30pm

- To share ideas for potential projects in the Eastside and get feedback from residents about potential CRA investments
- Spanish translation provided

Stakeholder Meetings with Developers* — October 2nd, 9:30-11:00 AM

- To discuss decision factors around investments

Testing Workshop Public Open House* — October 2nd, 12:00-1:30pm

- To share ideas for potential projects in the Eastside and get feedback from residents about potential CRA investments
- Spanish translation provided

Testing Workshop Community Presentation* — October 3rd, 6:00-7:30pm

- To share ideas for potential projects in the Eastside and get feedback from residents about potential CRA investments
- Open House followed

Post-workshop Survey* — October 14th-November 4th (detailed on following pages)

- To gather feedback on the concepts presented at the public meeting on October 3rd

Debrief with Keith Leonard, the Escambia County School Superintendent

- To gather input specifically on the Eastside plan and the Spencer Bibbs/Ice House plan

Debrief with the Area Housing Commission* — December 12th

- To debrief on the concepts that were developed during the Testing Workshop in October

** This engagement was conducted with all three CRA districts at once*



Tuesday open house



Thursday open house



Testing workshop community presentation

ABOUT THE SURVEY

Because workshop attendance and feedback was limited to those who could physically be there, the post-workshop survey was crucial in gaining additional feedback. To widen the engagement reach, the survey was offered both digitally and in print, with English and Spanish versions, and distributed to hundreds of Pensacola residents, the goal was to reach as many residents as possible to obtain accurate and comprehensive feedback of the ideas presented at the workshop.


The survey was made available to the public from October 14th, 2024 through November 4th, 2024 and garnered 174 responses. Over 90% of participants live in Pensacola year-round, while over 50% of participants live in the CRA District boundary. About 55% of participants had not attended any previous engagement, showing that much of the feedback and ideas given were new for the project.

The following pages show the summarized results of each survey question. Along with the overall results of the survey, the data was further examined to organize the responses from residents who live in the Eastside. Some of the open text responses have been recorded in this document (at the bottom of the respective page); the comments that appear here have been selected for their relevancy and representativeness of the remainder of the comments.


Top Comments in the Eastside

Of the 11 responses received from Eastside residents, top overall comments included:

- Improve safety on North Davis Highway and Dr. MLK Jr Drive.
- Provide mixed-income housing and house ownership opportunities.
- Create a small business incubator and food park around the Crystal Ice House site.
- Prioritize Cervantes Street, North Davis Highway, and Blount Street for pedestrian and bicyclist safety and comfort.




APPENDIX



All respondents

1. Did you attend any of the following workshops?


166 answered, 8 skipped



36% of Eastside residents who took this survey attended at least one previous workshop


Eastside residents

11 answered, 0 skipped




2. What is your home zip code? If you don't live in the United States, what is your county of residence?

150 answered, 24 skipped



11 answered, 0 skipped




All respondents


Eastside residents

3. What is your relationship with Pensacola?

154 answered, 20 skipped




11 answered, 0 skipped



4. What CRA District of Pensacola do you live in?


153 answered, 21 skipped




APPENDIX

5. How long have you lived in Pensacola?

154 answered, 20 skipped




11 answered, 0 skipped



All respondents

6. What CRA District of Pensacola do you work in?

126 answered, 48 skipped




7. What CRA District of Pensacola do you most often visit?

153 answered, 21 skipped




8. How do you identify your gender?

153 answered, 21 skipped




Eastside residents


8 answered, 3 skipped



11 answered, 0 skipped




11 answered, 0 skipped



All respondents


9. What is your age?

154 answered, 20 skipped




Eastside residents

11 answered, 0 skipped



City of Pensacola Age Demographics


Data from United States Census, American Community Survey, 2022



All respondents


10. What is your race/ethnicity?

154 answered, 20 skipped




11. What is the combined annual income of all working adults in your household?

154 answered, 20 skipped




Eastside residents

11 answered, 0 skipped




11 answered, 0 skipped



All respondents

12. How many working adults live in your household?

135 answered, 39 skipped



Eastside residents

11 answered, 0 skipped




13. Do you think that these concepts would improve safety on N Davis Highway?

125 answered, 49 skipped




All respondents

125 answered, 49 skipped



Eastside residents

9 answered, 2 skipped




*Answers included: Keep these as one way streets and instead encourage a road diet with wider green spaces along the sides of each and more clearly defined parking areas; changing to 2-way does not solve the speeding problem; more effort should be on cross streets leading to the new park; this is not an efficient use of money; it isn't a dangerous street now.


PENSACOLA CRA PLANS UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES

BEFORE




AFTER




North Davis Highway

This proposal corresponds with question 13




15. Do you feel it's a top priority to improve the pedestrian and bicycling experience in the Eastside?

166 answered, 8 skipped




All respondents

166 answered, 8 skipped



Eastside residents


9 answered, 2 skipped



*Answers included: Not a top priority until we have a destination for pedestrians and bicycle; it seems pretty walkable now; the city doesn't see it as a top priority.

16. Which streets are your top priority for improvements to pedestrian and bicycle safety and comfort?

120 answered, 54 skipped




Top three answers from Eastside residents are shown in teal
 *Answers included: 12th Avenue, 9th Avenue, Tarragona Street


Post-Workshop Survey | October-November 2024

17. Should more housing be added in the Eastside CRA District?


127 answered, 47 skipped



All respondents
127 answered, 47 skipped




Eastside residents
9 answered, 2 skipped



Post-Workshop Survey | October-November 2024

18. What kind of housing do you think is the most appropriate to add to Eastside?


121 answered, 53 skipped



Top three answers from Eastside residents are shown in teal
*Answers included: stop letting out-of-state developers build in city limits and increasing price; anything that the people that work here can afford; 10+ stories high-rise; homes for people who are homeless


19. Do you think the Crystal Ice House is an important cultural landmark in the Eastside that should be preserved and reused?

126 answered, 48 skipped




All respondents

126 answered, 48 skipped



Eastside residents

9 answered, 2 skipped



*Answers included: Yes, but the demand is not needed currently; preserve only; school board should be involved; don't know much about it.




Crystal Ice House

This proposal corresponds with questions 19-21


Post-Workshop Survey | October-November 2024

20. Do you think that creating a small business incubator and food park around the Crystal Ice House would benefit the Eastside CRA?


125 answered, 49 skipped



All respondents
125 answered, 49 skipped



Eastside residents
9 answered, 2 skipped



*Answers included: focus on keeping the small business incubators in the downtown area; depends on who is paying; population density might not support; is this the best option?

Post-Workshop Survey | October-November 2024

21. What are your thoughts about this concept?

58 answered, 116 skipped



22. What other projects would you recommend in the Eastside CRA?

40 answered, 134 skipped



PENSACOLA CRA PLANS UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES

49. Do you have any other comments or suggestions not covered elsewhere in this survey?

20 answered, 154 skipped

- CRA has done a great job of including public input in this project. The City's team is outstanding.
- Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback of our lovely area.
- West Side development. There is so much potential in the historic portions of the west side. Gardening. Pensacola is almost devoid of gardening. Need flowers, shrubs, live oaks, magnolias, veg gardens, community gardens, honey bees, chickens. Get citizens outside, investing in and bonding with neighbors, homes.
- Thanks for this opportunity to be engaged.
- A city newsletter mailed out would be nice.
- Please reevaluate the W Government St as an improved collector street from Eastside to Downtown to Westside. The roadway has so much potential and needs immediate attention to traffic flows, stormwater issues and streetscaping. Thank you for this opportunity to comment.
- The West Main Master Plan x CMP, the Bluffline project, ACW, redevelopment of the Baptist Hospital site, and HTW should serve as the economic, place making, health, and environmental organizing principles for this current CRA plan revision. Start with that and make sure there's synergy then go from there.
- Propose to put Bayfront Parkway on road diet freeing up space for walkway from bridge to cedar street. Connect to Government Street at ninth ave. Upgrade Government Street to Palafox.
- Build more skate parks.
- No. This took more than an hour to complete.
- Escambia Co. has been floating around an idea for a Sportsplex up north at 10 Mile Rd. I think the land at the old Baptist Hospital would be great for that Sportsplex. Has anyone discussed this? They're talking about a 90Million dollar expenditure.
- Hey this was a fun survey I found on Reddit! I live here and figured I'd give my two cents! I really liked the ideas proposed and am rooting for you to get them done! Good luck!
- Should be working to maintain and preserve the history and heritage of the downtown area, not flooding it with high density housing that will only strain the resources and jam up traffic. Use the underutilized terminal area for industrial and business spaces.
- Lakes and more trees are much needed where possible. Moving above ground utility lines to below ground and removing the utility poles with street lamps. First start with the 300 block of W. Govt St and move west. Thank you for this opportunity to comment.
- For years I have thought that we should amp up the landscaping for the median on Garden Street. Like Fairhope, I think we could really do a phenomenal job with landscaping this and really play into the "Garden" street name and put rotating seasonal florals that are tasteful and impressive to tourists and locals alike. Garden Street is the first impression for most who travel into the heart of Pensacola and it has always looked so tired, weak and not prioritized but it could be so delightful and do wonders for the first impression for Pensacola tourists.
- Who will provide upkeep of proposed tree installs and other proposed projects — roads, lighting, parks, etc.
- Shut down the polluting paper mill, it makes Pensacola stink and drives away people, business's and jobs, while lowering our quality of life
- Too much "affordable" housing is mentioned. How about mentioning buried utilities? Mentioning round-a-bouts instead of all these four way stops?
- Is there anyway to make parking on Gregory Street between A Street and Rues? Donelson Street between Gregory and Chase street?
- Yes when you have a diverse economic shopping store front need always consider a Dollar General.

PENSACOLA CRA PLANS UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DEMOGRAPHIC AND MARKET ANALYSIS

OVERVIEW

This analysis of existing demographics & market conditions was conducted across all three CRA Districts simultaneously, but also narrowed in on each district to identify specific conditions per district. The analysis was conducted primarily using data collected in 2023 and was published in September 2024.

The intent of this analysis was to:

- Analyze demographics of each CRA District;
- Identify primary community stressors;
- Identify opportunities in the market;
- Determine the potential impacts of development in each district in order to prevent the displacement of residents and businesses;
- Provide priorities for designers and policymakers to make positive impacts and avoid negative impacts on the community.


CRA Existing Conditions

Demographic, Real Estate Market, and Displacement Trends



PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

Prepared For:



Contents

1.0	Socio-economic + Demographic Trends	3
1.1	Population & Households	4
1.2	Workforce Trends	10
2.0	Real Estate Market Performance	17
3.0	CRA Performance Review	29
4.0	Gentrification + Displacement	46

1.0

SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEMOGRAPHICS + TRENDS

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / &P+D

1.1

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / &P+D

Population Growth

Minor changes in total population since 2000, with high density in CRA districts

The population trends across all three Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) boundaries indicate stagnant or slightly negative growth from 2000 to 2023. The Westside CRA and Urban Core CRA have experienced population declines, with the Westside CRA losing 602 residents and the Urban Core CRA losing 309 residents since 2000. Overall, the Citywide population has also decreased by over 2,200 residents in 2023 compared to 2000.

In terms of population density, all three CRAs exhibit higher densities than the City of Pensacola. While density does not represent the exact population count (see table at right) density helps compare the districts to each other in terms their relative sizes.


Population Density

- Citywide: 1,598 residents per square mile
- Urban Core CRA: 1,935 residents per square mile
- Eastside CRA: 3,127 residents per square mile
- Westside CRA: 3,474 residents per square mile

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Escambia county is growing modestly while Pensacola is losing population. Declining population doesn't match resident perceptions about population growth, but it may impact the city's competitive edge within the region.

Population Change, 2000 - 2023



Population by CRA District

	2000	2010	2023	% change, 2000 - 2023
Westside CRA	6,496	6,291	5,894	-9.27%
Eastside CRA	1,192	1,254	1,212	1.68%
Urban Core CRA	3,361	3,826	3,052	-9.19%
Pensacola City	56,288	51,923	54,059	-3.96%
Escambia County	294,410	297,619	321,905	9.34%

Data source: US Census Bureau, 2023 Data - Visualized by JLP+D

Aging

The population is trending older

Median age can provide additional detail about population trends in Pensacola. Both the Urban Core and Westside CRA populations have a higher median age, with the Westside CRA at 42.7 years old and the Urban Core CRA at 47.5 years old. In contrast, the Eastside CRA and Citywide median age stand at 40 years old (Median age in the United States is 39.0 years). In Pensacola, these median ages have been relatively steady since 2000.

Trends of aging can also be viewed by comparing the elderly and working age populations. Pensacola also has an elevated old-age dependency ratio at 34.2, meaning that for every 100 workers, there are 34.2 residents 65 and older, which is nearly four more residents than the national ratio of 28.5. This ratio has jumped 5 points in Pensacola since 2010 when it was 27.5.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

An aging population can indicate broader economic challenges caused by a shortage of younger residents available to enter the workforce.



Image: Bayview Senior Resource Center, www.cityofpensacola.com

Military Presence

Naval Air Space Pensacola

The United States Department of Defense exerts a significant economic influence on Northwest Florida, particularly in the Greater Pensacola region, where it **contributes over \$7.8 billion annually to the local economy**.

DoD activity generates over **80,000 jobs in the Pensacola Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)** region, with an **average wage of \$82,890 for military and defense-related positions**. Moreover, the area hosts **more than 35,000 military retirees, representing the second-largest concentration in the nation**, providing a skilled workforce that may seek opportunities to transition into civilian careers.

Additionally, the region benefits from **substantial defense procurement spending, totaling \$340.7 million annually**, which further stimulates economic activity. Military installations such as Naval Air Station (NAS) Pensacola play a crucial role in training personnel in advanced fields such as aviation, aerospace, informatics, and cybersecurity, contributing to the development of a highly skilled alumni network across various sectors.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

The nearby military base brings jobs, military families, and some economic stability in the area. The military is a key economic driver for Pensacola.

Pensacola Naval Air Station and Surrounding Area



Image Source: Greg Disch Photography

Income and Poverty

Poverty rates are elevated in the CRA districts, a consistent trend since 2000

Though income in Pensacola is slightly lower than the US average based on dollar amounts, incomes in Pensacola have risen over the last 20 years, following national trends. This is a good sign that Pensacola's economy is active, and wages slightly lower than the national average may simply indicate lower cost of living.

More notably, variations are visible in the lowest income households within the three CRA districts. Both the Westside and Eastside CRAs exhibit wealth indices of 30, while the Urban Core has a wealth index of 75, and the broader Citywide population has a wealth index of 67. This index serves as a gauge of an area's economic prosperity relative to the national standard. Any index above 100 is above the national average.


These indices are reinforced by median household incomes, which depict a significant contrast:

- **Citywide:** \$52,884
- **Urban Core CRA:** \$59,901
- **Eastside CRA:** \$35,124
- **Westside CRA:** \$23,551

US Median Household Income: \$74,580 (US Census)

Disparities are further highlighted by poverty rates; both the Westside and Eastside CRAs have higher proportions of households living below the poverty line at 39.5% and 27.5%, respectively. In contrast, the Urban Core CRA and the Citywide population have lower percentages of impoverished households, standing at 17% and 15%, respectively. Over the last 20 years,

Share of Households in Poverty in 2021, by Geography



Data Source: US Census, Esri Business Analyst, 2021 - Visualized by JLP+D

KEY TAKEAWAYS

There is a disproportionately high share of households below the poverty line within the Eastside CRA, and even more so in the Westside CRA. The districts may benefit from wider economic development efforts beyond CRA investments focused on workforce development, housing affordability, or social services.

Race and Ethnicity


Diversity has shifted in all districts

The Eastside CRA stands out as the most diverse among all three CRAs, boasting a diversity index of 60.5 in 2023, predicted to rise to 61.2 by 2028. Following closely is the Westside CRA, with a 2023 diversity index of 59.4 and an anticipated increase to 60.2 by 2028. The Urban Core exhibits the lowest diversity performance, registering a diversity index of 51.8 in 2023, projected to reach 53.6 by 2028.


However, despite these distinctions, **all three CRAs fall short of the citywide diversity performance index**; Pensacola has a diversity index of 62.9 in 2023 and is projected to reach 64.4 by 2028. Pensacola's citywide diversity has seen a notable uptick, rising from 55.3 in 2010 to 62.9 in 2023.

Similarly, **the Westside and Eastside CRAs have experienced significant improvements in diversity performance from 2010 to 2023**, with the Eastside CRA's diversity index soaring from **34.4 to 60.5**, and the Westside CRA's increasing from **51.9 to 59.4**.

The **Urban Core has witnessed a decline in diversity**, with its index dropping from **53.9 in 2010 to 51.8 in 2023**.



PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D



ESRI's Diversity Index: captures the racial and ethnic diversity of a geographic area in a single number, from 0 to 100. The Diversity Index allows for efficient analysis and mapping of seven race groups that can be either of Hispanic or non-Hispanic origin—a total of 14 separate race/ethnic groupings.

Data Source: Esri Business Analyst, 2023 - Visualized by JLP+D

9

1.2
WORKFORCE TRENDS

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

Employment Concentration

The Urban Core is a large job center, while the Westside is a dispersed employment area

As a whole, the urban core of Pensacola looks similar to other US cities; jobs are concentrated there, and jobs drop significantly in the Eastside and Westside districts. The following section will discuss commute patterns and key industry trends in Pensacola, excluding military employment. The map at right shows the density of jobs among the three CRA districts, with dark blue indicating the highest density.

Density and Size of Employers in Pensacola CRA Districts




Image Source: US Census OnTheMap

2021	Worker Age			Earnings			Worker Educational Attainment				
	Age 29 or Younger	30 - 54	Age 55 or Older	\$1,250 per month or Less	\$1,251 - \$3,333	More than \$3,333 per Month	Less than High School	High School or Equivalent, No College	Some College or Associate Degree	Bachelor's Degree or Advanced Degree	Educational attainment not available (workers aged 29 or younger)
Westside CRA	1,180	3,631	1,726	731	2,684	3,122	743	1,538	1,782	1,294	1,180
Eastside CRA	104	193	80	56	154	167	42	93	80	58	104
Urban Core CRA	4,209	11,224	5,693	2,747	7,122	11,257	2,042	4,450	5,471	4,954	4,209
City of Pensacola	1,180	3,631	1,726	731	2,684	3,122	743	1,538	1,782	1,294	NA

Data source: US Census Bureau, 2022 Data - Visualized by JLP+D

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

11

Industry Drivers

Very few people work and live in the same district in Pensacola.

By reviewing data from the U.S. Census, we can analyze the flow of commuters and workers in and out of the CRAs on a daily basis. Commuter data show distinctions between the three districts. The Urban Core increases its daily population with over 20,000 employees commuting into the district. Only 400 residents work in the Urban Core.

Though it has a higher population, the Westside population similarly has nearly 7,000 employees commuting into the area, while less than 100 live and work there.

In the Eastside, the reverse is true. While the Eastside has the fewest residents of all three districts, there are fewer employees commuting into the Eastside than workers who reside in the Eastside and commute to work outside of the area.

2021	Employment Commute		
	Employed in & commuting to Area	Residing in Area, commuting elsewhere	Employed and Live in Area
Westside CRA	6,757	2,059	98
Eastside CRA	394	484	1
Urban Core CRA	21,994	1,238	425

Data source: US Census Bureau, 2021 Data

Data derived from both ESRI Business Data analysis, census data, and open data sources to enhance the accuracy of the findings

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The Urban Core CRA is a clear job center for commuters, but has relatively few residents who work within the area.
- In general, Pensacolians commute out of their neighborhood for work.

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

12


Industry Drivers

For those that work in the Urban Core, key industry drivers are mostly in-person jobs

Key industry drivers in 2021 were: Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services, Educational Services, Health Care and Social Assistance, Accommodation and Food Services, and Public Administration

There have been overall declines to jobs in the Information and Educational Services sectors, suggesting a decline in retention for knowledge industry jobs.

Jobs in Finance and Insurance experienced growth until 2009, went through a sharp drop in 2012, and have been stagnant in the years since. Administrative & Support jobs doubled between 2015 and 2021. The CRAs experienced steady growth in Accommodation and Food Services before a sharp drop off following COVID-19.



KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Longstanding in-person jobs downtown include Public Administration and Healthcare services.
- Professional, Scientific and Technical Services jobs are growing.

Data source: US Census Bureau, 2022 Data - Visualized by JLP+D


Industry Drivers

Some emerging Industry drivers are more likely to accommodate remote work

The Professional, Scientific, and Technical Service sector experienced sharp growth between 2015-2021, with jobs growing by 47.5% during that time period (from 223 to 330 jobs). Administration & Support, Waste Management and Remediation jobs grew more modestly at 20% (from 321 to 399 jobs).

Some in person industries also experienced significant declines between 2018 and 2021. Accommodation and Food Services experienced a 31% decrease in workers during that period. Retail experienced a 16% decrease.

Some industries better accommodate remote work because of their outsized use of digital tools, which allow tasks to be performed from anywhere.



KEY TAKEAWAYS

A higher proportion of remote workers indicates a need for diverse amenities close to home, especially for the urban core, which has a concentration of office space.


Data source: US Census Bureau, 2022 Data - Visualized by JLP+D

Declining Industries

Educational services have been in decline since 2003


Education workers have been leaving the CRA districts steadily over the past two decades. After 2003, workforce data shows a 40% decline in Education workers that live in the district. Since that same year, Education jobs in the district have also experienced a steady decline, with a 20% decline in the number of Educational Service workers and the vast majority of those losses occurring in the Urban Core.

These findings suggest that Educational services are no longer located in these three districts. These declines mirror a string of public school closures within the study area since 2003.



PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

Schools in Pensacola by Employee Size



Very few large schools remain in the three CRA districts, notably in the urban core.

Data source: US Census Bureau, 2022 Data - Visualized by JLP+D

15

New Business Growth

Large employers remain steady while small businesses are growing in Escambia County

The number of new establishments in Escambia County with less than 5 employees has grown steadily between 2018 (3,658) and 2021 (4,104).

The number of establishments with 1,000 employees or more dropped from 7 in 2019 to 6 in 2020. Steady at 6 in 2021. Non-Employer firms (sole proprietorship) has grown from 21,040 (2016) to 24,608 (2020). Local stakeholders focused on workforce development have differing views on economic development: some want a more equity focused approach while others want to attract large employers. These two strategies can both be successful but require different focus and partnerships.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Escambia County has relatively few large employers, but small businesses of 5 or more employees show promise; anecdotally, many have been focused within the tech industry.



Image Source: SLive

Data Source: Census Business Builder Escambia County Business Profile

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

16

2.0 REAL ESTATE MARKET PERFORMANCE

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / A/P+D

APPENDIX



Housing Statistics

BENCHMARKING	TOTAL POPULATION	POPULATION DENSITY/ SQMI	MEDIAN AGE	TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS	TOTAL HOUSING UNIT VACANCY %	OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING %	% OF HOUSING WITH 1 UNIT IN STRUCTURE	HOUSING AFFORDABILITY INDEX
Urban Core CRA	3,052	1,935	47.5	1,895	15.8%	45.0%	64.29%	63
East Side CRA	1,212	3,127	38.9	535	20.9%	53.3%	82.84%	58
West Side CRA	5,894	3,474	42.7	2,935	17.9%	59.6%	59.11%	68
Citywide	232,785	1,598	38.8	102,517	13.0%	42.7%	68.05%	94

Data source: US Census Bureau, 2023 Data - Visualized by JLP+D

The Housing affordability index measures the likelihood of obtaining a mortgage at the average home price given the average income.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Housing is relatively affordable in Pensacola, with a housing affordability index at 94, but the CRA districts are all significantly less affordable than citywide.
- The Eastside and Westside CRA districts have much denser population than the Urban Core or the City as a whole - nearly double. Both Eastside and Westside districts exceed 3,000 residents per square mile while the Urban Core CRA and Citywide population densities are both below 2,000 residents per square mile.
- Single-family homes dominate all of these areas, especially the Eastside district, which has over 80% single-unit structures.
- The Eastside and Westside districts have higher rates of home ownership with both exceeding 50% of homes. Nationally, 65.2% of homes are owner-occupied.
- The Eastside CRA appears to have the least affordable housing whilst also consisting of the highest housing unit vacancy percentage in 2023. This may be due to a misalignment in housing cost and resident socioeconomic status.

Q2 2024 Multi-Family Residential Market

BENCHMARKING	INVENTORY UNITS	INVENTORY UNITS/ ACRE	UNDER CONSTRUCTION UNITS	12 MONTH NET ABSORPTION UNITS	VACANCY RATE	MARKET ASKING RENT/ UNIT	MARKET SALE PRICE/ UNIT	MARKET CAP RATE
Urban Core CRA	658	0.5	0	143	7.70%	\$1,901	\$254,000	6.50%
East Side CRA	12	0.05	0	0	7.60%		\$134,000	7.90%
West Side CRA	724	0.6	0	-12	5.60%	\$869	\$85,600	7.40%
Citywide	23,585	0.9	1,122	673	13.10%	\$1,384	\$142,000	6.50%

Data source: US Census, Quarterly Residential Vacancies and Homeownership, First Quarter 2024 CoStar, Sourced in Q2 2024

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- There is limited multi-family housing in Pensacola, which is defined as structures with more than 4 housing units. The metrics in the table above represent less than 15% of all housing units. Citywide, 1-unit structures (single-family homes) represents 68% of all housing units.
- Some development stagnation is visible within the Eastside CRA, characterized by a lack of new construction or absorption and a vacancy rate of 7.60%, higher than the Q1 2024 national rental residential vacancy of 6.6%. Additionally, the Eastside district has the lowest property values among CRAs, with units sales averaging \$134,000 each, indicating a less dynamic market.
- The Westside CRA's multi-family housing sector shows a lowest vacancy rate of 5.6%, yet its real estate performs below par compared to other market areas in terms of sale price and rent. Moreover, it stands as the sole geography with a negative 12-month absorption rate.
- The three CRAs rent out more places than the rest of Pensacola combined. Although they only make up 6% of all the rental properties in the city, they're responsible for 19% of the places where people have moved in the last year.
- The Urban Core surpasses Pensacola in market rent and sale price per unit, boasting almost half the vacancy rate of the citywide average at 7.7%.

COMPARING CAP RATES: Many analysts consider a "good" cap rate to be around 5% to 10%, while a 4% cap rate indicates lower risk but a longer timeline to recoup an investment.



APPENDIX

STEP 1 | September 2024


Q2 2024 Office Market

BENCHMARKING	INVENTORY SF	INVENTORY SF/ ACRE	UNDER CONSTRUCTION SF	12 MONTH NET ABSORPTION SF	VACANCY RATE	MARKET ASKING RENT/ SF	MARKET SALE PRICE/ SF	MARKET CAP RATE
Urban Core CRA	3,900,000	3078	0	26,800	2.30%	\$27.35	\$139	9.90%
East Side CRA	21,500	84	0	0	0.00%	\$24.33	\$135	9.60%
West Side CRA	516,000	445	0	2,200	0.00%	\$23.64	\$100	10.40%
Citywide	15,200,000	584	0	193,000	2.30%	\$25.37	\$134	9.90%

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Data source: CoStar, Sourced in Q2 2024

- Despite national trends of low demand for office space, Pensacola’s Urban Core district has relatively low vacancy but high concentration: the **Urban Core district holds 26% of all office space** and this concentration of office inventory is five times the citywide rate of square feet of office space per acre.
- Pensacola has limited office availability citywide with no office space under construction and and limited or no vacancy. If office jobs increase in the urban core, supply for office space would likely need to increase in turn.
- Though both have limited office space, the Eastside and Westside CRA each have 0% reported vacancy, suggesting demand meets or exceeds supply.
- Office space has the highest market asking rent and sale price per square foot when measured against the industrial and retail market comparables, likely contributing to the property value of the Urban Core area.
- The three CRAs together account for 15% of the citywide absorption totals. Specifically, the Eastside CRA shows no absorption , while the Westside CRA has absorbed 2,200 square feet, and the Urban Core CRA has absorbed 26,800 square feet.
- Market value and asking prices are lower for Westside CRA office space, with sale prices roughly 30% below the other districts.



STEP 1 | September 2024


Q2 2024 Industrial Market

BENCHMARKING	INVENTORY SF	INVENTORY SF/ ACRE	UNDER CONSTRUCTION SF	12 MONTH NET ABSORPTION SF	VACANCY RATE	MARKET ASKING RENT/ SF	MARKET SALE PRICE/ SF	MARKET CAP RATE
Urban Core CRA	904,000	713	0	251,000	0.00%	\$7.19	\$73	8.70%
East Side CRA	48,900	191	0	0	0.00%	\$8.55	\$48	9.60%
West Side CRA	556,000	480	0	-3,500	0.60%	\$8.58	\$55	9.20%
Citywide	14,900,000	572	0	160,000	2.50%	\$8.95	\$69	8.80%

Data source: CoStar, Sourced in Q2 2024

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The industrial properties in Pensacola range from 3,486 to 26,886 square feet, encompassing uses such as offices, warehouses, and flex spaces, with lot sizes varying from 0.28 to 1.64 acres (LoopNet Property Search, May 2024).
- All three CRA districts outperform the City of Pensacola in industrial real estate vacancy; all three have less than a 1% vacancy rate.
- There is no current industrial real estate under construction in any of the studied geographies.
- The Westside CRA industrial real estate market appears to be stagnant with negative 3,500 net absorption square footage and a vacancy rate under 1%.
- The Eastside and Westside CRAs have higher market asking rents per square foot than the Urban Core CRA, although their market sale prices are lower compared to the Urban Core.



APPENDIX

STEP 1 | September 2024


Q2 2024 Retail Market

BENCHMARKING	INVENTORY SF	INVENTORY SF/ ACRE	UNDER CONSTRUCTION SF	12 MONTH NET ABSORPTION SF	VACANCY RATE	MARKET ASKING RENT/ SF	MARKET SALE PRICE/ SF	MARKET CAP RATE
Urban Core CRA	1,400,000	1105	0	3,300	3.70%	\$19.24	\$199	6.90%
East Side CRA	129,000	504	0	0	0.00%	\$15.50	\$162	7.40%
West Side CRA	566,000	489	0	-2,900	2.30%	\$12.49	\$132	7.20%
Citywide	22,400,000	860	66,300	166,000	2.60%	\$16.90	\$154	7.30%

Data source: CoStar, Sourced in Q2 2024

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The retail market has the highest volume of commercial real estate inventory square footage, when compared to the industrial and office real estate markets in Pensacola
- However, disposable income is relatively limited for residents of the CRA districts, reducing the viability of significant new retail.
- Despite comprising 9.4% of citywide retail inventory, CRAs only absorbed 2% of square footage in the past year, with no ongoing construction.
- Economic development professionals in the city highlight a shortage of retail options at higher price points, such as luxury brands, yet the urban Core district has higher vacancy than Citywide.
- While the East and Westside both outperform the Citywide vacancy rate at 0% and 2.3%, their lower inventory suggests that demand for more retail may be weak.
- The Urban Core has the highest market rent and sale prices per square foot among all CRA districts, exceeding citywide averages, indicating that lowering prices could reduce current vacancy rates.



STEP 1 | September 2024

Q2 2024 Hotel Market Analysis

COUNTY BENCHMARKING	INVENTORY ROOMS	UNDER CONSTRUCTION ROOMS	12 MO OCC RATE	12 MO ADR
Urban Core CRA	790	0	66.10%	\$146
East Side CRA	-	-	-	-
West Side CRA	26	0	50.70%	\$80
Citywide	6,565	649	60.50%	\$126

PLANNED + PERMITTED HOSPITALITY PROJECTS

- Tristan Hotel - Hilton Tapestry Boutique Hotel (East Garden District, LLC): Construction start - Oct 15, 2024 (No permit app yet though)
- Hotel Pensacola (SMP Architecture): Planning (May be discontinued)
- Tempo by Hilton: Permitting - Demo, Site & Building
- Homewood Suites by Hilton (Kerith): Permitting
- Hard Rock Reverb Hotel @ CMP: Planning
- Valencia Hotel Group @ CMP: Pre-Planning

Data source: CoStar, Sourced in Q2 2024

Data source: City of Pensacola

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Most hospitality establishments are concentrated in the Urban Core CRA, likely due to its attractions, amenities, and waterfront access. Currently, no hotel rooms are under construction in any of the three CRAs, possibly due to rising interest rates.
- The hospitality sector shows limited growth, with Citywide occupancy rates declining since Q2 2023. In 2023, Citywide hotel occupancy reached 73%, exceeding the AHLA's Florida projection of 70.5%. However, in 2024, Citywide occupancy dropped to 60.5%, with CRA occupancy below 70%. Industry experts, including Julian Macqueen of Innisfree Hotels, attribute this to rising interest rates, which have led to the cancellation of \$250 million in projects on Pensacola Beach.
- The average daily rate (ADR) has remained steady, with 2023 room rates at \$140, down from \$141 in 2022, and current Citywide ADR at \$126. Hoteliers in the area cite consistent ADRs as a sign of strength in the industry.
- Despite middling occupancy rates and no recent construction, planned and proposed projects in the Urban Core suggest growth opportunities for the hospitality industry, though they are not yet reflected in CoStar's real estate assessment.
- Notably, the Urban Core also outperforms the Eastside and Westside CRAs in room inventory, occupancy rates, and revenue per available room, slightly exceeding Citywide averages.


3.0 CRA PERFORMANCE REVIEW

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

APPENDIX



CRA Analysis

Introduction




PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

30



Land Use Diversity

A broad mix of land uses means more daily needs like work, housing, and retail can be in close proximity to each other



Entropy: A measurement of land use mix. The higher the Entropy, the higher the mix. The Entropy Index varies from 0 to 1.

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

33

The Urban Core and Westside CRA benefit from a **broad diversity of land uses**. Both the Westside and Urban Core CRAs outperform the Eastside CRA in their entropy scoring, a metric where a higher score indicates a greater mix of uses. Communities with that mixture are generally more walkable, and because daily needs are more accessible, these communities become more economically diverse and resilient. Additionally, the diversity of uses allows the Urban Core and Westside CRA to apply a wider range of incentives and catalytic policies.

In contrast, medium-density residential land uses dominate **75%** of the Eastside CRA. This heavy reliance on residential real estate means the CRA depends significantly on its residential population and property values to fund the CRA TIF. Such dependence may affect the CRA's resilience to economic or demographic changes, like population relocation, residential blight, or mortgage foreclosure.

Land Use Diversity

Several land uses contribute to the overall property value of CRA districts. The combination of total land area in each district, and the total value of the land area, shows wide variations. Some land uses thus have greater potential to impact the overall revenue received by the Community Redevelopment Agency.

		EASTSIDE CRA TOTAL VALUE	URBAN CORE CRA TOTAL VALUE	WESTSIDE CRA TOTAL VALUE
A	Airport Land Use		\$1,005,755.88	
B	Business		\$107,871.78	
C	Commercial Land Use	\$39,742.58	\$302,170.07	\$653,521.25
C.O	Conservation Land Use			\$4,624.51
H.D.R	High Density Residential		\$6,999.99	\$287,362.38
H.P	Historic & Preservation Land Use		\$179,820.28	
I.C	Interstate Corridor	\$1,626.13	\$16,765.55	
I.D	Industrial Land Use		\$12,248.92	\$4,250.82
L.D.R	Low Density Residential			\$54,855.86
M.D.R	Medium Density Residential	\$597,186.15	\$291,758.12	\$1,493,877.36
O	Office Land Use	\$1,889.35	\$9,627.17	\$116,757.35
R	Redevelopment Land Use		\$353,707.77	
R.N.C	Residential/ Neighbourhood Commercial Land Use	\$70,568.23	\$182,498.66	\$48,122.45
TOTAL CRA LAND VALUE		\$711,012.44	\$2,469,224.19	\$2,663,371.98

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

Land Value Compared to Land Use

When viewing land valuation per acre, residential uses stand out in all three CRA districts, while industrial land has very low value, particularly in the Westside, where it makes up 14% of land use. Strategies to activate industrial uses could bring values up quickly.

			EASTSIDE CRA VALUE SHARE	URBAN CORE CRA VALUE SHARE	WESTSIDE CRA VALUE SHARE
A	Airport Land Use			\$0.44	
B	Business			\$0.37	
C	Commercial Land Use		\$0.14		\$0.27
C.O	Conservation Land Use				\$0.06
H.D.R	High Density Residential			\$0.45	\$0.78
H.P	Historic & Preservation Land Use			\$0.11	
I.C	Interstate Corridor		\$0.01	\$0.21	
I.D	Industrial Land Use			\$0.04	\$0.004
L.D.R	Low Density Residential				\$0.08
M.D.R	Medium Density Residential		\$0.30	\$0.44	\$0.52
O	Office Land Use		\$0.45	\$0.25	\$0.19
R	Redevelopment Land Use			\$0.32	
R.N.C	Residential/ Neighbourhood Commercial Land Use		\$0.71	\$1.05	\$0.37

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

Projects

2022 CRA Projects

EASTSIDE CRA	URBAN CORE CRA	WESTSIDE CRA
COMMUNITY WELLBEING AND SERVICES - Hollice T. Williams Greenway & Skatepark Improvements - General Daniel "Chappie" James Jr. Museum and Flight Academy - Phase II	COMMUNITY WELLBEING AND SERVICES - Bruce Beach Park Improvements - Community Maritime Park Day Marina - Hollice T. Williams Greenway & Skatepark Improvements - Community Policing	HOUSING - Affordable Housing Initiatives - Residential Property Improvement Program - Residential Resiliency Program
HOUSING - Affordable Housing Initiatives - Residential Property Improvement Program - Residential Resiliency Program	HOUSING - Affordable Housing Initiatives - Residential Property Improvement Program - Residential Resiliency Program	COMMERCIAL Commercial Facade Improvement Program
REVITALIZATION - CRA Urban Design Standards - Redevelopment Plan Update	COMMERCIAL - Commercial Facade Improvement Program REVITALIZATION - CRA Urban Design Standards - Downtown Improvement District Initiatives - Redevelopment Plan Update	REVITALIZATION - CRA Urban Design Standards - Redevelopment Plan Update

Source: [City of Pensacola Community Redevelopment Agency Annual Work Plan, 2022](#)

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D


39

Millage Rates

Annual Rate

A County and City millage rate is applied to properties located within each CRA. The millage rate, also known as the mill rate, is a tax rate used to calculate local property taxes. It represents the amount of tax payable per \$1,000 of a property's assessed value. For example, a millage rate of 10 means \$10 in tax for every \$1,000 of property value.


	County	City	Urban Core Downtown Improvement Board
2010	6.9755	4.5395	2.0
2011	6.9755	4.2895	2.0
2012	6.9755	4.2895	2.0
2013	6.6165	4.2895	2.0
2014	6.6165	4.2895	2.0
2015	6.6165	4.2895	2.0
2016	6.6165	4.2895	2.0
2017	6.6165	4.2895	2.0
2018	6.6165	4.2895	2.0
2019	6.6165	4.2895	2.0
2020	6.6165	4.2895	2.0



Property Value over Time

by CRA District


The Urban Core and Westside CRA are both higher than the Eastside CRA in total property value. All three CRA districts are demonstrating year-over-year growth with the Urban Core showing the greatest growth, followed by the Westside CRA, then the Eastside CRA.



Property Value over Time

Property value per acre by CRA District

The Urban Core has greater value per acre property than both the Westside and Eastside CRA districts. The magnitude is significant; the Urban Core shows nearly exponential growth compared to the two other CRA districts.



Property Value over Time

Property value per parcel count by CRA District



TIF Revenue Annually

Total TIF Payments

The TIF revenue reveals historical is derived from the Urban Core CRA while the Westside CRA collected no revenue from 2010 - 2013. All three CRAs have seen an overall increase in revenue between 2010 and 2020.



	Eastside CRA	Urban Core	Westside CRA
2010	\$40,356	\$1,535,329	\$0
2011	\$35,380 ↓	\$1,441,891 ↓	\$0
2012	\$25,872 ↓	\$1,381,489 ↓	\$0
2013	\$26,356 ↑	\$1,424,671 ↑	\$0
2014	\$31,356 ↑	\$1,529,281 ↑	\$26,537 ↑
2015	\$35,474 ↑	\$1,662,929 ↑	\$36,293 ↑
2016	\$41,066 ↑	\$1,807,608 ↑	\$76,658 ↑
2017	\$47,393 ↑	\$1,983,688 ↑	\$120,764 ↑
2018	\$62,630 ↑	\$2,221,033 ↑	\$169,983 ↑
2019	\$81,808 ↑	\$2,539,504 ↑	\$250,353 ↑
2020	\$92,207 ↑	\$2,785,600 ↑	\$319,997 ↑

Continual decrease in total TIF payments across all three CRAs, due to nationwide economic decline

TIF Revenue

Eastside CRA

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
EASTSIDE CRA Total Acres: 218											
Total Payment	\$40,356	\$35,380	\$25,872	\$26,356	\$31,356	\$35,474	\$41,066	\$47,393	\$62,630	\$81,808	\$92,207
Payment Per Acre	\$185	\$162	\$119	\$144	\$121	\$144	\$163	\$188	\$287	\$375	\$423
Total Property Value	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	\$56,994,857	\$61,941,871	\$63,235,471	\$72,104,387	\$80,277,360	\$87,111,463
Property Value Per Acre	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	\$261,444	\$284,137	\$290,071	\$330,754	\$368,245	\$399,594




TIF Revenue

Urban Core CRA

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
URBAN CORE CRA Total Acres: 1,283											
Total Payment	\$4,063,003	\$3,953,997	\$3,788,221	\$3,787,966	\$4,075,806	\$4,427,771	\$4,794,187	\$5,260,081	\$5,898,476	\$6,837,207	\$7,508,831
Payment Per Acre	\$3,167	\$3,082	\$2,953	\$2,952	\$3,177	\$3,451	\$3,737	\$4,100	\$4,597	\$5,329	\$5,853
Total Property Value	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	\$575,840,293	\$628,528,322	\$682,092,327	\$750,758,186	\$811,833,232	\$842,213,147
Property Value Per Acre	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	\$448,823	\$489,890	\$531,639	\$585,158	\$632,762	\$656,440

City CRA TIF Revenue




43

TIF Revenue

Westside CRA

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
WESTSIDE CRA Total Acres: 1,125											
Total Payment	-\$43,892.21	-\$48,505.55	-\$72,262.97	-\$80,972.30	\$26,536.55	\$36,292.99	\$76,657.94	\$120,764.46	\$169,983.01	\$250,352.67	\$319,997.41
Payment Per Acre	-\$39.02	-\$43.12	-\$64.23	-\$71.98	\$23.59	\$32.26	\$68.14	\$107.35	\$151.10	\$222.54	\$284.44
Total Property Value	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	\$274,452,545	\$287,469,547	\$305,115,998	\$335,014,607	\$363,456,488	\$399,017,359
Property Value Per Acre	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	\$243,958	\$255,528	\$271,214	\$297,791	\$323,072	\$354,682



44

Outstanding Questions

Identifying Potential Causality



APPRAISAL
PROCESS

Could potential changes in appraisal policy play a role in increasing TIF revenue?



MARKET
CONDITIONS

Could a change in real estate typology or volume be impacting the annual CRA revenue?



MAJOR ECONOMIC
SHIFTS

Could demographic, industry, or workforce changes be impacting the TIF revenue?

4.0
GENTRIFICATION +
DISPLACEMENT

Definitions

Gentrification

The process of change in an neighborhood or community that is seen by increased prices for basic needs, increased economic investments, and demographic or cultural shifts, typically resulting in displacement of residents.

- Methodology: Definitions were compared against each other through the lenses of:

Social Change

Economic Change

Cultural Change

Physical/Built Change

Displacement

A force that relocates people from where they are living or running a business. This can be either physical or economic, and it is often experienced as a slow accumulation of pressure or acute actions that change stay-or-go tradeoffs for individuals.

- Methodology: Definitions were compared against each other through the lenses of:

Degraded Housing

Rising Costs

Resident Income


Small Business Changes

Migration

Discussion

Displacement vs. Gentrification

- Displacement and Gentrification are related but not the same.
- Displacement is defined by the *movement*** of residents and businesses. It is measurable and consistent. It is often witnessed in gentrifying areas.
- Gentrification is a process** that often *creates the set of factors* that lead residents and businesses to move, and can also cause other changes. It is multi-faceted, and more complex to measure.



When is it gentrification vs. economic growth?

- Economic growth is positive for any community, and especially for low-income communities. However, when that growth is not inclusive or accessible to certain groups, it is not equitable.
- The **negative impacts** of economic growth **are often associated with gentrification**, such as increasing rents reducing affordability for residents
- **Equitable economic development** aims to **minimize the negative impacts** of economic growth

Gentrification & Displacement: Overall Trends

Pensacola shows a mix of factors that indicate vulnerability to future gentrification and displacement, as well as some factors that limit gentrification and displacement

Risk Factors	Possible strengths	Signals to watch for
Incomes - Median incomes, when lower than the median income of surrounding areas, can be a predictor of gentrification and displacement. - High poverty rates when compared to the national average also signify potential risk. Housing - The affordability index is low, meaning that incomes are too low to qualify for mortgages - The rental percentage is higher than County and national averages, suggesting homeownership is consistently out of reach - Change in rental vacancy rates indicates changes in affordability for renters	Population Flows - Fast growth can incite displacement of existing communities. Within Escambia county, migration has been net neutral over the last 10 years. Diversity - Diverse communities are less likely to experience displacement than areas with high concentrations of communities of color. Incomes - Extremely fast income growth is often a sign of gentrification for communities	Incomes & Earning Power - Stakeholders should be sensitive to an increase in proportion of high incomes indicating wealthier residents displacing lower income residents - Stakeholders should also look for an increase in the percentage of residents with a bachelor's degree or higher, who generally have higher earning potential Rents & Evictions - Greater increase in median rent and subsequent increases in eviction rates Housing Supply - Observing total housing units constructed to understand if it is lower than the population growth

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

49

APPENDIX

Gentrification & Displacement: Risk Factors

Housing and Incomes create challenges for residents

Today all three CRA districts present some risk factors of economic vulnerability and displacement. The median household income is lower in these districts than city and county averages. The affordability index, which measures the likelihood of obtaining a mortgage at current average home prices given the current average income, is also below 60 for all districts, despite variation in the average home price of each area.

The share of renters is higher in the CRA districts than the county and the national average. The rental rate for nationally is 35% while the Westside (59%), Eastside (53%), and the Urban Core (42%) are all significantly higher. Renters are likely to be more quickly displaced than homeowners due to fluctuating rent prices.

When looking at vacancy, total vacant housing units have increased citywide and in every CRA district, though vacancy is projected to decrease slightly. This vacancy combined with increase in housing units could be explained in part by housing quality; better housing has entered the market while less attractive housing still exists.

Additionally, density of housing units has increased in all CRA districts, supported by lot splitting and conversions or additions of accessory dwelling units in a more promising trend, total housing units are increasing in the Westside and Eastside CRA districts, and have been steadily since 2000. This growth outpaces the City of Pensacola overall.

Present Risk Factors				
	Median Income	% Households Below Poverty	# Households Below Poverty Level	Affordability Index
Westside CRA	\$23,551	39.5%	1,033	51
Eastside CRA	\$35,124	27.9%	150	58
Urban Core CRA	\$59,901	16.9%	248	57
Pensacola	\$67,722	14.1%	7,534	90
Escambia County	\$61,642	12.7%	18,030	87

Housing Unit Totals				
	2000	2010	2023	2028
Westside CRA	2,925	3,074	3,577	3,710
Eastside CRA	683	669	676	684
Urban Core CRA	1,793	1,832	2,251	2,430
Pensacola	26,948	27,892	28,111	28,111
Vacant Housing Unit Totals				
	2000	2010	2023	2028
Westside CRA	381	177	642	645
Eastside CRA	120	73	141	138
Urban Core CRA	304	105	356	343
Pensacola	3,115	3,256	3,129	3,114

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

50

Gentrification & Displacement: Possible Factors


A few factors indicate a complex set of trends for and against active displacement

There are several factors that may not demonstrate direct gentrification and displacement for Pensacolians. First, within Escambia county, migration has been net neutral - while some movement has occurred, the movers out have roughly equalled movers in. There is no visible evidence of rapid population growth contributing to displacement.

next, the CRA districts all have fairly high diversity, which can be a strength against swift displacement for communities of color. That said, the Eastside CRA district has a higher concentration of black households than the other areas, while the urban core CRA has a higher concentration of white residents.

Income growth, though low for many households, has increased at a steady rate, mirroring the national averages for that last 20 years. Sharp increases in income are often caused by higher earners moving to an area and lower income earners leaving.

Additionally, density of housing units has increased in all CRA districts, supported by some new construction and lot splitting and conversions or additions of accessory dwelling units.



	Population By Race 2021 %						
	White	Black	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Other	Two or More Races
Westside CRA	38.97%	49.16%	0.00%	0.52%	0.22%	1.13%	10.00%
Eastside CRA	25.59%	63.53%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.92%	6.96%
Urban Core CRA	66.55%	22.75%	0.00%	0.16%	1.89%	1.01%	7.65%

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

51

Gentrification & Displacement: Signals to Watch in the Future

Changes to the population and rental prices are indicators of potentially fast shifts

Both gentrification and displacement can shift from risks to reality quickly. Changes to the median rent act as a signal of shifting demand by higher income renters, and the current asking rent of \$1,800 for new units across the city should be watched to identify increases. The current range of rental prices clusters around \$1,500.


Similarly, changes to high income earners or populations with college degrees (and thus, potentially higher earning power) should be monitored as well. These indicators are already elevated in the Urban Core CRA, but could increase in the Eastside CRA quickly too, given its small population overall.

Lastly, the CRA is familiar with planned housing projects, and the total units of housing added to the Pensacola market will have the least impact if they are not outpaced by population growth. Thus, greater population growth, if it becomes a steady trend is also a sign to monitor changes to the number of housing units in the area.

Potential Indicators of Future Displacement			
	Median Rent	Households Earning > \$150k	Households with Bachelor's or Higher
Westside CRA	\$869	113 (4.0%)	16.3%
Eastside CRA	-	29 (5.3%)	27.4%
Urban Core CRA	\$1,901	338 (21%)	45.5%
Pensacola	\$1,150	3,812 (15.7%)	40.5%
Escambia County	\$1,394	15,757 (12.5%)	31.8%

Price Range

The price range for all bedrooms and all property types is \$600 to \$5,500.



PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

52

What Else Impacts Gentrification Trends?

Factors to consider in Pensacola



SAFETY & PERCEPTION OF SAFETY



OVERALL COST OF LIVING



ATTRACTIVENESS FOR DEVELOPMENT



ECONOMIC DRIVERS



MILITARY INVESTMENT

PENSACOLA CRA PLAN UPDATE / PENSACOLA, FLORIDA / JLP+D

JLP+D

CRA FUNDING RESOURCES

FEDERAL FUNDING

Grant Name	Awarding Entity	Website	Typical Projects Funded	Notes of interest
Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE)	USDOT	https://www.transportation.gov/RAISEgrants/raise-nofo	Surface transportation projects that have significant local or regional impact; could include projects with a safety component.	https://www.transportation.gov/sites/dot.gov/files/2022-09/RAISE%202022%20Award%20Fact%20Sheets_1.pdf
Infrastructure for Rebuilding America Discretionary Grant Program (INFRA)	USDOT	https://www.transportation.gov/grants/infra-grants-program	Primarily freight related.	For projects that improve safety, generate economic benefits, reduce congestion, enhance resiliency, and hold the greatest promise to eliminate freight bottlenecks and improve critical freight movements.
Reconnecting Communities Pilot Program (RCP)	USDOT	https://www.transportation.gov/grants/reconnecting-communities	Highway removal projects, through disadvantaged communities. Would fund replacement infrastructure and includes safety components.	
Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A)	USDOT	https://www.transportation.gov/grants/SS4A	Transportation safety projects.	Projects must be identified in a comprehensive safety action plan to receive implementation funding.
Federal Transit Administration Capital Funds (FTA)	Federal Transit	https://www.transit.dot.gov/funding/grants/urbanized-area-formula-grants-5307	Funds safe access to transit projects	See Bicycles and Transit, Flex Funding for Transit Access, the FTA Final Policy Statement on the Eligibility of Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements Under Federal Transit Law, and FTA Program & Bicycle Related Funding Opportunities
Areas of Persistent Poverty Program (AoPP)	Federal Transit	https://www.transit.dot.gov/grants/areas-persistent-poverty-program	Funds projects that provide access to transit in disadvantaged communities, including safety improvements.	
Carbon Reduction Program (CRP)	FHWA	https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/sustainability/energy/	Planning, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, bike share programs, road diets, etc.	Project must be part of the state TIP and consistent with LRSTP and Metropolitan Transportation Plan; does not fund recreational trails
Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ)	FHWA	https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/cmaq/	Projects, including bicycle and pedestrian facilities, that reduce emissions.	Project for planning, feasibility analyses, and revenue forecasting associated with the development of a project that would subsequently be eligible to apply for assistance under the BIP
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)	FHWA	https://highways.dot.gov/safety/hship/shsp	Safety projects on the highway system.	Projects must be consistent with a state's Strategic Highway Safety Plan, funding is only for Highway projects, public transportation, and port facilities. Small local agencies also eligible
Railway-Highway Crossings (Section 130) Program (RHCP)	FHWA	https://highways.dot.gov/safety/hship/xings/railway-highway-crossing-program-overview	Railroad crossing improvements.	Set aside from HSIP, Small local agencies also eligible
National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	FHWA	Implementation Guidance for the National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) as Revised by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (dot.gov)	Could include safety improvements as part of other improvements.	Only for Highway projects; Administered by the State
Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost Saving Transportation (PROTECT)	FHWA	https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/sustainability/resilience/	Protecting transportation facilities from flooding.	Funds can only be used for activities that are primarily for the purpose of resilience or inherently resilience related. With certain exceptions, the focus must be on supporting the incremental cost of making assets more resilient.
Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG)	FHWA	https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/specialfunding/stp/	Planning, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, bike share programs, road diets, etc.	If called a bicycle facility, it must be primarily for transportation instead of recreation, but recreational trails are also permitted. Small local agencies also eligible
Transportation Alternatives (TA) Set-Aside	FHWA	https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/transportation_alternatives/	Planning, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, bike share programs, road diets, etc.	Part of STBG; Administered by the State, Local agencies also eligible
Recreational Trails Program (RTP)	FHWA	https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/recreational_trails/	Recreational trails	Part of STBG; Administered by the State
Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS)	FHWA	https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/safe_routes_to_school/	Projects that improve safety for students going to school	Part of STBG; Administered by the State

FDOT FUNDING

Grant Name	Awarding Entity	Website	Typical Projects Funded	Notes of interest
Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)	FDOT	https://www.fdot.gov/planning/systems/tap/default.shtm	Bicycle/pedestrian facilities recreational trails, SRTS projects	Part of the Federal TA set aside of the STBG https://fdotwww.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity/docs/default-source/planning/systems/systems-management/document-repository/tap/ta-set-aside-program_fl_overview-highlights_2015-2021.pdf?sfvrsn=7c0d8522_2
Shared-Use Nonmotorized (Sun) Trail Program	FDOT	https://www.fdot.gov/planning/systems/suntrail/guidance.shtm	Shared use trails	Project must be within the SUN Trail network, a priority of the applicable jurisdiction, and consistent with applicable plans. Local agency must commit to operation and maintenance of trail. Separate Request for Funding, but must be included in FDOT Work Plan https://fdotwww.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity/docs/default-source/planning/systems/suntrail/guidance/suntrail_guidanceforsubmittal-offundingrequest.ppt.pdf?sfvrsn=3ac9b7ba_2
Highway Safety Improvement Program	FDOT	Reports and Plans (fdot.gov)	Transportation safety projects	Must show how project improves safety; part of FHWA HSIP funding
Safe Routes to School	FDOT	https://www.fdot.gov/Safety/programs/safe-routes.shtm	Transportation safety projects that improve safety for student going to/from school	Funded through HSIP

SIDEWALK PRIORITIZATION

RANKING CRITERIA

Step 1

Separate candidate projects into two tiers:

Tier 1: Projects with no existing sidewalks

Tier 2: Projects with existing sidewalks on one side of the street

Step 2


Rank Projects based upon the following criteria:

#	Quantifiable Criteria	Max Points
1	Safety (Roadway conditions, width, bike lanes, existing sidewalk)	6.5
2	School Proximity (Using EscCo Bus Eligible criteria) — Elem School @ 1 mile (15 points) — Middle School @ 1.5 miles (10 points) — High School @ 2 miles (5 points)	15
3	New Access on Arterials and Collectors	10
4	Latent Demand (using either census tract data or City data) — DUs within 1/4 mile to sidewalk project — Residents using transit or walking to work	15
5	Connectivity / This criterion will award points based on the following: — Connects to sidewalk on arterial roadway (15 points) — Connects to sidewalk on collector roadway (10 points) — Connects to sidewalk on local streets (5 points) — Does not connect to other sidewalks (0 points)	15
6	Existing Demand / This criterion will assess scores based on access to: — Transit Stops — Parks/Community Centers — Greenways & Trails — Transportation Disadvantaged Housing — Employment Centers — University/College Campuses (PCS) — Shopping Centers — Placemaking Areas (1/4 mile radius from: Palafox St from Wright Street to terminus and Belmont-DeVilliers intersection)	40
Total Max Points		101.5

If the totals for the subcategories exceed the maximum for the category, only the maximum value shall be assigned.

Data used will be from either City data (roadway classification, building footprints, pavement width, bike lanes, parks/community center locations, school locations), US Census data (worker transportation, DUs), and ECAT data (transit stops).


MICROMOBILITY AREA MAP



APPENDIX


COST AND IMPACT COUNTERMEASURES

COUNTERMEASURE IMPACT AND COST DEFINED



Unknown Impact = No quantitative data is available

COUNTERMEASURE SELECTION GUIDE



APPENDIX

Note: Countermeasures may not apply to all modes, roadways, or crash severities. Countermeasures may apply to more crash types.

* FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasure

COUNTERMEASURE SELECTION GUIDE (CONT.)



Notes:

Countermeasures may not apply to all modes, roadways, or crash severities.


Countermeasures may apply to more crash types.

* FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasure

COUNTERMEASURE SELECTION GUIDE (PEDESTRIAN AND BIKE FOCUSED)

Low Cost/ Unknown Impact • Audible push button upgrade extend time push-button • Prohibit right-turn-on-red • Prohibit turns during pedestrian phase • Shorten cycle length • Advance stop bar • Advance yield markings • Pavement speed legends • Time-based turn restriction • Upgrade intersection pavement markings • Wayfinding • Co-locate bus stops and pedestrian crossings • High-visibility crosswalk • Restripe crosswalk • Centerline hardening • Enhanced daylighting/slow turn wedge • Gateway treatments • Paint and plastic median • Partial closure/diverter • Straighten crosswalk • Lane narrowing • Far-side bus stop • Extend green time for bikes • Bicycles may use full lane sign • Mixing zone • Parking buffer • Two-stage turn queue bike box • Bike box	Medium Cost/ Unknown Impact • Pedestrian detection • Signal interconnectivity and coordination/green wave • Signal preemption • Flashing beacon as advance warning • Floating transit island • Curb extensions • Widen sidewalk • Crosswalk density • Chicane • Landscape buffer • Speed sensitive rest on red • Upgrade lighting to LED • Bike detection	High Cost/ Unknown Impact • Separate right-turn phasing • Shared use path • Close slip lane • Intersection reconstruction and tightening • Protected intersection • Raised intersection
---	---	---

APPENDIX



Note: Countermeasures may not apply to all modes, roadways, or crash severities. Countermeasures may apply to more crash types.

* FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasure

TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS

OVERVIEW

Existing transportation conditions were documented throughout Pensacola and provided a foundation for understanding the needs and possible improvements for the CRA planning process.

Documenting the existing transportation conditions included three main categories:

- Roadway Characteristics (e.g., street type, character, and dimensions);
- Mobility Characteristics (e.g., pedestrian/bicycle facilities, and safety);
- Equity and Transportation (e.g., communities overburdened by lack of transportation investment).



Existing Transportation – Related Conditions and Planned Transportation Improvements

Technical Memorandum

*Community Redevelopment Agency Plan Update for
Westside, Urban Core, and Eastside
October 8, 2024*

APPENDIX

(this page left intentionally blank)



Table of Contents

1 Introduction and Project Purpose..... 1

2 Roadway Characteristics 3

 2.1 Street Types and Networks 3

 2.2 Land Use.....20

 2.3 Street Widths and Medians.....21

 2.4 Intersections24

 2.5 Posted Speed Limits.....27

 2.6 Roadway Maintenance28

3 Mobility Characteristics.....29

 3.1 Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities29

 3.2 Level of Traffic Stress35

 3.3 Parking36

 3.4 Crashes39

4 Equity40

 4.1 Transportation Insecurity42

 4.2 Environmental Characteristics43

 4.3 Impervious Areas.....45

 4.4 Transit47

5 Community Input48

6 Planned Transportation Improvements49

 6.1 City of Pensacola Capital Improvement Program.....49

 6.2 Florida/Alabama Transportation Planning Organization Long Range Transportation Plan51

 6.3 Active Transportation Plan.....54

 6.4 Escambia County Area Transit -Transit Development Plan (TDP), 2022 - 203157

 6.5 MLK and Davis Conversion Feasibility Study.....58

 6.6 Pensacola Waterfront Framework Plan59

References61

List of Figures

Figure 1 Major Roadways and USDOT Disadvantaged Community Boundaries in CRA Districts	2
Figure 2 Roadway Functional Classification.....	3
Figure 3 FDOT Roadway Functional Classification Location	4
Figure 4 Westside – Arterial Road.....	5
Figure 5 Westside – Arterial Road.....	6
Figure 6 Westside – Arterial Road.....	6
Figure 7 Westside – Collector Road	7
Figure 8 Westside – Collector Road.....	8
Figure 9 Westside - Local Road	9
Figure 10 Westside - Local Road	10
Figure 11 Westside - Local Road	10
Figure 12 Urban Core – Arterial Road	11
Figure 13 Urban Core – Arterial Road	12
Figure 14 Urban Core – Arterial Road	12
Figure 15 Urban Core – Collector Road	13
Figure 16 Urban Core – Collector Road	14
Figure 17 Urban Core – Collector Road	14
Figure 18 Urban Core – Local Road.....	15
Figure 19 Eastside – Arterial Road.....	16
Figure 20 Eastside – Arterial Road.....	17
Figure 21 Eastside – Arterial Road.....	17
Figure 22 Eastside – Collector Road	18
Figure 23 Eastside – Collector Road	18
Figure 24 Eastside – Local Road.....	19
Figure 25 Commercial Corridors.....	20
Figure 26 Public Rights-of-Way	21
Figure 27 West Garden Street at A Street.....	22
Figure 28 Bayfront Parkway.....	22
Figure 29 N Palafox Street.....	23
Figure 30 W Main Street.....	23
Figure 31 High Crash Intersections	24
Figure 32 Barrancas Avenue and W Main Street.....	25
Figure 33 Barrancas Avenue and W Garden Street.....	25



Figure 34 Dr MLK, Jr. Drive and E Blount Street.....25

Figure 35 N Palafox Street and W Wright Street.....26

Figure 36 N 9th Avenue and E Gregory Street.....26

Figure 37 Posted Speed Limits.....27

Figure 38 Roadway Maintenance28

Figure 39 City of Pensacola EPA Walkability Index30

Figure 40 ATP New Sidewalk and Intersection Crossing Improvements.....31

Figure 41 Pedestrian and Bike Facilities32

Figure 42 ADA Sidewalk Assessment - Compliance.....33

Figure 43 N Palafox Bike Lane34

Figure 44 N Palafox Bike Sharrow.....34

Figure 45 Level of Stress (ATP)35

Figure 46 Bike Pensacola Bike Routes36

Figure 47 Major Paved Areas and Garages.....37

Figure 48 Major Paved Areas and Garages – Urban Core38

Figure 49 Crash Density and Pedestrian/Bicycle Severity Map.....39

Figure 50 Justice40 Disadvantaged Communities 202240

Figure 51 ETC Disadvantaged Communities41

Figure 52 City of Pensacola ETC Composite Scores State Percentile.....42

Figure 53 City of Pensacola ETC Transportation Insecurity Percentile Rank.....43

Figure 54 Flood-Prone Areas.....44

Figure 55 Flood-Prone Areas and Major Paved Areas46

Figure 56 USDOT Transportation Disadvantaged Communities and Transit.....47

Figure 57 Capital Improvement Program50

Figure 58 Needs Plan - FL-AL Transportation Planning Organization.....52

Figure 59 ATP Future Network55

Figure 60 ECAT Proposed Improved Routes in CRA Districts58

Figure 61 Waterfront Framework Plan - Hashtag Connector.....60



List of Tables

Table 1. CRA District Major Paved Areas45

Table 2. Capital Improvement Projects – CRA Projects.....50

Table 3. Cost Feasible Projects – Funded Projects CRA Districts Sample52

Table 4. ATP Future Network – CRA Districts.....56



1 Introduction and Project Purpose

The Pensacola Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) is updating all three CRA district plans after more than 15 years. The CRA districts are comprised of the Westside, the Eastside, and the Urban Core. Each of the districts have distinct characteristics pertaining to transportation. The existing conditions highlighted in this report are based on city data, previous studies, current programs, site and desktop observations, and community input. Understanding the existing conditions provides the basis to develop near-, medium-, and long-term recommendations to improve mobility and accessibility of all the residents within the area.

Documenting the existing transportation conditions includes three main categories:


- Roadway Characteristics (e.g., street type, character, and dimensions)
- Mobility Characteristics (e.g., pedestrian/bicycle facilities, and safety)
- Equity and Transportation (e.g., communities overburdened by lack of transportation investment)

The CRA Districts, along with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) Disadvantaged Community boundaries,¹ and major roadways² are shown in **Figure 1**.

¹ The USDOT Disadvantage Communities are defined as those communities that have a higher burden of underinvestment in transportation, in the following five components: Transportation Insecurity, Climate and Disaster Risk Burden, Environmental Burden, Health Vulnerability, and Social Vulnerability.

² Major roadways are defined as those that have interstate, arterial, or collector functional classification by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).

Figure 1 Major Roadways and USDOT Disadvantaged Community Boundaries in CRA Districts





2 Roadway Characteristics

Roadway Characteristics include all the ways in which one understands a street or road. These characteristics include looking at the type or function of the road, the physical attributes of the road (speed, width, how many lanes, type of connectivity or network) and neighborhood character surrounding the road.

2.1 Street Types and Networks

There are three major roadway types that make up the street network within the CRA districts. These major roadways are defined by FDOT and further qualified in the City of Pensacola 2023 Active Transportation Plan (ATP) as shown in **Figure 2**:

Figure 2 Roadway Functional Classification




Figure 3 FDOT Roadway Functional Classification Location





WESTSIDE

Arterials

The location of the arterial roadways split the Westside district into five pockets which lack connectivity and are spaces where vehicles are given priority over pedestrians. As regional connectors, these high traffic volume roadways are very wide and support development patterns that do not foster a sense of place; rather it is a place to simply move through as quickly as possible. Such conditions make it extremely difficult for the neighborhood residents of Sanders Beach, Tanyard, and Westside Garden District to have easy access to amenities within and outside of the district.

Westside district has five roadways identified as arterial:

- W Cervantes Street
- N Pace Boulevard
- W Garden Street
- W Main Street
- Barrancas Avenue

Figure 4 Westside – Arterial Road



Figure 5 Westside – Arterial Road

Barrancas Avenue – Looking North
Source: HDR



Figure 6 Westside – Arterial Road

W. Cervantes Street – Looking East
Source: HDR





Collectors

These roadways usually provide more connections via cross streets, may have on-street parking, typically have more continuous building frontage, and if not designed well can still present challenges for non-vehicular modes of transportation (pedestrian and cyclist). These challenges may be a relatively high traffic volume, excessive curb cuts, or lack of separated pedestrian and bike infrastructure.

Westside district has five roadways identified as collector:

- W Government Street
- W Jackson Street
- N T Street
- N E Street
- N A Street

Figure 7 Westside – Collector Road




Figure 8 Westside – Collector Road

N E Street – Looking South
Source: Google





Local Roads

These roadways usually provide the most connections via cross streets, will have on-street parking (marked or unmarked), and typically will have numerous driveways given the prevalence of residential uses. FDOT's functional classification specifically identifies seven local roadways in the Westside District:

- W Gregory Street
- W Wright Street
- N L Street
- W Government Street
- W Zarragossa Street³
- S E Street and N E Street
- S A Street

Figure 9 Westside - Local Road



N E Street – Looking South
Source: Google

³ This street has different spellings in different locations including Zarragossa, and Zaragoza

Figure 10 Westside - Local Road




Figure 11 Westside - Local Road





URBAN CORE

Arterials

The location of these roadways create a core that is fragmented and become major barriers to the connectivity of the district with the eastern neighborhoods of Old East Hill and East Hill, as well as the Eastside district.

Urban Core district has eight roadways identified as arterial (some are shared with other districts):

- E and W Cervantes Street
- N 9th Ave
- E Gregory Street
- E Chase Street
- Bayfront Parkway
- E and W Garden Street
- N Palafox Street
- E and W Main Street

Figure 12 Urban Core – Arterial Road

W Main Street – Looking East
Source: HDR




Figure 13 Urban Core – Arterial Road

E Chase Street – Looking East
Source: Google




Figure 14 Urban Core – Arterial Road

N Palafox Street – Looking South
Source: Google





Collectors

These roadways are primarily north/south in direction and are generally in very close proximity to arterials and to each other. This condition creates an environment that prioritizes vehicles and places a high level of discomfort for all other modes of transportation. However, there are other areas throughout the district where collectors have been well designed and are providing environments for pedestrians to enjoy and feel comfortable walking, such as N Palafox Street seen in **Figure 15**.

Urban Core district has twelve roadways identified as collector (the most of any district):

- W Chase Street
- W Gregory Street
- N 14th Avenue
- N A Street
- W and E Government Street
- S and N Spring Street
- S and N Baylen Street
- Palafox Place
- S Tarragona Street
- N Palafox Street
- S Barracks Street
- S and N 9th Avenue

Figure 15 Urban Core – Collector Road

N Palafox Street – Looking North
Source: Google





Figure 16 Urban Core – Collector Road



Figure 17 Urban Core – Collector Road






Local Roads

These roadways usually provide the most connections, will have on-street parking (marked or unmarked), and will typically have numerous driveways given the prevalence of residential uses. These roadways provide for neighborhood trips and become the prevalent roadway type that defines walkability by way of block spacing. FDOT's functional classification specifically identifies four local roadways in the Urban Core district:

- S Palafox Street
- W Gregory Street (west of N Spring Street)
- S and N Baylen Street
- Palafox Place

Figure 18 Urban Core – Local Road



EASTSIDE

Arterials

The location of these roadways along with the area and shape of the Eastside district creates an environment that is dominated by a high volume of traffic that is entering or leaving downtown, or connecting to the Interstate. Thus, the narrow sliver is divided into small blocks that are more like islands in a sea of traffic most likely going above the posted speed limit. Additionally, Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive and N Davis Highway are one-way couplets further amplifying a car-centered community and I-110 is an elevated highway that further separates and isolates the Eastside from the neighborhoods to the west and south. As an arterial along I-110, Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive is double the width of most other arterials to accommodate two single-direction southbound lanes and parking on both sides of the street. The lack of transition into areas that are primarily residential neighborhoods is very abrupt and reinforces the hierarchy of vehicles vs. pedestrians.

The Eastside district has five roadways identified as arterials:

- N. 9th Avenue
- N Davis Highway
- Dr. MLK, Jr. Drive
- I-110
- E Cervantes Street

Figure 19 Eastside – Arterial Road





Figure 20 Eastside – Arterial Road




Figure 21 Eastside – Arterial Road



APPENDIX

Collectors

These roadways are primarily east/west direction and are in very close proximity to arterials and to each other. These are also one-way couplets.

Eastside district has only two roadways identified as collector (the least of any district):

- E Jordan Street
- E Maxwell Street

Figure 22 Eastside – Collector Road

E Jordan Street – Looking East
Source: Google




Figure 23 Eastside – Collector Road

E Maxwell Street – Looking West
Source: Google





Local Roads

These roadways in the Eastside district run east/west and do not offer on-street parking due to the width of the road. Residential land uses dominate the frontage along the road. FDOT's functional classification specifically identifies five local roadways in the Eastside district:

- E Gonzalez Street
- E Lee Street
- E Blount Street
- N Hayne Street
- E Cross Street

Figure 24 Eastside – Local Road



Arterials, as regional connectors, are the primary frontage for commercial uses within the CRA districts. Arterials in the Eastside are, however, primarily fronted by residential and the interstate. These commercial corridors also have the highest level of traffic stress and highest number of crashes compared to other corridors. If commercial corridors are not pedestrian friendly, it is difficult for residents to have full access to these destinations without a car. During public workshops, many residents especially in the Westside voiced concerns over the inability to get to stores or other amenities as the development patterns are also car centered with drive-thrus and parking along street.


[illegible]



2.3 Street Widths and Medians

Roadway widths range between 50 - 180 feet throughout the districts, excluding the interstate, with most of the right-of-way dimension being between 50 and 60 feet. The roadways that are the least safe for pedestrians as well as cars typically have the widest dimensions. The common travel lane width throughout the study area is 12 feet.

Figure 26 Public Rights-of-Way



Additionally, a desktop review identified seven roadway segments with medians in the CRA districts. These street medians vary in width and as such have a varying impact on the character of the street:

- West Garden Street (US 98 from A Street to Alcaniz Street)
- West Main Street from S Clubbs Street to S Spring Street
- East Main Street from S Tarragona Street/Bayfront Parkway
- North Palafox Street from East Wright Street to West Garden Street
- North Palafox Street from W Gadsen Street to West Jackson Street
- East Wright Street from North Palafox Street to North 6th Avenue
- Bayfront Parkway

Figure 27 West Garden Street at A Street

Source: Google




Figure 28 Bayfront Parkway

Source: Google






Figure 29 N Palafox Street



Figure 30 W Main Street



Intersections serve as the space that brings together all the various modes of transportation. Intersections need to be designed effectively and safely for the system to function properly. The Active Transportation Plan describes various intersections that need critical attention. The ATP identifies 10 high crash intersections in need of improvements to address unsafe conditions. Five of these intersections fall within the CRA districts.

Eastside

- MLK Drive and E Blount Street

- N Palafox Street and W Wright Street
- N 9th Avenue and E Gregory Street

This map displays the Urban Core of San Francisco, highlighting areas designated for Justice40 funding in 2022 and locations with high crash intersections. The map includes a scale bar (0 to 0.5 miles) and a north arrow. The Urban Core is defined by the Inner Harbor Channel to the west and the San Francisco Bay to the east. The map shows the following streets and intersections:

- Streets:** W Gonzalez St, W Cervantes St, W Jackson St, W Garden St, W Main St, W Government St, W Chase St, N Spring St, S Spring St, N Main St, N Davis Hwy, N 1st Ave, E Cervantes St, E La Rúa St, E Gregory St, E Chase St, E Government St, Boylston Ave.
- High Crash Intersections (Red Crosses):**
 - W Main St & N Main St
 - W Garden St & N Main St
 - W Jackson St & N Main St
 - W Cervantes St & N Main St
 - W Gonzalez St & N Main St
 - W Government St & N Main St
 - W Chase St & N Main St
 - W Main St & N Spring St
 - W Main St & S Spring St
 - W Main St & N Davis Hwy
 - W Main St & N 1st Ave
 - W Main St & E Cervantes St
 - W Main St & E La Rúa St
 - W Main St & E Gregory St
 - W Main St & E Chase St
 - W Main St & E Government St
 - W Main St & Boylston Ave
- Justice40 (2022) Areas (Red Hatched):**
 - Area bounded by W Main St, W Garden St, W Jackson St, W Cervantes St, W Gonzalez St, N Main St, N Spring St, S Spring St, N Davis Hwy, N 1st Ave, E Cervantes St, E La Rúa St, E Gregory St, E Chase St, E Government St, Boylston Ave.
 - Area bounded by W Main St, W Garden St, W Jackson St, W Cervantes St, W Gonzalez St, N Main St, N Spring St, S Spring St, N Davis Hwy, N 1st Ave, E Cervantes St, E La Rúa St, E Gregory St, E Chase St, E Government St, Boylston Ave.
 - Area bounded by W Main St, W Garden St, W Jackson St, W Cervantes St, W Gonzalez St, N Main St, N Spring St, S Spring St, N Davis Hwy, N 1st Ave, E Cervantes St, E La Rúa St, E Gregory St, E Chase St, E Government St, Boylston Ave.

The map also shows the Inner Harbor Channel, the San Francisco Bay, and the surrounding areas of Westside and Eastside.



Figure 32 Barrancas Avenue and W Main Street



Figure 33 Barrancas Avenue and W Garden Street



Figure 34 Dr MLK, Jr. Drive and E Blount Street



Figure 35 N Palafox Street and W Wright Street

Source: Google




Figure 36 N 9th Avenue and E Gregory Street

Source: Google




Speed plays an instrumental role in crashes including cause and severity of injuries. Speed influences a driver's cone of vision, stopping distance, and crash risk. The higher the speed, the smaller the driver's cone of vision is, stopping distance is increased, and the risk of a crash is increased. The posted speed limits within the CRA districts vary from 25 miles per hour (mph) to 40 mph on urban streets and between 45 mph and 60 mph when I-110 is included along with its ramps.

2.6 Roadway Maintenance

The entity responsible for road maintenance is a key stakeholder when it comes to supporting and implementing improvements. **Figure 38** illustrates the maintenance of the roads within the CRA district.

Figure 38 Roadway Maintenance



The summary of miles of roadways and the agency responsible for maintenance is as follows:

- 1.1 miles of roadways are identified as maintained by county of which are all in the Westside district. This represents 33% of all county roads in the city.
- 21 miles of roadways are identified as maintained by the state. This represents 39% of all state roads in the city.
- 90 miles are considered local roads and are maintained by the City of Pensacola. This represents 27% of all city roads.



3 Mobility Characteristics

While walkability considers several factors such as intersection density, proximity to transit stops, employment mix, and employment and household mix,⁴ it has to include the infrastructure as well. A walkable city means providing a robust network of pedestrian and bicycle facilities that are designed to provide comfort and safety for all. There are many challenges with walkability across the three CRA districts. While the network of streets is predominately smaller to medium blocks, which makes walking easier, the lack of a sidewalk network, bike facility network, lack of crosswalks, and roadway dimensions makes mobility a top priority for residents.

3.1 Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities


The three CRA districts have a total of 111.9 miles of sidewalks.⁵ Based on the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Walkability Index,⁶ the CRA districts have a score between 15.26 – 20 resulting in being in the most walkable category as shown in **Figure 39**. While the EPA's index tells one part of the story that measures the areas of probability of people walking as a mode of transportation based on three main factors: street intersection density, proximity to transit stops, and diversity of land-uses; the other part of the story is whether or not there are pedestrian facilities (sidewalks etc.).

⁴ EPA National Walkability Index

⁵ City of Pensacola Public Sidewalks layer.

⁶ [National Walkability Index \(arcgis.com\)](https://arcgis.com)

Figure 39 City of Pensacola EPA Walkability Index




Source: EPA



SIDEWALKS

Based on the City of Pensacola’s data from 2018, the Urban Core has the most sidewalks at 64.1 miles; Eastside has 15.5 miles; Westside has the most miles at 32.3 but the least complete network of the three districts. The Active Transportation Plan (ATP) for the city identifies new sidewalk and intersection crossing needs as shown in **Figure 40**. ATP identified over 15 miles of sidewalk needs across the CRA districts representing 13.4% of the current network. This does not include sidewalks that are in need of repair. The Westside district has the most need for sidewalks, representing 83% of all sidewalk gaps.


Figure 40 ATP New Sidewalk and Intersection Crossing Improvements



The city has established a sidewalk prioritization model which scores new sidewalk needs based on six criteria: safety, school proximity, new access, latent demand, connectivity, and existing demand. This is an important tool for making sure residents have mobility and access. High

priority improvement locations total approximately 16 miles. The Westside district accounts for 11.5 of those miles.⁷

Figure 41 Pedestrian and Bike Facilities




The city has also specifically assessed sidewalks under the requirements of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) which include curb ramps, obstacles, and hazards. The ADA of 1990 is a civil rights statute to provide persons with disabilities protections against discrimination in all areas of public life, including jobs, schools, transportation, and all public and private places as well as accessibility to those spaces that are open to the general public including the public rights-of-way.

The ADA sidewalk assessment data from 2020 shows that only a fraction of the existing sidewalks are fully ADA compliant with most being moderately non-compliant. The assessment includes sidewalk repairs, ADA ramps, and “fill in the gaps” or small new segments of sidewalk that are missing causing an ADA concern. The city allocates \$200K annually and the CRA allocates \$300K annually in the Urban Core district as part of the complete streets efforts that go towards mitigating

⁷ Based on City of Pensacola data from 2018.

these barriers. It is worth noting this does not reflect any mitigation efforts the city has completed in the past four years.

Figure 42 ADA Sidewalk Assessment - Compliance




BIKE LANES

Bike lanes, where they exist may be confusing as at the intersection of N Palafox and Wright where the bike lane disappears across this major intersection and becomes a sharrow on the other side. The data received from the city is incomplete, showing only a few streets with bike lanes.

Figure 43 N Palafox Bike Lane



Figure 44 N Palafox Bike Sharrow



3.2 Level of Traffic Stress

A level of traffic stress (LTS) analysis was conducted as part of the Active Transportation Plan. The LTS assesses the overall comfort level that pedestrians and cyclists have on streets. The LTS looks at the number of vehicles driving on a street per day, the posted speed, and whether bicycle infrastructure is available on major roadways.

The CRA districts have a significant amount of high and moderate stress roads. While the Urban Core shows the highest concentration of these roads, the Westside district is more impacted by them from a disadvantaged community perspective.

Figure 45 Level of Stress (ATP)





Figure 46 Bike Pensacola Bike Routes

Bike Pensacola, a local bike advocacy group has published a few safe riding routes.⁸ Routes are established as a way to draw attention to the need for safe, connected and reliable bike infrastructure.


These makeshift routes avoid the roadways that have a high to moderate stress/comfort level.

3.3 Parking

Parking plays a major role in an area's mobility. It can be an asset if thoughtfully located as part of supporting local businesses or as part of meeting the needs of residents. But parking can be a liability when ineffective policies place a premium on parking demands and as a result the pedestrian fabric of a community is eroded. Parking minimums and location along street frontages creates areas that are hostile to pedestrians due to heat island effects due to large areas of asphalt and lack of trees, underutilized parcels, lack of street definition.


⁸ [Routes | Bike Pensacola](#)

Figure 47 Major Paved Areas and Garages



The Urban Core has 208 acres of surface parking within its district in addition to four garages. In the city’s 2016 Parking study, it was calculated that the Urban Core had a total of 20,853 spaces of which 4,413 spaces (21%) are on-street parking-both marked and unmarked spaces.


Figure 48 Major Paved Areas and Garages – Urban Core



3.4 Crashes

Crash data analyzed from 2019 - 2023 was provided by Signal 4 Analytics. The highest crash densities occur along the East/West corridors of W Cervantes St, portions of E Cervantes St, W Garden St, E Gregory St, and E Chase St. The highest numbers of fatalities and serious injuries occurring in disadvantaged communities.

Figure 49 Crash Density and Pedestrian/Bicycle Severity Map




APPENDIX

4 Equity

The United States Department of Transportation, as part of the Justice40 initiative, defines Disadvantaged Communities as those that experience a cumulative burden or are underserved across eight categories: climate change, energy, health, housing, legacy pollution, transportation, water and wastewater, and workforce development. The Climate and Environmental Justice Screening Tool (CEJST) shows the composite scoring under these eight categories as shown on Figure 50.

Figure 50 Justice40 Disadvantaged Communities 2022






The USDOT Equitable Transportation Community (ETC) tool then filters out five of the eight categories for a more detailed understanding of the data as seen on **Figure 51** and listed below:

- Transportation Insecurity
- Health Vulnerability
- Environmental Burden
- Social Vulnerability
- Climate & Disaster Risk Burden


In this section, the disadvantage communities will be discussed in more specificity and will focus on the ETC data. It is worth noting, that for the purposes of applying for any USDOT Justice40 program the CEJST should be used as the primary tool to identify disadvantaged communities. The maps shown throughout the existing conditions analysis, where appropriate, are shown with the CEJST data as a layer.

Figure 51 ETC Disadvantaged Communities



The City of Pensacola's composite score ETC results based on State average shows the following breakdown across the five components:

Figure 52 City of Pensacola ETC Composite Scores State Percentile




Source: USDOT ETC

The City of Pensacola has 54% of census tracts considered to be disadvantaged communities based on composite scores across the five components.

4.1 Transportation Insecurity

Under transportation insecurity there are three measures that determine a composite score for each indicator: Transportation Cost Burden, Transportation Access and Transportation Safety. USDOT states that "Transportation insecurity occurs when people are unable to get to where they need to go to meet the needs of their daily life regularly, reliably, and safely. Nationally, there are well-established policies and programs that aim to address food insecurity and housing insecurity, but not transportation insecurity. A growing body of research indicates that transportation insecurity is a significant factor in persistent poverty."⁹

⁹ [Three Major Components of DOT's Justice40 Initiative | US Department of Transportation](#)

**Figure 53 City of Pensacola ETC Transportation Insecurity Percentile Rank**

Source: USDOT ETC

For Transportation Insecurity while the city under this category does not reach the level of being disadvantaged, there are pockets of census tracts with high percentile rank indicators of transportation cost burden followed by traffic safety. Further breakdown shows tracks that include the Eastside District rank in the 99th percentile for transportation cost burden as compared to the 63% city percentile rank. Some of the Urban Core census tracts under overall disadvantage show 79th percentile rank for transportation cost burden and 75th percentile rank for traffic safety as compared to the 46% city percentile rank. Census tracts in some of the Westside district show the highest percentile of 99th and 96th percentile rank for transportation cost burden and traffic safety respectively as compared to the 54% city percentile rank.

4.2 Environmental Characteristics


Flood prone areas are a major concern and problem for residents across the CRA districts. Flooding has significant impact on daily life and economic prosperity. Stormwater flood-prone areas are primarily along major roadways in Westside and Urban Core while on the Eastside, flooding occurs primarily under I-110 as shown in **Figure 54**. Section 4.3 further discusses the impact of impervious areas on equity. A map showing the overlap that exists between major paved areas (surface parking) and the location of these flood-prone areas is shown in **Figure 55**.

Typical flooding issues in the CRA districts are caused by a variety of factors such as:

- Lack of storm drain infrastructure
- Undersized storm drain infrastructure
- Infrastructure which is damaged or has exceeded service life
- Quantity of impervious surfaces which were mostly constructed before formal state stormwater rules were in effect
- Tailwater elevation in areas within a few blocks of the waterfront
- Recent flooding/natural disasters and more intense rainfall events over the last two decades.

Since most of the CRA districts are highly developed with areas downstream highly developed, the cost of implementing strategies that would address these issues are extremely high and take many years to fund. In many cases, government must weigh cost feasible alternatives to major infrastructure projects such as the construction of regional stormwater ponds, construction of floodable public space, and acquisition of property with repetitive flooding issues.

Figure 54 Flood-Prone Areas





4.3 Impervious Areas

One of the five components measured to understand equity in communities is Climate & Disaster Risk Burden. Under this component there are three indicators, one of which is impervious surfaces (from land cover). Per USDOT’s technical documentation for the Equitable Transportation Community (ETC), “impervious surfaces, such as roads and parking lots, can have a variety of negative consequences, especially in communities that are already disproportionately exposed to environmental burdens. These surfaces generate and amplify heat islands, resulting in poor air quality and an increased risk of heat-related illnesses and death.”

Based on the USDOT ETC data, census tracts that includes the Westside District show in the 77th percentile rank for impervious surfaces, Urban Core census tracts show it is in the 61st percentile rank, and Eastside census tracts show it is in 92nd percentile rank.

Parking being a major contributor to impervious areas, the breakdown of major paved areas (surface parking) is as follows:


Across the three CRA districts, there are approximately 333 acres of major paved (surface parking) areas equivalent to approximately 711 areas, with an average size of .40 acres. Location of these areas are primarily along major commercial corridors and where flood-prone areas exist as seen in **Figure 55**.

Table 1. CRA District Major Paved Areas

CRA District	Number of Major Paved Areas	Acreage	Average size (acres)
Westside	254	110	.43
Eastside	57	15	.26
Urban Core	400	208	.52

APPENDIX


Figure 55 Flood-Prone Areas and Major Paved Areas



4.4 Transit

Escambia County runs the only transit service in the area. There are a total of six routes, most of which cover the urban core but provide less coverage in the Westside and Eastside.

Figure 56 USDOT Transportation Disadvantaged Communities and Transit



APPENDIX

5 Community Input

Below is a high-level summary of the input received from various public workshops. The community's experience regarding mobility and accessibility further supports the data analyzed and described in previous sections of this document.

Challenges

- Intersections are unsafe for bike/ped crossing
- Speeding vehicles
- Lack of maintenance on existing pedestrian flashing crosswalk lights
- Lack of pedestrian crosswalks
- Lack of maintenance on existing sidewalks
- Lack of sidewalks
- Lack of protected space or roadways that accommodate pedestrians and bicycles and connect them across all districts and neighborhoods
- Lack of places to walk to i.e., restaurants or stores (Westside)
- Flooding issues are a big challenge
- Many underutilized parking lots
- Vehicle dominated streets make pedestrians and bicyclist feel unsafe
- Roadways that are the most unsafe or are barriers in districts are State roads

Strengths

- New streetscape in South DeVillers
- Streetscape on Government Street
- Beach Trolley

Opportunities

- Pedestrian separated walkways/bridges to cross dangerous arterials
- Optimize the use of what has already been built
- Take inventory of unused or underutilized properties
- Expand Longhollow stormwater pond with under-utilized properties around it
- Create a Mobility Hub that better connects bus, micro transit, ferry, Historic District, Palafox, and commercial areas



6 Planned Transportation Improvements

Several roadways within the CRA districts were found to have improvements planned for the coming years or are currently undergoing improvements. The following section summarizes key documents listed in the Reference section.

6.1 City of Pensacola Capital Improvement Program

A capital improvement program (CIP) is the funding of construction, major repair, or purchase of capital items such as roadways, bridges, and buildings. This program is typically a five-year horizon of which the first year is the entity's capital budget. The capital budget is adopted and implemented. The CIP considers community needs, financial capability, and future needs in determining which projects are placed in the program. It is the most influential tool by which to shape the quality and types of projects a city undertakes. **Figure 57** is a map illustrating these improvements, as obtained from the city data at the time of this report. The projects shown are categorized by the current phase of the project. CRA projects are shown with an outline.

Figure 57 Capital Improvement Program




Table 2. Capital Improvement Projects – CRA Projects

City Division	Project Type	Project Phase	Schedule Term
CRA	Hashtag Roadway Revitalization Project	Design	TBD
CRA	Bruce Beach Park Improvements - Phase 1	Complete	Complete
CRA	A Street Revitalization Project	Design	TBD
CRA	General Daniel "Chappie" James, Jr. Museum and Flight Academy - Phase II	Construction	TBD
CRA	DeVilliers Streetscape Revitalization - Phase 1	Complete	Summer 2021



City Division	Project Type	Project Phase	Schedule Term
CRA	DeVilliers Streetscape Revitalization - Phase 2	Complete	Summer 2021
CRA	Bruce Beach Park Improvements - Phase 2	Construction	Fall 2024
CRA	West Garden Street Landscape Beautification Project: A to C	Design	TBD
CRA	Alice Williams Rehabilitation Project	Planning	TBD
CRA	East Garden District Streetscape	Complete	Summer 2022
CRA	Reus St Revitalization Project Phase 1	Complete	Summer 2021
CRA	East Garden Street Landscape Beautification Project: Alcaniz to A	Complete	Summer 2022

6.2 Florida/Alabama Transportation Planning Organization Long Range Transportation Plan

The 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) for the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (FL-AL TPO) outlines the 25-year vision for transportation in the region, which includes Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties in Florida, and Baldwin County in Alabama. The LRTP establishes goals and allocated projected revenues to transportation programs and projects. The plan addresses both the region’s current and future transportation needs.

The transportation projects in the plan are grouped into five key categories:

- **Capacity Projects:** Adding lanes and improving road capacity.
- **Complete Streets/ Corridor Projects:** Enhancing streets for all users
- **Intersection Projects:** Improving intersections for better traffic flow and safety.
- **Transit Projects:** Enhancing bus services and expanding transit infrastructure.
- **Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects:** Expanding bike lanes, sidewalks, and multi-use paths.

0 0.5 Miles

WESTSIDE EASTSIDE

URBAN CORE

Bayfront Pkwy

Bayfront

Inner Harbor Channel

Corridors and Streets shown on the map:

- W Main St
- W Government St
- W Chase St
- W Garden St
- W Jackson St
- W Cervantes St
- W Gonzalez St
- N Main St
- N Spring St
- N Dodge Hwy
- N 9th Ave
- E Gregory St
- E Chase St
- E Government St
- E La Rua St
- E Cervantes St

Legend:

- Cost Feasible - Funded
 - Capacity
- Cost Feasible - Partially Funded
 - Corridor / Complete Street
- Cost Feasible - Unfunded
 - Corridor / Complete Street
 - Truck / Freight Parking / Access
 - Bicycle / Pedestrian
 - Transit

Corridor	From	To	Project Description	Segment Length
Garden Street	W Street	Alcaniz Street	Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study	2.45
14th Avenue	Cervantes Street	Bayfront Parkway	Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study	0.47
Gregory Street	I-110	Bayfront Parkway	Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study	0.81



Corridor	From	To	Project Description	Segment Length
Chase Street	I-110	Bayfront Parkway	Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study	0.55
W. Lee St, N. G St, N. F St	E St, Blount, Blount	J St, Moreno, Moreno	West Moreno	1.72
West Cervantes	A St	Dominguez	Corridor Improvements	2.24
N. E Main St	Garden St	Cervantes St	Corridor Improvements	0.60
L St	West Cervantes	Legion Field Park	Corridor Improvements	0.42
W Gregory St	L St	Pace Blvd	Corridor Improvements	0.19
N. Spring St	Garden St	Main St (South Segment)	Corridor Improvements	0.97
N. Reus St	Cervantes St	Main St	Corridor Improvements	0.97
Palafox St	Fairfield, Cervantes, SB Hayne St, Maxwell, Guillemard St	Main, Yonge, Maxwell, Blunt, Wright St	Corridor Improvements	2.93
W Navy Blvd	Bayou Chico Bridge	SR 292/Barrancas Ave	Bike Lanes	1.74
Gregory St	L St	G St	Corridor Improvements	0.33
W. Main St	E St	Clubbs St	Corridor Improvements	0.36
N. E Main St	Main St	Garden St	Corridor Improvements	0.40
A St	Maxwell St	Main St	Corridor Improvements	1.94

6.3 Active Transportation Plan

The ATP was adopted by the City of Pensacola August 2023. The ATP prioritizes reducing traffic-related fatalities and injuries, endorsing a Vision Zero approach, which aims for zero fatalities.

The plan also emphasizes filling gaps in the transportation network, linking key destinations such as schools, parks, and commercial areas. The goal is to accommodate all community members, and encourage alternative transportation modes to car travel.

The ATP creates a Future Network framework that includes three categories of pedestrian and bicycle focused infrastructure:

- Neighborhood Greenways
- Bike Routes
- Trails

The future network design recommendations include using context-sensitive designs to make streets safer and more accessible based on their location (urban, suburban, etc.), using countermeasures to calm traffic and improve crossings and expand the bicycle network.

Table 4. ATP Future Network – CRA Districts

Project Name	Project Extents (TO)	Project Extents (FROM)	Project Type	CRA District
Dr Martin Luther King Jr Dr	E Jackson St	E Gonzalez St	Bicycle Route Tbd	Urban Core, Eastside
Dr Martin Luther King Jr Dr	E Gonzalez St	E Blount St	Bicycle Route Tbd	Eastside
N 17th Ave	E Maxwell St	Bayfront Pkwy	Bicycle Route Tbd	Urban Core
N Spring St	W Garden St	W Cervantes St	Neighborhood Greenway	Urban Core
W Gregory St	N A St	N Palafox St	Neighborhood Greenway	Urban Core, Westside
E Cross St	E Cross St	N 14th St	Neighborhood Greenway	Eastside
E Government St	S A St	S 9th Ave	Neighborhood Greenway	Urban Core, Westside
W Main St	S Glubbs St	S De Villiers St	Trail	Urban Core, Westside
S Spring St	W Main St	W Government St	Trail	Urban Core
E Blount St	N Guillemard St	N 9th Ave	Trail	Eastside
Burlington Northern Rail/Bill Gregory Park	N W St	Barrancas Ave	Trail	Westside




6.4 Escambia County Area Transit -Transit Development Plan (TDP), 2022 - 2031

The TDP is a 10-year planning document required by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to guide the development of transit systems. It covers the period from 2022 to 2031 and includes short-term and long-term strategies and goals for transit in Escambia County. ECAT serves an area of approximately 189 square miles, primarily within Escambia County, and includes connections to Santa Rosa County. The system operates over 1,000 stops with 285 miles of routes and serves more than 1.5 million annual passenger trips.

As part of the TDP, the Comprehensive Operations Analysis (COA) concluded that major changes to the system was needed to improve overall performance given that the population is expected to grow by 9.7% by 2030, with significant transit needs in urban areas. Major improvements include:

- Extended weekend services and extended hours
- Increased frequency on high demand routes, among them within the CRA boundaries are Routes 52 and 55 as shown in **Figure 60**
- Late night service
- Bus stop upgrades in high need areas
- Service to new areas with improved connectivity through enhanced first and last mile services or mobility on demand services which are nimbler and more flexible and provide greater coverage within districts

Figure 60 ECAT Proposed Improved Routes in CRA Districts



6.5 MLK and Davis Conversion Feasibility Study

The feasibility study analyzed the ability to convert MLK Drive/Alcaniz Street and Davis Highway from their current one-way pair configuration to two-way traffic. The purpose is to improve general safety and restore the neighborhood roadway network grid in the Eastside Community Redevelopment Area. Currently, SR 291 (Davis Highway northbound, MLK Drive/Alcaniz Street southbound) is configured as two one-way pairs over a 2.2-mile stretch between Fairfield Drive and E. Wright Street. Recommendations are summarized as follows:

- Southern Tie-in at Wright Street: Intersection improvements, including potential signalization and pedestrian enhancements, are recommended to address safety concerns.
- Cervantes Street and Texar Drive: Additional turn lanes and traffic management measures are proposed to improve traffic flow.



- Magee Field: Several pedestrian safety improvements, including raised crosswalks and speed advisories, are recommended around Magee Field.
- Speed Limit: A 30 mph speed limit is recommended throughout the corridor.
- Lighting and Sidewalks: Enhanced LED lighting and the completion of sidewalk gaps are suggested to improve safety, especially for pedestrians.
- Signal Modifications: Adjustments to traffic signals to accommodate two-way traffic are necessary, along with additional signage to discourage wrong-way travel if the conversion is not implemented.


The study concluded that converting the corridors to two-way traffic with the proposed improvements would enhance safety and restore the neighborhood's roadway network.

6.6 Pensacola Waterfront Framework Plan

The framework plan focuses on creating Pensacola's downtown waterfront as a more inclusive, connected, and resilient space. The Plan identifies two key catalytic projects, Bruce Beach and the Hashtag Connector (**Figure 61**): The Hashtag Connector is focused linking four major streets—Palafox, Jefferson, Main, and Cedar. The goal is to focus on mobility and connectivity that will enhance the downtown and waterfront area by supporting a complete bike and pedestrian network and streetscape improvements. It is projected to be a three phase process with:

- Initial phase (0-3 years): Immediate projects focus on creating pop-up parks, minor streetscape improvements, and temporary installations that activate public spaces and draw people to the waterfront
- Intermediate Phase (3-8 years): More permanent infrastructure upgrades will be implemented, such as protected bike lanes, shared streets, and expanded public spaces
- Long-Term (8+ years): Major infrastructure and development projects

Figure 61 Waterfront Framework Plan - Hashtag Connector



Source: SCAPE



References

A review was completed to map any planned or programmed roadway improvements in the three districts. Sources consulted, but not an exhaustive list, includes the following:

- FL-AL Transportation Planning Organization (FL-AL TPO) 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan [2045 LRTP](#)
 - FI-AL TPO Priorities DRAFT Transportation Project Priorities. [TPO Transportation Priorities FY2026-2030](#)
 - FL-AL TPO Bike Ped Plan [FL-AL TPO Bike/Ped Plan](#)
- FDOT Work Program FDOT OWPB - WP Reports; 5 Year Work Program (state.fl.us); Work Program - Current (arcgis.com)
- Cervantes Street Traffic Feasibility Study [West Cervantes Street Lane Reduction Traffic Feasibility Study 20190913.pdf \(ecrc.org\)](#)
- Davis / MLK / Alcaniz Two-Way Conversion Traffic Feasibility Study [MLK-Davis final report 06 19 20.pdf \(ecrc.org\)](#)
- W. Cervantes Corridor Plan [West Cervantes CMP FINAL.indd \(ecrc.org\)](#)
- E. Cervantes Corridor Plan [East Cervantes Corridor Management Plan Final.pdf \(ecrc.org\)](#)
- Main Street Corridor Plan [Main St Report_portrait_07172014.indd \(ecrc.org\)](#)
- N. Palafox Street Corridor Plan [North Palafox Street Corridor Management Plan Final Adopted.pdf \(ecrc.org\)](#)
- ECAT Transit Development Plan [Escambia County Area Transit \(ecrc.org\)](#)
- City of Pensacola Active Transportation Plan Active Transportation Plan | City of Pensacola. [Active Transportation Plan | City of Pensacola, Florida Official Website](#)
 - Gonzalez Street Shareway (as referenced in city's Active Transportation Plan)
- Reimagine Jackson Reimagine Jackson Street | City of Pensacola. [Reimagine Jackson Street | City of Pensacola, Florida Official Website](#)
- CRA projects| City of Pensacola. [CRA Projects | City of Pensacola, Florida Official Website](#)
- Transportation Alternative (TA) Projects:
 - E. Maxwell Street sidewalks [Pensacola - E Maxwell St Sidewalk TA Application.pdf \(ecrc.org\)](#)
 - N. J Street sidewalks [Pensacola - North J St Sidewalk TA Application.pdf \(ecrc.org\)](#)
 - Hollice T Williams Multi Use Path [Pensacola - TA Hollice T Williams MUP Phase 2 Application.pdf \(ecrc.org\)](#)